UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011	
OR	
[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
For the transition period from to	
Commission file number: <u>000-25927</u>	
MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)	
Michigan 38-3391345	
(State of other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer incorporation or organization) Identification No.)	
10753 Macatawa Drive, Holland, Michigan 49424	
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)	
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (616) 820-1444	
Indicate by check whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the precent months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []	eding 12
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be su posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required and post such files). Yes [] No []	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definit "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):	ions of
Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [] Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company [X]	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X]	
The number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 17,679,621 shares of the Company's Common S value) were outstanding as of April 28, 2011.	tock (no par

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on management's beliefs, assumptions, current expectations, estimates and projections about the financial services industry, the economy, and Macatawa Bank Corporation. Forward-looking statements are identifiable by words or phrases such as "outlook", "plan" or "strategy"; that an event or trend "may", "should", "will", "is likely", or is "probably" to occur or "continue", has "begun" or "is scheduled" or "on track" or that the Company or its management "anticipates", "believes", "estimates", "plans", "forecasts", "intends", "predicts", "projects", or "expects" a particular result, or is "committed", "confident", "optimistic" or has an "opinion" that an event will occur, or other words or phrases such as "ongoing", "future", "signs", "efforts", "tend", "exploring", "appearing", "until", "near term", "going forward", "starting" and variations of such words and similar expressions. Such statements are based upon current beliefs and expectations and involve substantial risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These statements include, among others, statements related to trends in credit quality metrics, real estate valuation, future levels of non-performing loans, future levels of loan charge-offs, future levels of provisions for loan losses, the rate of asset dispositions, capital raising activities, dividends, future growth and funding sources, future liquidity levels, future profitability levels, the effects on earnings of changes in interest rates and the future level of other revenue sources. Management's determination of the provision and allowance for loan losses, the appropriate carrying value of intangible assets (including goodwill, mortgage servicing rights and deferred tax assets) and other real estate owned, and the fair value of investment securities (including whether any impairment on any investment security is temporary or other-than-temporary and the amount of any impairment) involves judgments that are inherently forward-looking. All statements with references to future time periods are forward-looking. All of the information concerning interest rate sensitivity is forward-looking. Our ability to fully comply with our Consent Order and Written Agreement, raise capital, improve regulatory capital ratios, sell other real estate owned at its carrying value or at all, successfully implement new programs and initiatives, increase efficiencies, address regulatory issues, improve internal controls over financial reporting, maintain our current level of deposits and other sources of funding, maintain liquidity, respond to declines in collateral values and credit quality, increase loan volume, maintain mortgage banking income, realize the benefit of our deferred tax assets, resume payment of dividends and improve profitability is not entirely within our control and is not assured. The future effect of changes in the real estate, financial and credit markets and the national and regional economy on the banking industry, generally, and Macatawa Bank Corporation, specifically, are also inherently uncertain. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions ("risk factors") that are difficult to predict with regard to timing, extend, likelihood and degree of occurrence. Therefore, actual results and outcomes may materially differ from what may be expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. Macatawa Bank Corporation does not undertake to update forward-looking statements to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that may arise after the date of the forward-looking statements.

Risk factors include, but are not limited to, the risk factors described in "Item 1A - Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. These and other factors are representative of the risk factors that may emerge and could cause a difference between an ultimate actual outcome and a preceding forward-looking statement.

INDEX

		Page <u>Number</u>
Part I.	Financial Information:	
	Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	4 9
	Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	35
	Item 4. Controls and Procedures	48
Part II.	Other Information:	
	Item 1. Legal Proceedings	48
	Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	48
	Item 6. Exhibits	49
Signatures		51

Part I Financial Information Item 1.

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS As of March 31, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010

(dollars in thousands)	nousands) March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010		
ASSETS		2011		2010	
Cash and due from banks	\$	24,265	\$	21,274	
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments		238,362		214,853	
Cash and cash equivalents	·	262,627		236,127	
Securities available for sale, at fair value		12,660		9,120	
Securities held to maturity (fair value 2010 - \$83)				83	
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		11,932		11,932	
Loans held for sale, at fair value		942		2,537	
Total loans		1,153,992		1,217,196	
Allowance for loan losses		(42,343)		(47,426)	
Net loans		1,111,649		1,169,770	
Premises and equipment - net		56,410		56,988	
Accrued interest receivable		3,721		3,845	
Bank-owned life insurance		25,229		25,014	
Other real estate owned		64,992		57,984	
Other assets		7,073		4,861	
Total assets	\$	1,557,235	\$	1,578,261	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Deposits					
Noninterest-bearing	\$	282,050	\$	255,897	
Interest-bearing		982,615		1,020,723	
Total deposits	·	1,264,665		1,276,620	
Other borrowed funds		174,270		185,336	
Long-term debt		41,238		41,238	
Subordinated debt		1,650		1,650	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		6,259		5,575	
Total liabilities		1,488,082		1,510,419	
Commitments and contingent liabilities					
Shareholders' equity					
Preferred stock, no par value, 500,000 shares authorized;					
Series A Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, liquidation					
value of \$1,000 per share, 31,290 shares issued and outstanding		30,604		30,604	
Series B Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, liquidation					
value of \$1,000 per share, 2,600 shares issued and outstanding		2,560		2,560	
Common stock, no par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized; 17,679,621 shares					
issued and outstanding		167,338		167,321	
Retained deficit		(131,363)		(132,654)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income		14		11	
Total shareholders' equity		69,153		67,842	
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	1,557,235	\$	1,578,261	

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME Three Month Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011		Three Months Ended March 31, 2010		
Interest income					
Loans, including fees	\$ 15,582		19,623		
Securities	27		1,191		
FHLB Stock	70		62		
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments	168		61		
Total interest income	15,853		20,937		
Interest expense	2.014		5 400		
Deposits	2,912		5,408		
Debt and other borrowed funds	1,343		2,501		
Total interest expense	4,259		7,909		
Net interest income	11,598		13,028		
Provision for loan losses	(1,450		19,710		
Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan losses	13,048		(6,682)		
Noninterest income	0.46		4.06		
Service charges and fees	949		1,065		
Net gains on mortgage loans	435		181		
Trust fees	651		890		
ATM and debit card fees	852		785		
Other			547		
Total noninterest income	3,679	1	3,468		
Noninterest expense	5.04		5.450		
Salaries and benefits	5,34		5,450		
Occupancy of premises	1,01		1,052		
Furniture and equipment	817		981		
Legal and professional	27(769		
Marketing and promotion	224		215		
Data processing	304		346		
FDIC assessment	978		1,257 310		
ATM and debit card processing Bond and D&O Insurance	271 379		548		
Losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties	2,493		3.643		
Administration of problem assets	2,49. 1,94		1,892		
Other	1,94		1,463		
Total noninterest expenses	15.436		17,926		
Net income (loss) before income tax	1,29		(21,140)		
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,29		(21,140)		
Net income (loss)	1,29		(21,140)		
Dividends declared on preferred shares	1,29		(21,140)		
Net income (loss) available to common shares	\$ 1,29		(21,140)		
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$.0*		(1.19)		
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$.0°		(1.19)		
Cash dividends per common share	\$ 0.00	\$	0.00		

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME Three Month Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	En	Months ded 31, 2011	I	ee Months Ended h 31, 2010
Net income (loss)	\$	1,291	\$	(21,140)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Net change in unrealized gains on securities available for sale		3		38
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	1,294	\$	(21,102)

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Three Month Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)	Preferred S	Stock			Accumulated Other	Total
		_	Common	Retained	Comprehensive	Shareholders'
	Series A	Series B	Stock	Deficit	Income (Loss)	Equity
Balance, January 1, 2010	\$30,604	\$2,560	\$167,183	\$(114,800)	\$2,444	\$87,991
Net loss for three months ended March 31, 2010				(21,140)		(21,140)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:						
Net change in unrealized gain (loss) on securities						
available for sale					38	38
Comprehensive loss						(21,102)
Stock compensation expense			28			28
Balance, March 31, 2010	\$30,604	\$2,560	\$167,211	\$(135,940)	\$2,482	\$66,917
Balance, January 1, 2011	\$30,604	\$2,560	\$167,321	(132,654)	\$ 11	67,842
Net income for three months ended March 31, 2011				1,291		1,291
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:						
Net change in unrealized gain (loss) on securities						
available for sale					3	3
Comprehensive income						1,294
Stock compensation expense			17			17
Balance, March 31, 2011	\$30,604	\$2,560	\$167,338	\$(131,363)	\$ 14	\$69,153

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Three Month Periods Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	E	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011		
Cash flows from operating activities				
Net income (loss)	\$	1,291	\$	(21,140)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash from				
operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		781		896
Stock compensation expense		17		28
Provision for loan losses		(1,450)		19,710
Origination of loans for sale		(16,671)		(9,744)
Proceeds from sales of loans originated for sale		18,701		9,052
Net gains on mortgage loans		(435)		(181)
Write-down of other real estate		2,699		3,516
Net (gain) loss on sales of other real estate		(212)		120
Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable and other assets		(2,157)		80
Earnings in bank-owned life insurance		(215)		(200)
Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses and other liabilities		684		(473)
Net cash from operating activities		3,033		1,664
Cash flows from investing activities				
Loan originations and payments, net		45,092		41,204
Purchases of securities available for sale		(10,549)		0
Proceeds from:				
Maturities and calls of securities available for sale		6,988		13,986
Principal paydowns on securities		85		82
Sales of other real estate		4,984		5,711
Additions to premises and equipment		(112)		(201)
Net cash from investing activities		46,488		60,782
Cash flows from financing activities				
Net decrease in in-market deposits		(6,360)		(3,505)
Net decrease in brokered deposits		(5,595)		(42,065)
Proceeds from other borrowed funds				20,000
Repayments of other borrowed funds		(11,066)		(66,020)
Net cash from financing activities		(23,021)		(91,590)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents		26,500		(29,144)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		236,127		78,749
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	_ \$	262,627	\$	49,605
Supplemental cash flow information				
Interest paid	\$	3,950	\$	7,805
Supplemental noncash disclosures:	·			.,
Transfers from loans to other real estate		14,479		17.954

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

<u>Principles of Consolidation</u>: The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Macatawa Bank Corporation ("the Company", "our", "we") and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Macatawa Bank ("the Bank"). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Macatawa Bank is a Michigan chartered bank with depository accounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Bank operates 26 full service branch offices providing a full range of commercial and consumer banking and trust services in Kent County, Ottawa County, and northern Allegan County, Michigan.

The Company owns all of the common stock of Macatawa Statutory Trust I and Macatawa Statutory Trust II. These are grantor trusts that issued trust preferred securities and are not consolidated with the Company under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis of Presentation: The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) believed necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

Operating results for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Reclassifications: Some items in the prior period financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards:

In July 2010, FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses
The ASU amends FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ Topic 310, Receivables, to improve the disclosures that an entity provides about the credit quality of its
financing receivables and the related allowance for credit losses. As a result of these amendments, an entity is required to disaggregate, by portfolio segment or class of
financing receivable, certain existing disclosures and provide certain new disclosures about its financing receivables and related allowance for credit losses. For public entities,
the disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010 and have been added to Note 3.

The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010 and have
been added to Note 3.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, *Improving Disclosure about Fair Value Measurements*. This standard requires new disclosures on the amount and reason for transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 recurring fair value measurements. The standard also requires disclosure of activities (i.e., on a gross basis), including purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements, in the reconciliation of Level 3 fair value recurring measurements. The standard clarifies existing disclosure requirements on levels of disaggregation and disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques. The new disclosures regarding Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and clarification of existing disclosures are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2009. The disclosures about the reconciliation of information in Level 3 recurring fair value measurements are required for periods beginning after December 15, 2010. Adoption of this standard did not have a significant impact on our quarterly disclosures.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Newly Issued Not Yet Effective Accounting Standards:

The FASB has issued ASU 2011-02, A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring (April 2011). This ASU provides guidance for companies when determining whether a loan modification is a troubled debt restructuring. The ASU also provides additional disclosure requirements. It is effective for public companies for interim and annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011. The guidance is to be applied retrospectively to restructurings occurring on or after the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. This guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our identification of troubled debt restructurings or disclosures.

Regulatory Developments:

Consent Order with Macatawa Bank and its Regulators

As discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (our "2010 Form 10-K"), on February 22, 2010, Macatawa Bank entered into a Consent Order (the "Consent Order") with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Michigan Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation ("OFIR"), the primary banking regulators of the Bank. The Bank agreed to the terms of the negotiated Consent Order without admitting or denying any charges of unsafe or unsound banking practices. The Consent Order imposes no fines or penalties on the Bank. The Consent Order will remain in effect and enforceable until it is modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the FDIC and the OFIR. The requirements of the Consent Order are summarized in our 2010 Form 10-K.

The Consent Order covers various aspects of the Bank's financial condition and performance; loan administration; and capital planning.

The Consent Order requires the Bank to have and maintain a Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio of at least 8% and a Total Risk Based Capital Ratio of at least 11%. At March 31, 2011, the Bank's Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio was 7.1% and the Total Risk Based Capital Ratio was 10.4%, which would ordinarily categorize the Bank as "well capitalized" under the regulatory capital standards absent the Consent Order. The Bank needed a capital injection of approximately \$14.0 million as of March 31, 2011 to comply with the Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio requirement and needed a capital injection of approximately \$7.1 million to comply with the Total Risk Based Capital Ratio requirement of the Consent Order. This has declined significantly since March 31, 2010, when the Company needed \$43.2 million to comply with the Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio requirement.

The Company has developed a capital plan including evaluation of alternatives to reach and maintain the capital levels required by the Consent Order. Achievement of these capital levels could be impacted, positively or negatively, by certain uncertainties, including, but not limited to, earnings levels, changing economic conditions, asset quality, property values and the receptiveness of capital markets to new capital offerings of the Company.

Strategies to increase the Bank's regulatory capital ratios in order to comply with the capital requirements of the Consent Order include reducing operating costs, shrinking assets of the Bank without weakening its liquidity position, preserving capital through suspension of dividends, and raising additional capital. More information regarding these strategies is included in our 2010 Form 10-K.

The Company earlier increased its capital through the sale of \$31.3 million of Series A Preferred Stock in the fourth quarter of 2008. During second and third quarters of 2009, the Company increased its capital by \$5.9 million through the issuance of Series B Preferred Stock, common stock and subordinated debt.

The Company also remains active at exploring alternatives to raise new capital. In February 2011, the Company filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission to offer shares of common stock in a rights offering and a public offering in order to raise equity capital. On March 25, 2011, the Company's shareholders approved an increase in authorized common shares from 40 million to 200 million.

We believe that, as of March 31, 2011, the Bank was in compliance in all material respects with all of the provisions of the Consent Order, other than the minimum capital requirements.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Written Agreement with Macatawa Bank Corporation and its Regulator

As discussed in our 2010 Form 10-K, the Company formally entered into a Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago ("FRB") effective July 23, 2010. Among other things, the Written Agreement provides that: (i) the Company must take appropriate steps to fully utilize its financial and managerial resources to serve as a source of strength to Macatawa Bank; (ii) the Company may not declare or pay any dividends without prior FRB approval; (iii) the Company may not take dividends or any other payment representing a reduction in capital from Macatawa Bank without prior FRB approval; (iv) the Company may not make any distributions of interest, principal or other sums on subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities without prior FRB approval; (vi) the Company may not incur, increase or guarantee any debt without prior FRB approval; (vii) the Company must submit to the FRB an acceptable written plan to maintain sufficient capital on a consolidated basis; (viii) must submit to the FRB a written statement of the Company's planned sources and uses of cash for debt service, operating expenses, and other purposes for 2010 and subsequent years; and (ix) the Company may not appoint any new director or senior executive officer, or change the responsibilities of any senior executive officer so that the officer would assume a different senior executive officer position, without prior regulatory approval.

The Company requested and received approval from the FRB to make its first quarter 2011 interest payments on its \$1.65 million in outstanding subordinated debt. Each quarter, the Company requests approval from the FRB to make the next quarter's interest payment on its subordinated debt and is continuing to accrue the amounts due.

Since the effective date of the Written Agreement, we have submitted our capital plan, cash flow projections and other reports in accordance with the timelines specified in the Written Agreement or agreed upon extensions. In addition, our senior management has met with and spoken to FRB representatives several times since the Written Agreement became effective. On November 15, 2010, we submitted a plan to maintain sufficient capital and have had several conversations with the FRB regarding the plan since that time. At the FRB's request, we submitted an updated draft of the capital plan on March 31, 2011, with the final plan to be submitted by April 30, 2011. On February 11, 2011, we submitted to the FRB a written statement of the Company's planned sources and uses of cash for 2011. At the FRB's request, we submitted a plan for how the Company will meet its cash flow obligations for 2011 on March 31, 2011.

Other than acceptance by the FRB of a capital plan and a plan for meeting cash flow obligations for 2011, the Company believes that, as of March 31, 2011, it was in compliance in all material respects with all of the provisions of the Written Agreement.

Deposit Gathering Activities

Because the Bank is subject to the Consent Order, it cannot be categorized as "well-capitalized," regardless of actual capital levels. As a result, the Bank is subject to the following restrictions regarding its deposit gathering activities:

- Effective January 1, 2010, the interest rate paid for deposits by institutions that are categorized as less than "well capitalized" is limited to 75 basis points above the national rate for similar products unless the institution can support to the FDIC that prevailing rates in its market area exceed the national average. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company received notification from the FDIC that the prevailing rates in our market area exceeded the national average. Accordingly, the interest rates paid for deposits by the Bank are limited to 75 basis points above the average rate for similar products within our market area. Although this may impact our ability to compete for more rate sensitive deposits, we expect to continue to reduce our need to utilize rate sensitive deposits.
- The Bank cannot accept, renew or rollover any brokered deposit unless it has applied for and been granted a waiver of this prohibition by the FDIC. The Bank has not accepted or renewed brokered deposits since November of 2008. The Bank expects it will be able to fund maturing brokered deposits under its current liquidity contingency program.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Allowance for Loan Losses: The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses, increased by the provision for loan losses and recoveries, and decreased by charge-offs of loans. Management believes the allowance for loan losses balance to be adequate based on known and inherent risks in the portfolio, past loan loss experience, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged-off. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current qualitative environmental factors. The Company maintains a loss migration analysis that tracks loan losses and recoveries based on loan class as well as the loan risk grade assignment for commercial loans. At March 31, 2011, an 18 month annualized historical loss experience was used for commercial loans and a 12 month historical loss experience period was applied to residential mortgage and consumer loan portfolios. These historical loss percentages are adjusted (both upwards and downwards) for certain qualitative environmental factors, including economic trends, credit quality trends, valuation trends, concentration risk, quality of loan review, changes in personnel, external factors and other considerations.

A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is believed to be probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans for which the terms have been modified and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired.

Commercial and commercial real estate loans with relationship balances exceeding \$500,000 and an internal risk grading of 6 or worse are evaluated for impairment. If a loan is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated so that the loan is reported, net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing interest rate or at the fair value of collateral, less estimated costs to sell, if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer and residential real estate loans are collectively evaluated for impairment and, accordingly, they are not separately identified for impairment disclosures. Troubled debt restructurings are also considered impaired with impairment generally measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's effective rate at inception or using the fair value of collateral, less estimated costs to sell, if repayment is expected solely from the collateral.

<u>Foreclosed Assets</u>: Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure, primarily other real estate owned, are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Costs after acquisition are expensed unless they add value to the property.

Income Taxes: Income tax expense is the sum of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

We recognize a tax position as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. We recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The realization of deferred tax assets (net of a recorded valuation allowance) is largely dependent upon future taxable income, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and the ability to carryback losses to available tax years. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we consider all relevant positive and negative evidence, including taxable income in carry-back years, scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, expected future taxable income and tax planning strategies.

As of January 1, 2010, we no longer have the ability to carryback losses to prior years. The realization of our deferred tax assets is largely dependent on generating income in future years. At March 31, 2011, the need to maintain a full valuation allowance was based primarily on our net operating losses for in recent years and the continuing weak economic conditions that could impact our ability to generate future earnings. The valuation allowance may be reversed to income in future periods to the extent that the related deferred tax assets are realized or the valuation allowance is no longer required.

NOTE 2 - SECURITIES

The amortized cost and fair value of securities at period-end were as follows (dollars in thousands):

March 31, 2011	Amortized Cost		Gross ed Unrealized Gains		Gross Unrealized Losses			Fair ⁄alue
Available for Sale:								
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$	11,638	\$	27	\$	(13)	\$	11,652
Other equity securities		1,000		8				1,008
	\$	12,638	\$	35	\$	(13)	\$	12,660
December 31, 2010				_			· <u>-</u>	
Available for Sale:								
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$	8,103	\$	6	\$		\$	8,109
Other equity securities		1,000		11				1,011
	\$	9,103	\$	17	\$		\$	9,120
Held to Maturity:								<u>.</u>
State and municipal bonds	\$	83	\$		\$		\$	83
	\$	83	\$		\$		\$	83

There were no sales of securities in the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Contractual maturities of debt securities at March 31, 2011 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

		Available-for-Sale Securities					
	Amortize	i		Fair			
	Cost		7	⁷ alue			
Due in one year or less	\$	1,000	\$	1,000			
Due from one to five years		9,545		9,548			
Due from five to ten years		1,019		1,028			
Due after ten years		74		76			
	\$ 1	1,638	\$	11,652			

NOTE 2 - SECURITIES(Continued)

Securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2011, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Less than 12 Months				12 Months or More					Total			
Description of Securities	<u>.</u>		Unre	alized	Fa	air	Unrea	lized	I	Fair	Unre	alized	
			Value Loss		Value		Loss		Loss Value		Lo	OSS	
U.S federal agency securities	\$	5,033	\$	(13)	\$		\$		\$	5,033	\$	(13)	
State and municipal bonds													
Other equity securities													
Total temporarily impaired	\$	5,033	\$	(13)	\$		\$		\$	5,033	\$	(13)	

There were no securities with unrealized losses at December 31, 2010.

Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. Management determined that no OTTI charges were necessary during the first quarter of 2011 and all of 2010.

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, securities with a carrying value of approximately \$1,970,000 and \$2,250,000, respectively, were pledged as security for public deposits, letters of credit and for other purposes required or permitted by law.

NOTE 3 -LOANS

Portfolio loans were as follows (dollars in thousands):

		December 31, 2010
Commercial and industrial	\$ 260,669	\$ 264,679
Commercial real estate:		
Residential developed	47,663	46,835
Unsecured to residential developers	2,621	7,631
Vacant and unimproved	68,193	71,528
Commercial development	5,576	8,952
Residential improved	91,690	96,784
Commercial improved	330,842	355,899
Manufacturing and industrial	79,162	81,560
Total commercial real estate	625,747	669,189
Consumer		
Residential mortgage	127,405	135,227
Unsecured	2,300	2,867
Home equity	119,166	125,866
Other secured	18,705	19,368
Total consumer	267,576	283,328
Total loans	1,153,992	1,217,196
Allowance for loan losses	(42,343)	(47,426)
	\$ 1,111,649	\$ 1,169,770

Activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment was as follows (dollars in thousands):

Three months ended March 31, 2011;	Commercial and		Commercial								
	In	Industrial		Industrial		Real Estate		nsumer	Unall	ocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$	7,012	\$	34,973	\$	5,415	\$	26	\$ 47,426		
Charge-offs		(804)		(2,397)		(931)			(4,132)		
Recoveries		193		250		56			499		
Provision for loan losses		790		(2,119)		(117)		(4)	(1,450)		
Ending Balance	\$	7,191	\$	30,707	\$	4,423	\$	22	\$ 42,343		

Three months ended March 31, 2010:	mercial and ndustrial	Commercial Real Estate	Co	nsumer	Unall	ocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$ 6,086	\$ 45,759	\$	2,767	\$	11	\$ 54,623
Charge-offs	(3,721)	(9,620)		(895)			(14,236)
Recoveries	271	391		23			685
Provision for loan losses	3,353	14,838		1,518		1	19,710
Ending Balance	\$ 5,989	\$ 51,368	\$	3,413	\$	12	\$ 60,782

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method (dollars in thousands):

March 31, 2011:

	ercial and lustrial	nmercial al Estate	Сс	onsumer	Unallo	ocated	Total
Allowance for loan losses:							
Ending allowance attributable to loans:							
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$ 2,152	\$ 5,910	\$	533	\$		\$ 8,595
Collectively evaluated for impairment	5,039	24,797		3,890		22	33,748
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 7,191	\$ 30,707	\$	4,423	\$	22	\$ 42,343
Loans:		_					
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$ 4,556	\$ 57,755	\$	14,388	\$		\$ 76,699
Collectively evaluated for impairment	256,113	567,992		253,188			1,077,293
Total ending loans balance	\$ 260,669	\$ 625,747	\$	267,576	\$		\$ 1,153,992

December 31, 2010:

	nercial and dustrial	mmercial al Estate	Cc	onsumer	Unall	ocated	 Total
Allowance for loan losses:							
Ending allowance attributable to loans:							
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$ 1,576	\$ 5,334	\$	458	\$		\$ 7,368
Collectively evaluated for impairment	5,436	29,639		4,957		26	40,058
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 7,012	\$ 34,973	\$	5,415	\$	26	\$ 47,426
Loans:							
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$ 7,757	\$ 70,677	\$	13,752	\$		\$ 92,186
Collectively evaluated for impairment	256,922	598,512		269,576			1,125,010
Total ending loans balance	\$ 264,679	\$ 669,189	\$	283,328	\$		\$ 1,217,196

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

Impaired loans were as follows (dollars in thousands)

	Ma	rch 31,	Dece	mber 31,
	2	2	2010	
Impaired commercial loans with no allocated allowance for loan losses	\$	26,030	\$	48,519
Impaired loans with allocated allowance for loan losses:				
Impaired commercial loans		36,281		29,915
Consumer mortgage loans modified under a troubled debt restructuring		14,388		13,752
		50,669		43,667
Total impaired loans	\$	76,699	\$	92,186
Amount of the allowance for loan losses allocated	\$	8,595	\$	7,368

	M E Ma	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011		
Average of impaired loans during the period:				
Commercial and industrial	\$	4,725	\$	12,179
Commercial real estate:				
Residential developed		16,141		22,536
Unsecured to residential developers		915		1,278
Vacant and unimproved		4,948		6,908
Commercial development		825		1,152
Residential improved		8,695		12,140
Commercial improved		21,909		30,589
Manufacturing and industrial		8,349		11,657
Consumer		12,755		11,098
Interest income recognized during impairment:		,		,
Commercial and industrial		(14)		154
Commercial real estate		523		60
Consumer		110		100
Cash-basis interest income recognized				
Commercial and industrial		52		118
Commercial real estate		509		358
Consumer		110		121

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of March 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	Prin	paid cipal ance		orded stment	Allowance Allocated		
With no related allowance recorded:							
Commercial and industrial	\$		\$		\$		
Commercial real estate:							
Residential developed		24,221		6,903			
Unsecured to residential developers		2,550		279			
Vacant and unimproved		3,541		3,541			
Commercial development							
Residential improved		3,616		3,616			
Commercial improved		10,900		10,100			
Manufacturing and industrial		2,061		1,591			
		46,889		26,030			
Consumer:							
Residential mortgage							
Unsecured							
Home equity							
Other secured					<u></u>		
	\$	46,889	\$	26,030	\$		
With an allowance recorded:			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Commercial and industrial	\$	4,556	\$	4,556	\$	2,152	
Commercial real estate:		.,		.,	Ť	_,	
Residential developed		7,470		7,470		2,343	
Unsecured to residential developers		2,364		609		227	
Vacant and unimproved		2,322		2,322		3	
Commercial development		223		223		22	
Residential improved		5,798		5,780		1,009	
Commercial improved		6,913		6,736		1,258	
Manufacturing and industrial		8,585		8,585		1,048	
		33,675		31,725		5,910	
Consumer:				,,		2,5 2 2	
Residential mortgage		14,388		14,388		533	
Unsecured							
Home equity							
Other secured							
		14,388		14,388		533	
Total	\$	57,175	\$	50,669	\$	8,595	

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

		npaid ncipal lance		corded estment	Allowance Allocated		
With no related allowance recorded:							
Commercial and industrial	\$	5,394	\$	4,286	\$		
Commercial real estate:							
Residential developed		28,289		8,205			
Unsecured to residential developers		315		315			
Vacant and unimproved		6,219		5,693			
Commercial development		3,176		1,055			
Residential improved		4,396		4,378			
Commercial improved		24,566		22,749			
Manufacturing and industrial		2,239		1,838	<u> </u>		
		69,200		44,233			
Consumer:							
Residential mortgage							
Unsecured							
Home equity							
Other secured							
	\$	74,594	\$	48,519	\$		
With an allowance recorded:				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Commercial and industrial	\$	3,517	\$	3,470	\$	1,576	
Commercial real estate:	<u> </u>	5,517	Ψ	5,	Ψ	1,0 / 0	
Residential developed		6,373		6,373		2,402	
Unsecured to residential developers		2,364		609		84	
Vacant and unimproved		266		266		44	
Commercial development		199		199		15	
Residential improved		4,806		4,662		1,381	
Commercial improved		6,710		6,172		1,096	
Manufacturing and industrial		8,163		8,164		312	
Manaracturing and industrial		28,881		26,445		5,334	
Consumer:		20,001		20,773		3,334	
Residential mortgage		13,752		13,752		458	
Unsecured		15,752		15,/52		436	
Home equity							
Other secured							
Ouici secureu		13,752		13,752		458	
T-4-1	-		-		<u> </u>		
Total	\$	46,150	\$	43,667	\$	7,368	

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

Nonaccrual loans include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of March 31, 2011:

			(Over 90 days
	Nona	accrual	A	ccruing
Commercial and industrial	\$	10,769	\$	
Commercial real estate:				
Residential developed		10,085		
Unsecured to residential developers		888		
Vacant and unimproved		7,043		411
Commercial development		833		
Residential improved		9,234		
Commercial improved		14,544		
Manufacturing and industrial		412		
		43,039		411
Consumer:				
Residential mortgage		1,489		
Unsecured		24		
Home equity		291		71
Other secured				3
		1,804		74
Total	\$	55,612	\$	485

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of December 31, 2010:

				er 90
				ays
	Nonac	<u>crual</u>	Acc	cruing
Commercial and industrial	\$	11,583	\$	
Commercial real estate:				
Residential developed		10,848		
Unsecured to residential developers		925		390
Vacant and unimproved		7,517		
Commercial development		1,652		
Residential improved		9,858		
Commercial improved		27,816		
Manufacturing and industrial		1,570		197
		60,186		587
Consumer:				
Residential mortgage		1,830		
Unsecured		25		
Home equity		1,127		13
Other secured		10		
		2,992		13
Total	\$	74,761	\$	600

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of March 31, 2011 by class of loans (dollars in thousands):

	30-90		Gr	eater Than	Total		Loans Not		
]	Days	9	90 Days	Past Due		Past Due		Total
Commercial and industrial	\$	783	\$	4,824	\$ 5,60	7 \$	255,062	\$	260,669
Commercial real estate:									
Residential developed		1,022		3,647	4,66	9	42,994		47,663
Unsecured to residential developers		279		609	88	8	1,733		2,621
Vacant and unimproved		161		4,251	4,41	2	63,781		68,193
Commercial development				808	80	8	4,768		5,576
Residential improved		1,130		5,683	6,81	3	84,877		91,690
Commercial improved		3,787		9,724	13,51	1	317,331		330,842
Manufacturing and industrial		169		412	58	1	78,581		79,162
		6,548		25,134	31,68	2	594,065		625,747
Consumer:									
Residential mortgage		1,485		1,027	2,51	2	124,893		127,405
Unsecured		48			4	8	2,252		2,300
Home equity		1,101		151	1,25	2	117,914		119,166
Other secured		117		2	11	9	18,586		18,705
		2,751		1,180	3,93	1	263,645		267,576
Total	\$	10,082	\$	31,138	\$ 41,22	0 \$	1,112,772	\$	1,153,992

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of December 31, 2010 by class of loans (dollars in thousands):

	-90 ays	ater Than 0 Days	Total Past Due		Loans Not Past Due	Total
Commercial and industrial	\$ 825	\$ 5,389	\$ 6,2	14 \$	258,465	\$ 264,679
Commercial real estate:						
Residential developed	438	4,568	5,0	06	41,829	46,835
Unsecured to residential developers		999	9	99	6,632	7,631
Vacant and unimproved	670	4,367	5,0	37	66,491	71,528
Commercial development		1,144	1,1	14	7,808	8,952
Residential improved	1,929	6,353	8,2	32	88,502	96,784
Commercial improved	901	21,440	22,3	11	333,558	355,899
Manufacturing and industrial	1,084	613	1,6	97	79,863	81,560
	 5,022	39,484	44,5)6	624,683	669,189
Consumer:						
Residential mortgage	1,293	1,489	2,7	32	132,445	135,227
Unsecured	45			15	2,822	2,867
Home equity	1,207	927	2,1	34	123,732	125,866
Other secured	57	10		67	19,301	19,368
	 2,602	 2,426	5,0	28	278,300	283,328
Total	\$ 8,449	\$ 47,299	\$ 55,7	18 \$	1,161,448	\$ 1,217,196

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The Company has allocated \$996,000 and \$1,361,000 of specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. These loans involved the restructuring of terms to allow customers to mitigate foreclosure by meeting a lower loan payment requirement based upon their current cash flow. The Company has been active at utilizing these programs and working with its customers to reduce the risk of foreclosure.

The following table presents information regarding troubled debt restructurings as of March 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

		Oı	utstanding		
	Number of	F	Recorded		
	Loans	1	Balance		
Commercial and industrial	15	\$	2,644		
Commercial real estate	43		22,666		
Consumer	76		14,388		

Included in these totals are \$458,000 of nonperforming commercial and industrial restructurings, \$1,534,000 of nonperforming commercial real estate restructurings and \$1,295,000 of nonperforming consumer loan restructurings as of March 31, 2011.

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

Credit Quality Indicators: The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of the borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes commercial loans individually and classifies these relationships by credit risk grading. The Company uses an eight point grading system, with grades 5 through 8 being considered classified, or watch, credits. All commercial loans are assigned a grade at origination, at each renewal or any amendment. When a credit is first downgraded to a watch credit (either through renewal, amendment, lender identification or the loan review process), an Administrative Loan Review ("ALR") is generated by credit and the lender. All watch credits have an ALR completed monthly which analyzes the collateral position and cash flow of the borrower and its guarantors. The lender is required to complete both a short term and long term plan to rehabilitate or exit the credit and to give monthly comments on the progress to these plans. Management meets quarterly with lenders to discuss each of these credits in detail and to help formulate solutions where progress has stalled. When necessary, the loan officer proposes changes to the assigned loan grade as part of the ALR. Additionally, Loan Review reviews all loan grades upon origination, renewal or amendment and again as loans are selected though the loan review process. The credit will stay on the ALR until either its grade has improved to a 4 or the credit relationship is at a zero balance. The Company uses the following definitions for the risk grades:

- 1. Excellent Borrowings supported by extremely strong financial condition or secured by the Bank's own deposits. Minimal risk to the Bank and the probability of serious rapid financial deterioration is extremely small.
- 2. Above Average Borrowings supported by sound financial statements that indicate the ability to repay or borrowings secured (and margined properly) with marketable securities. Nominal risk to the Bank and probability of serious financial deterioration is highly unlikely. The overall quality of these credits is very high.
- 3. Good Quality Average borrowings supported by satisfactory asset quality and liquidity, good debt capacity coverage, and good management in all critical positions. Loans are secured by acceptable collateral with adequate margins. There is a slight risk of deterioration if adverse market conditions prevail.
- 4. Acceptable Risk This is an acceptable risk to the Bank, which may be slightly below average quality. The borrower has limited financial strength with considerable leverage. There is some probability of deterioration if adverse market conditions prevail. These credits should be monitored closely by the Relationship Manager.
- 5. Marginally Acceptable Loans are of marginal quality with above normal risk to the Bank. The borrower shows acceptable asset quality but very little liquidity with high leverage. There is inconsistent earning performance without the ability to sustain adverse market conditions. The primary source of repayment is questionable, but the secondary source of repayment still remains an option. Very close attention by the Relationship Manager and management is needed.
- 6. Substandard Loans are inadequately protected by the net worth and paying capacity of the borrower or the collateral pledged. The primary and secondary sources of repayment are questionable. Heavy debt condition may be evident and volume and earnings deterioration may be underway. It is possible that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not immediately addressed and corrected.
- 7. Doubtful Borrowings supported by weak or no financial statements. The ability to repay the entire loan is questionable. Loans in this category are normally characterized less than adequate collateral, insolvent, or extremely weak financial condition. A loan classified doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in one classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses makes collection or liquidation in full highly questionable. The possibility of loss is extremely high, however, activity may be underway to minimize the loss or maximize the recovery.
- 8. Loss Loan are considered uncollectible and of little or no value as a bank asset.

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

As of March 31, 2011, the risk grade category of commercial loans by class of loans is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Commercial and industrial	\$	381	\$ 1,369	\$ 52,939	\$ 148,891	\$ 37,292	\$ 9,027	\$ 10,770	\$
Commercial real estate:									
Residential developed				1,319	9,935	15,039	11,285	10,085	
Unsecured to residential developers				237	858	173	465	888	
Vacant and unimproved				6,444	37,527	13,467	3,712	7,043	
Commercial development					3,022	1,523	198	833	
Residential improved				2,909	49,396	15,939	14,213	9,234	
Commercial improved				69,936	181,791	41,315	23,256	14,544	
Manufacturing and industrial			238	14,809	37,481	20,974	5,247	412	
	\$	381	\$ 1,607	\$ 148,593	\$ 468,901	\$ 145,722	\$ 67,403	\$ 53,809	\$

As of December 31, 2010, the risk grade category of commercial loans by class of loans is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	8
Commercial and industrial	\$ 442	\$ 1,583	\$ 51,558	\$ 148,880	\$ 41,467	\$ 9,165	\$ 11,584	\$	
Commercial real estate:									
Residential developed			240	6,682	14,705	14,360	10,848		
Unsecured to residential developers			4,784	907	500	515	925		
Vacant and unimproved		794	5,450	38,808	14,978	3,982	7,516		
Commercial development				4,240	2,765	295	1,652		
Residential improved			3,321	49,905	18,715	14,985	9,858		
Commercial improved			71,622	191,772	41,490	23,199	27,816		
Manufacturing and industrial		246	14,299	37,487	22,261	5,697	1,570		
-	\$ 442	\$ 2,623	\$ 151,274	\$ 478,681	\$ 156,881	\$ 72,198	\$ 71,769	\$	

The Company considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For consumer loan classes, the Company also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in consumer loans based on payment activity (dollars in thousands):

March 31, 2011	Residential Mortgage		Consumer Unsecured		Home Equity		nsumer Other
Performing	\$ 126,378	\$	2,300	\$	119,015	\$	18,703
Nonperforming	1,027				151		2
Total	\$ 127,405	\$	2,300	\$	119,166	\$	18,705
	Residential Mortgage			_		_	
December 31, 2010			nsumer secured		Home Equity		nsumer Other
Performing							
	ortgage		secured		Equity		ther
Performing	133,738		2,867		Equity 124,939		19,358

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

Loans rated a 6 or worse per the Company's internal risk rating system are considered substandard, doubtful or loss. Loans classified as substandard or worse were as follows at period-end (dollars in thousands):

	rch 31, 2011	Dec	2010 cember 31,
Not classified as impaired	\$ 58,901	\$	65,533
Classified as impaired	62,311		78,434
Total loans classified substandard or worse	\$ 121,212	\$	143,967

At March 31, 2011, approximately \$53.8 million of the \$121.2 million of loans classified as substandard or worse were on nonaccrual status, while the remaining \$71.4 million of these loans were on accrual status.

At December 31, 2010, approximately \$74.8 million of the \$144.0 million of loans classified as substandard or worse were on nonaccrual status, while the remaining \$69.2 million of these loans were on accrual status.

NOTE 4 - OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

Period-end other real estate owned was as follows (dollars in thousands):

		Three			Т	`hree	
	N	Months	,	Year	M	onths	
	Ended		Е	inded	Е	nded	
	March 31,		December 31,		Ma	rch 31,	
		2011	2	2010		2010	
Beginning balance	\$	68,388	\$	41,987	\$	41,987	
Additions, transfers from loans		14,479		45,248		17,954	
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned		(4,984)		(16,003)		(5,711)	
Valuation allowance reversal upon sale		(1,083)		(2,677)		(710)	
Gain (loss) on sale of other real estate owned		212		(167)		(120)	
		77,012		68,388		53,400	
Less: valuation allowance		(12,020)		(10,404)		(7,610)	
Ending balance	\$	64,992	\$	57,984	\$	45,790	

 $Activity\ in\ the\ valuation\ allowance\ was\ as\ follows\ (dollars\ in\ thousands):$

		Three Marc	led h 31,	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010		
Beginning balance		\$	10,404	\$	4,804	
Additions charged to expense			2,699		3,516	
Deletions upon disposition			(1,083)		(710)	
Ending balance	_	\$	12,020	\$	7,610	

Net realized gains on sales of other real estate were \$212,000 for the three month period ended March 31, 2011. Net realized losses on sales of other real estate were \$120,000 for the three month period ended March 31, 2010.

NOTE 5 - FAIR VALUE

ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value include:

- Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.
- Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability

<u>Investment Securities:</u> The fair values of investment securities are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).

Loans Held for Sale: The fair value of loans held for sale is based upon binding quotes from 3rd party investors (Level 2 inputs).

Impaired Loans: The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned: Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned (OREO) are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

NOTE 5 - FAIR VALUE (Continued)

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		Obser	ficant Other wable Inputs Level 2)	Significan Unobserval Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets:							
March 31, 2011							
U.S. Treasury and federal							
agency securities	\$ 11,652	\$		\$	11,652	\$	
Other equity securities	1,008				1,008		
Loans held for sale	942				942		
December 31, 2010							
U.S. federal agency securities	\$ 8,109	\$		\$	8,109	\$	
State and municipal bonds							
Other equity securities	1,011				1,011		
Loans held for sale	2,537				2,537		

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

Fair Value		Active M Identica	larkets for al Assets	Observa	able Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
\$	25,050	\$		\$		\$	25,050
	45,019						45,019
\$	37,173	\$		\$		\$	37,173
	32,262						32,262
	V	\$ 25,050 45,019 \$ 37,173	Fair Value	Value (Level I) \$ 25,050 \$ 45,019 \$ 37,173 \$	Fair Value Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) Signific Observa (Le \$ 25,050 45,019 \$ \$ \$ 37,173 \$ \$	Fair Value Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 25,050 45,019 \$ \$ \$ 37,173 \$ \$ 	Fair Value Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) Unobservable Inputs (Level 2) \$ 25,050

NOTE 5 - FAIR VALUE (Continued)

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, not previously presented, were as follows at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands).

	 March 3		December 31, 2010				
	 Carrying Amount		Fair Value		Carrying Amount		Fair Value
Financial assets							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 262,627	\$	262,627	\$	236,127	\$	236,127
Securities held to maturity					83		83
FHLB stock	11,932		N/A		11,932		N/A
Loans, net	1,111,649		1,118,394		1,169,770		1,169,497
Accrued interest receivable	3,721		3,721		3,845		3,845
Financial liabilities							
Deposits	(1,264,665)		(1,267,213)		(1,276,620)		(1,280,238)
Other borrowed funds	(174,270)		(175,455)		(185,336)		(187,104)
Long-term debt	(41,238)		(34,555)		(41,238)		(34,506)
Subordinated debt	(1,650)		(1,650)		(1,650)		(1,650)
Accrued interest payable	(2,706)		(2,706)		(2,401)		(2,401)
Off-balance sheet credit-related items							,
Loan commitments							

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows.

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, short-term borrowings, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. Security fair values are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities as discussed above. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk (including consideration of widening credit spreads). Fair value of debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off-balance sheet credit-related items is not significant.

NOTE 6 - DEPOSITS

Deposits are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	March 31,	December 31,
	2011	2010
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 282,050	\$ 255,897
Interest bearing demand	182,700	216,827
Savings and money market accounts	384,932	355,657
Certificates of deposit	414,983	448,239
	\$ 1,264,665	\$ 1,276,620

Approximately \$175.6 million and \$192.7 million in certificates of deposit were in denominations of \$100,000 or more at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Brokered deposits totaled approximately \$42.6 million and \$48.2 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. At both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, brokered deposits had interest rates ranging from 3.75% to 4.55%. The remaining balance of \$42.6 million in brokered deposits will mature in 2011.

Additional information about restrictions on the Bank's deposit gathering activities may be found in Note 1 under the heading "Regulatory Developments."

NOTE 7 - OTHER BORROWED FUNDS

Other borrowed funds include advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank and borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank.

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

At period-end, advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Advance		Weighted Average
Principal Terms	<u>Amount</u>	Range of Maturities	Interest Rate
March 31, 2011			
Single maturity fixed rate advances	\$ 160,000	May 2011 to November 2015	1.94%
Amortizable mortgage advances	14,270	March 2018 to July 2018	3.77%
	\$ 174,270		
	Advance		Weighted Average
Principal Terms	Advance Amount	Range of Maturities	Weighted Average Interest Rate
Principal Terms December 31, 2010		Range of Maturities	2 2
		Range of Maturities March 2011 to November 2015	e e
December 31, 2010	Amount		Interest Rate

Each advance is subject to a prepayment penalty if paid prior to its maturity date. Fixed rate advances are payable at maturity. Amortizable mortgage advances are fixed rate advances with scheduled repayments based upon amortization to maturity. Putable advances are fixed rate advances that can be changed to a variable rate at the option of the FHLB. If the FHLB exercises that option, these advances may be repaid without penalty. These advances were collateralized by residential and commercial real estate loans totaling \$373.7 million and \$420.5 million under a physical loan collateral delivery arrangement at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Scheduled repayments of FHLB advances as of March 31, 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

2011	\$ 35,667
2012	66,781
2013	31,831
2014	21,884
2015	11,938
Thereafter	 6,169
	\$ 174,270

NOTE 8 - EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are as follows (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Month Ended March 31, 2011	is	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010		
Net income (loss)	\$	1,291	\$	(21,140)	
Dividends declared on preferred shares					
Net income (loss) available to common shares	\$	1,291	\$	(21,140)	
Weighted average shares outstanding, including participating stock awards - Basic Dilutive potential common shares:	17,6	79,621		17,696,922	
Stock options					
Conversion of preferred stock					
Stock warrants					
Weighted average shares outstanding - Diluted	17,6	79,621		17,696,922	
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$	0.07	\$	(1.19)	
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share (1)		0.07		(1.19)	

(1) For any period in which a loss is recorded, the assumed exercise of stock options would have an anti-dilutive impact on loss per share and thus are ignored in the diluted per common share calculation.

Stock options for 714,766 and 918,437 shares of common stock for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were not considered in computing diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive. Potential common shares associated with convertible preferred stock and stock warrants were excluded from dilutive potential common shares as they were antidilutive.

NOTE 9 - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense (benefit) was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Thr	ee	Three		
	Mon	iths	Mon	nths	
	End	Ended		led	
	March	n 31,	March	rch 31,	
	201	2011		10	
Current	\$	(2)	\$	(21)	
Deferred (benefit) expense		2		21	
	\$		\$		

NOTE 9 - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES (Continued)

The difference between the financial statement tax expense (benefit) and amount computed by applying the statutory federal tax rate to pretax income was reconciled as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2011		2010	
Statutory rate		35%	35%	
Statutory rate applied to income (loss) before taxes	\$	452	\$ (7,399)	
Add (deduct)				
Change in valuation allowance		(355)	7,652	
Tax-exempt interest income			(167)	
Bank-owned life insurance		(75)	(70)	
Other, net		(22)	 (16)	
	\$		\$ 	

The realization of deferred tax assets (net of a recorded valuation allowance) is largely dependent upon future taxable income, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and the ability to carryback losses to available tax years. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we consider all positive and negative evidence, including taxable income in carry-back years, scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, expected future taxable income and tax planning strategies.

At March 31, 2011, the need for a valuation allowance was based primarily on the Company's net operating loss for 2009 and 2008, and the challenging environment currently confronting banks that could impact future operating results. As a result, an \$18.0 million valuation allowance on deferred tax assets was charged to federal income tax expense in 2009. As a result of losses incurred in 2010, the Company increased the valuation allowance to \$25.6 million at December 31, 2010. At March 31, 2011, the valuation allowance was \$25.3 million. The valuation allowance may be reversed to income in future periods to the extent that the related deferred tax assets are realized or the valuation allowance is no longer required. If the Company returns to consistent, sustained profitability, the need for the valuation allowance would diminish.

The net deferred tax asset recorded included the following amounts of deferred tax assets and liabilities (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Deferred tax asset		
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 14,820	\$ 16,599
Nonaccrual loan interest	387	548
Valuation allowance on other real estate owned	4,207	3,641
Net operating loss carryforward	7,744	6,656
Other	885	975
Gross deferred tax assets	28,043	28,419
Valuation allowance	(25,294)	(25,649)
Total net deferred tax assets	2,749	2,770
Deferred tax liabilities		
Depreciation	(1,932)	(1,984)
Purchase accounting adjustments	(89)	(113)
Unrealized gain on securities available for sale	(8)	(6)
Prepaid expenses	(407)	(347)
Other	(313)	(320)
Gross deferred tax liabilities	(2,749)	(2,770)
Net deferred tax asset	\$	\$

NOTE 9 - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES (Continued)

At March 31, 2011, we had federal net operating loss carryforwards of \$22.1 million that expire in 2030.

There were no unrecognized tax benefits at March 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010 and the Company does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase or decrease in the next twelve months. The Company is no longer subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for years before 2007.

NOTE 10 - CONTINGENCIES

We and our subsidiaries periodically become defendants in certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. As of March 31, 2011, there were no material pending legal proceedings, other than routine litigation incidental to the business of banking, to which we or any of our subsidiaries are a party or which any of our properties are the subject.

NOTE 11 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Regulatory Capital

The Company and the Bank are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors, and the regulators can lower classifications in certain cases. Failure to meet various capital requirements can initiate regulatory action that could have a direct material effect on the financial statements.

The prompt corrective action regulations provide five categories, including well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. If a bank is only adequately capitalized, regulatory approval is required to, among other things, accept, renew or roll-over brokered deposits. If a bank is undercapitalized, capital distributions and growth and expansion are limited, and plans for capital restoration are required.

NOTE 11 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, actual capital levels and minimum required levels were (in thousands):

	To Be Well											
				Minimum Required			Capitalized Under			Minimum		
				For Capita	1	P	rompt Corre	ctive		Required Un	der	
	 Actual			Adequacy Purposes		Action Regulations			Consent Order			
	 Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio	Aı	nount	Ratio	Α	mount	Ratio	
March 31, 2011												
Total capital (to risk weighted												
assets)	40.5.500	40.00/		0.5.0.0	0.007		37/1	27/1		37/4	27/1	
Consolidated	\$ 125,730	10.3%	\$	97,266	8.0%		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	
Bank	126,578	10.4		97,201	8.0	\$	121,502	10.0%	\$	133,652	11.0%	
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted												
assets)												
Consolidated	91,389	7.5		48,633	4.0		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	
Bank	111,050	9.1		48,601	4.0		72,901	6.0		N/A	N/A	
Tier 1 capital (to average												
assets)												
Consolidated	91,389	5.8		62,608	4.0		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	
Bank	111,050	7.1		62,518	4.0		78,148	5.0		125,037	8.0	
<u>December 31, 2010</u>												
Total capital (to risk weighted												
assets)												
Consolidated	\$ 125,483	9.7%	\$	104,013	8.0%		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	
Bank	125,797	9.7		103,970	8.0	\$	129,963	10.0%	\$	142,960	11.0%	
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted												
assets)												
Consolidated	89,585	6.9		52,007	4.0		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	
Bank	109,160	8.4		51,985	4.0		77,978	6.0		N/A	N/A	
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)												
Consolidated	89,585	5.8		61,605	4.0		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	
Bank	109,160	7.1		61,520	4.0		76,901	5.0		123,041	8.0	

Approximately \$22.8 million and \$22.4 million of trust preferred securities outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, qualified as Tier 1 capital. Refer to our 2010 Form 10-K for more information on the trust preferred securities.

The Bank was categorized as "adequately capitalized" at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The Bank's regulatory capital ratios exceeded the levels ordinarily required to be categorized as "well capitalized" at March 31, 2011. However, because the Bank is subject to the Consent Order, the Bank cannot be categorized as "well capitalized" regardless of actual capital levels.

As part of the Consent Order, the Bank is required to have and maintain a Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio of at least 8% and a Total Risk Based Capital Ratio of at least 11%. The Bank needed a capital injection of approximately \$14.0 million as of March 31, 2011 to comply with the Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio requirement and needed a capital injection of approximately \$7.1 million at March 31, 2011 to comply with the Total Risk Based Ratio requirement of the Consent Order. The ability of the Bank to achieve these requirements is largely dependent on the success of capital raising initiatives in process by the Company as discussed previously. The Consent Order also prohibits the Bank from declaring or paying any cash dividend without the prior written consent of its regulators. The payment of future cash dividends by the Company is largely dependent upon dividends received from the Bank out of its earnings. Under Michigan law, the Bank is also restricted from paying dividends to the Company until its deficit retained earnings has been restored. The Bank had a retained deficit of approximately \$37.9 million at March 31, 2011.

NOTE 11 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

Additional information about the Consent Order may be found in Note 1 under the heading "Regulatory Developments."

In order to temporarily replenish the Company's liquidity pending the Company's planned public offering of common stock, on April 21, 2011, the Company issued and sold a 2% Subordinated Note due 2018 in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,000,000 to a director of the Company. The note has an interest rate of 2%, compounded quarterly in arrears. Accrued interest is payable in full at maturity, or at the date the principal is paid in full. The note has a maturity date of April 30, 2018. The Company may prepay the note in whole or in part at any time from and after September 30, 2011. If and when the Company conducts a registered public offering of its common stock prior to April 30, 2012, the note allows the holder to purchase shares offered in the public offering and to pay the cash price of shares purchased in the public offering by delivering the note to the Company at a value equal to the principal and interest accrued. The holder also has a continuing right to convert the note in full into common stock with the stock to be valued at book value and the note to be valued at principal and interest accrued.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Macatawa Bank Corporation is a Michigan corporation and a registered bank holding company. It wholly-owns Macatawa Bank, Macatawa Statutory Trust I and Macatawa Statutory Trust II. Macatawa Bank is a Michigan chartered bank with depository accounts insured by the FDIC. The Bank operates twenty-six branch offices and a lending and operational service facility, providing a full range of commercial and consumer banking and trust services in Kent County, Ottawa County, and northern Allegan County, Michigan. Macatawa Statutory Trusts I and II are grantor trusts and issued \$20.0 million each of pooled trust preferred securities. These trusts are not consolidated in our Consolidated Financial Statements. For further information regarding consolidation, see the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

At March 31, 2011, we had total assets of \$1.56 billion, total loans of \$1.15 billion, total deposits of \$1.26 billion and shareholders' equity of \$69.2 million. During the first quarter of 2011, we recognized net income of \$1.3 million compared to a net loss of \$21.1 million in the first quarter of 2010. This represented our fourth consecutive quarter of profitability following six consecutive quarters of net losses. As described more fully below, a meaningful reduction in charge-offs and nonperforming loans led to a negative loan loss provision for the most recent quarter. As of March 31, 2011, the Bank's capital ratios returned to levels ordinarily required to be categorized "well capitalized" under applicable regulatory guidelines, but are below the requirements in our Consent Order. As a result, we remained categorized as "adequately capitalized" at March 31, 2011.

The weak local and national economic conditions that persisted over the past few years contributed to the 2010 operating loss and the \$38.9 million and \$63.6 million of annual operating losses reported by us during 2008 and 2009. The losses for these prior periods were largely attributable to loan losses, lost interest on non-performing assets and costs of administering problem assets associated with problem loans and other real estate assets. We also incurred a non-cash charge of \$18.0 million included in federal income tax expense in 2009 associated with a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets and non-cash, after tax impairment charges for goodwill and intangible assets of \$27 million in 2008. There will be no further negative affect on our results of operations associated with deferred tax assets or goodwill, as these assets have been written off or reserved for in their entirety. Under certain conditions according to accounting standards, as we return to sustained profitability it could be possible to reverse the established valuation on our deferred tax assets through earnings.

Our Board of Directors and management remain focused on efforts to improve our internal operations and to work out of our problem loans and assets. We believe our improved results over the past four quarters reflect the impact of these efforts. The Bank's Board of Directors has implemented additional corporate governance practices and disciplined business and banking principles, including more conservative lending principles intended to comply with regulatory standards. Our management team continues to execute these disciplined business and banking procedures and policies intended to limit future losses, preserve capital and improve operational efficiencies.

We have also worked closely with our regulators at the FRB and the Bank's regulators at the FDIC and the OFIR to put in place improved controls and procedures. On February 22, 2010, Macatawa Bank entered into a Consent Order with the FDIC and OFIR, the primary banking regulators of the Bank. The Company also formally entered into a Written Agreement with the FRB with an effective date of July 23, 2010. As of March 31, 2011, we believe that the Bank was in compliance in all material respects with all of the provisions of the Consent Order, other than the minimum capital requirements. As of the same date, we believe that we were in compliance in all material respects with all of the provisions of the Written Agreement, other than acceptance by the FRB of a capital plan and a plan for meeting cash flow obligations for 2011. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Additional information further describing changes in our business, including those in response to the Consent Order and the Written Agreement, are described in detail in our 2010 Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Summary: Net income available to common shares for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 was \$1.3 million, compared to first quarter 2010 net loss of \$21.1 million. Net income per common share on a diluted basis was \$0.07 for the first quarter of 2011 compared to a net loss per common share of \$1.19 for the same period in 2010.

The improvement in earnings in the first quarter of 2011 was due primarily to a significantly lower level of net chargeoffs from \$13.6 million in the first quarter of 2010 to \$3.6 million in the first quarter of 2011, our lowest quarterly level of net chargeoffs since the third quarter of 2008. This, coupled with a decline in non-performing and impaired loan levels, resulted in a decrease of \$21.1 million in the provision for loan losses. The provision for loan losses was a negative \$1.45 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$19.7 million for the same period in 2010.

Operating results in recent periods have been significantly impacted by the cost associated with problem loans and nonperforming assets. Apart from the provision for loan losses, costs associated with nonperforming assets were \$4.4 million for the first quarter of 2011 compared to \$5.5 million for the first quarter of 2010. Lost interest from elevated levels of nonperforming assets was approximately \$2.1 million and \$2.6 million for the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Each of these items is discussed more fully below.

Net Interest Income: Net interest income totaled \$11.6 million for the first quarter of 2011 compared to \$13.0 million for the first quarter of 2010.

The decrease in net interest income was due primarily to a \$211.5 million reduction in our average interest earning assets as a result of our focus on reducing credit exposure within certain segments of our loan portfolio, liquidity improvement and capital preservation. The net interest margin was 3.22% for the first quarters of both 2011 and 2010.

Average interest earning assets decreased from \$1.65 billion for the first three months of 2010 to \$1.44 billion for the same period in 2011. Our average yield on earning assets for the first three months of 2011 declined 73 basis points from 5.15% to 4.42%.

The declines were from slight decreases in the yield on our residential and consumer loan portfolios, which have repriced in the generally lower rate environment during this period and a reduction in the balance of our securities portfolio relative to total earning assets. We sold nearly our entire securities portfolio during the second quarter of 2010. The majority of these funds have been initially reinvested in lower yielding liquid money market balances. We expect these higher than normal liquid balances will continue to put downward pressure on margin in the near term.

The cost of funds decreased 74 basis points to 1.42% in the first quarter of 2011 from 2.16% in 2010. A decrease in the rates paid on our deposit accounts in response to declining market rates and the rollover of time deposits and other borrowings at lower rates within the current rate environment impacted the reduction in our cost of funds. Also contributing to the reduction was a shift in our deposit mix from higher costing time deposits to lower costing demand and savings accounts.

The following table shows an analysis of net interest margin for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

	For the three months ended March 31,								
			201	1			2010		
		Average Balance	E	nterest arned r paid	Average Yield or cost	Average Balance		Interest Earned or paid	Average Yield or cost
					(Dollars in the	ousands)			
<u>Assets</u>					`	ĺ			
Taxable securities	\$	11,632	\$	26	0.91%\$	68,221	\$	666	3.91%
Tax-exempt securities (1)		76		1	6.95%	50,115		525	6.46%
Loans (2)		1,184,429		15,582	5.27%	1,474,356		19,623	5.23%
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		11,932		76	2.56%	12,275		62	2.02%
Federal funds sold and other short-term									
investments		229,569		168	0.29%	44,154		61	0.56%
Total interest earning assets (1)		1,437,638		15,853	4.42%	1,649,121		20,937	5.15%
Noninterest earning assets:									
Cash and due from banks		21,868				23,712			
Other		106,276				112,453			
Total assets	\$	1,565,782			\$	1,785,286			
<u>Liabilities</u>									
Deposits:									
Interest bearing demand	\$	178,942	\$	103	0.23 %\$	245,394	\$	231	0.38%
Savings and money market accounts		370,640		543	0.59%	335,423		487	0.59%
Time deposits		434,534		2,266	2.11%	600,840		4,690	3.17%
Borrowings:									
Other borrowed funds		186,340		1,000	2.15%	258,981		2,180	3.37%
Long-term debt		41,238		343	3.34%	41,238		321	3.12%
Total interest bearing liabilities		1,211,694		4,255	1.42%	1,481,876		7,909	2.16%
Noninterest bearing liabilities:									
Noninterest bearing demand accounts		278,998				213,044			
Other noninterest bearing liabilities		6,166				6,674			
Shareholders' equity		68,924				83,692			
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	1,565,782			\$	1,785,286			
Net interest income			\$	11,598			\$	13,028	
Net interest spread (1)					3.00%				2.99%
Net interest margin (1)					3.22%				3.22%
Ratio of average interest earning assets to									
average interest bearing liabilities		118.65%	Ó			111.29%	6		

(1)

 $Yield \ adjusted \ to \ fully \ tax \ equivalent.$ Includes non-accrual loans of approximately \$73.5 \ million \ and \$89.6 \ million \ for the three months ended March 31, 2011 \ and 2010. (2)

Provision for Loan Losses: The provision for loan losses for the first quarter of 2011 was a negative \$1.45 million compared to \$19.7 million for the first quarter of 2010. The reduction in the provision for loan losses was primarily associated with a significant decline in charge-offs and a reduction in the balance and required reserves on nonperforming loans, stabilizing real estate values on problem credits and continued shrinkage in the overall loan portfolio.

Net charge-offs were \$3.6 million for the first quarter of 2011 compared to \$13.6 million for the first quarter of 2010. This is the lowest quarterly level of net charge-offs since the third quarter of 2008. Most of the charge-offs taken during the first quarter of 2011 were from impaired loans with previously established reserves. The charge-offs for each period have largely been driven by declines in the value of real estate securing our loans. The pace of the value decline, however, has been slowing, thereby translating into a decline in charge-offs.

We have also seen a decline in the pace of commercial loans migrating to a lower loan grade, which receive higher allocations in our loan loss reserve, as more fully discussed in this Item 2 under the heading "Allowance for Loan Losses." In addition to experiencing fewer downgrades of credits, we are beginning to see an increase in the quality of some credits resulting in an improved loan grade. We believe efforts that began in late 2009 and in early 2010 to improve loan administration and loan risk management practices have had a significant impact, ultimately allowing for the reduction in the level of the provision for loan losses for the first quarter of 2011.

The amounts of loan loss provision in both the most recent and comparable prior year periods were the result of establishing our allowance for loan losses at levels believed necessary based upon our methodology for determining the adequacy of the allowance. The sustained lower level of quarterly net charge-offs over the past several quarters has a significant effect on the historical loss component of our methodology. More information about our allowance for loan losses and our methodology for establishing its level may be found in this Item 2 under the heading "Allowance for Loan Losses" below.

Noninterest Income: Noninterest income for the first quarter 2011 increased to \$3.7 million from \$3.5 million for the same period in 2010. The components of noninterest income are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	2	011	2	010
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	\$	949	\$	1,065
Net gains on mortgage loans		435		181
Trust fees		651		890
ATM and debit card fees		852		785
Bank owned life insurance income		215		200
Investment services fees		233		140
Other income		344		207
Total noninterest income	\$	3,679	\$	3,468

Increases in net gains on mortgage loans, investment services fees and ATM and debit card fees were the primary reasons for the \$211,000 increase in noninterest income for the first quarter of 2011. Net gains on mortgage loans increased by \$254,000, as we have increased focus on growth in our residential mortgage loan origination volume and added experienced mortgage professionals over the past two quarters to our lending team. The increases in net gains on mortgage loans, investment service fees and ATM and debit card fees were offset by decreases in trust fees and deposit services fees. The decrease in trust fees is the result of a decline in trust asset balances. The decline in revenue from deposit services was related to a decrease in non-sufficient fund fees, consistent with a decline across the banking industry.

Noninterest Expense: Noninterest expense for the first quarter 2011 decreased to \$15.4 million from \$17.9 million for the same period in 2010. The components of noninterest expense are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	March			
		,	March 31,	
	201			2010
Salaries and benefits	\$	5,347	\$	5,450
Occupancy of premises		1,011		1,052
Furniture and equipment		817		981
Legal and professional		270		769
Marketing and promotion		224		215
Data processing		304		346
FDIC assessment		978		1,257
ATM and debit card processing		271		310
Bond and D&O insurance		379		548
Administration and disposition of problem assets		4,434		5,535
Outside services		421		485
Other noninterest expense		980		978
Total noninterest expense	\$	15,436	\$	17,926

Decreases in costs associated with administration and disposition of problem assets and legal and professional fees were the primary reasons for the decline in noninterest expense of \$2.5 million. However, nearly all components of noninterest expense experienced a decline due to our ongoing efforts to manage expenses and scale our operations in response to prolonged economic weakness.

Costs associated with administration and disposition of problem assets include legal costs, repossessed and foreclosed property administration expense and losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties. Repossessed and foreclosed property administration expense includes survey and appraisal, property maintenance and management and other disposition and carrying costs. Losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties include both net losses on the sale of properties and unrealized losses from value declines for outstanding properties.

These costs are itemized in the following table (in thousands):

	Three N	Three Months		Three Months	
	End	Ended		nded	
	March 3	1, 2011	March	31, 2010	
Legal and professional - nonperforming assets	\$	825	\$	687	
Repossessed and foreclosed property administration		1,116		1,205	
Losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties		2,493		3,643	
Total	\$	4,434	\$	5,535	

Losses on repossessed assets and foreclosed properties decreased to \$2.5 million for the first quarter 2011 from \$3.6 million for the first quarter 2010. The decrease is due to less rapid market declines in valuations. The overall level remains elevated due to the level of other real estate owned.

Our legal and professional expenses decreased for the first quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The first quarter of 2010 included legal fees for consultation related to the Consent Order, the material weakness reported in our 2009 Form 10-K and our implementation of additional corporate governance procedures, including more consultation with corporate legal counsel.

Our largest component of noninterest expense, salaries and benefits, declined in the first quarter of 2011 by \$103,000 from the first quarter of 2010. We had 385 full-time equivalent employees at March 31, 2011 compared to 375 at March 31, 2010. Over the past three quarters, we hired personnel in our risk management functions, including our Special Assets, Credit Administration and Loan Review departments, as we continue to focus on improvement in our lending discipline and loan risk management practices and additional personnel in our retail lending group as we increase our focus on consumer lending. Accordingly, we expect the level of salaries and benefits to stabilize and may even increase as we move through the remainder of 2011.

FDIC assessments decreased by \$279,000 to \$978,000 for the first quarter of 2011 compared to \$1.3 million for the first quarter of 2010 as a result of our reduced level of deposits and changes to the assessment base implemented by the FDIC.

When excluding FDIC assessments and nonperforming asset costs, non-interest expense would have been approximately \$10.0 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2011, down 10.0%, from \$11.1 million for the same period of 2010.

Federal Income Tax Expense/Benefit: We recorded no federal income tax expense for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. Since June 30, 2009, we have concluded that a full valuation allowance must be maintained for all of our net deferred tax assets based primarily on our net operating losses and the continued challenging environment confronting banks that could impact our ability to generate future earnings. Under certain conditions according to accounting standards, as we return to sustained profitability it could be possible to reverse the established valuation on our deferred tax assets through earnings.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Summary: In light of the persistent weak economic conditions, we have been focused on reducing our loan portfolio, including reducing exposure in higher loan concentration types, to improve our financial condition through increased liquidity, diversification of credit risk, improved capital ratios, and reduced reliance on non-core funding. We have experienced positive results in each of these areas over the past four quarters.

Total assets were \$1.56 billion at March 31, 2011, a decrease of \$21.0 million from \$1.58 billion at December 31, 2010. The decrease reflected declines of \$58.1 million in our loan portfolio, partially offset by an increase of \$23.5 million in short-term investments. The decline in assets was primarily offset on the funding side of the balance sheet by a decline in deposits generated through brokers and the maturity of certain other borrowed funds.

Federal Funds Sold and Other Short Term Investments: The increase in federal funds sold and other short-term investments to \$238.4 million at March 31, 2011 was primarily the result of elevated seasonal deposits, and from a reduction in the Bank's loan portfolio. We expect these balances to decrease in the second quarter of 2011 as the seasonal deposits are drawn down and as we experience further maturities of brokered deposits.

Securities Available for Sale: Securities available for sale were \$12.7 million at March 31, 2011 compared to \$9.1 million at December 31, 2010. The balance at March 31, 2011 primarily consisted of U.S. Treasury securities held for collateral purposes. If conditions continue to improve during 2011, we expect to reinvest excess liquidity and selectively rebuild our investment portfolio to diversify our asset quality.

Portfolio Loans and Asset Quality: Total portfolio loans declined by \$63.2 million to \$1.15 billion at March 31, 2011 compared to \$1.22 billion at December 31, 2010. During the first three months of 2011, our commercial, residential mortgage and consumer loan portfolios decreased by \$47.5 million, \$7.8 million and \$7.9 million, respectively.

While we experienced a decline in the residential mortgage loan portfolio from December 31, 2010 to March 31, 2011, we saw an increase in the volume of residential mortgage loans originated for sale in the first three months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. Residential mortgage loans originated for sale were \$16.7 million in the first three months of 2011 compared to \$9.7 million for the same period in 2010. This increase is due to market

conditions as well as our focus on increasing our residential mortgage lending volume. Going forward, we expect to retain for our own portfolio certain types of our residential mortgage loan production volume in order to stabilize the recent decreases we have experienced in our residential mortgage portfolio.

The decline in the commercial loan portfolio in recent quarters reflected the continuing weak economic conditions in West Michigan and our interest in improving the quality of our loan portfolio through reducing our exposure to these generally higher credit risk assets. We have focused our efforts on reducing our exposure to residential land development loans, diversifying our commercial loan portfolio and improving asset quality. We expect continued shrinkage in our real estate development portfolios to continue to diversify our credit exposure.

Commercial and commercial real estate loans still remained our largest loan segment and accounted for approximately 77% of the total loan portfolio at both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Residential mortgage and consumer loans comprised approximately 11% and 12%, respectively, of total loans at both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

A further breakdown of the composition of the commercial loan portfolio is shown in the table below (in thousands):

	March 31, 2011		ember 31, 2010
Commercial real estate:			
Residential Developed	\$ 47,663	\$	46,835
Unsecured to residential developers	2,621		7,631
Vacant and unimproved	68,193		71,528
Commercial development	5,576		8,952
Residential improved	91,690		96,784
Commercial improved	330,842		355,899
Manufacturing and industrial	 79,162		81,560
Total commercial real estate loans	625,747		669,189
Commercial and industrial	260,669		264,679
Total commercial loans	\$ 886,416	\$	933,868

(1) Includes both owner occupied and non-owner occupied commercial real estate.

Commercial real estate accounted for approximately 71% of the commercial loan portfolio at March 31, 2011 and consisted primarily of loans to business owners and developers of owner and non-owner occupied commercial properties and loans to developers of single and multi-family residential properties. In the table above, we show our commercial real estate portfolio by loans secured by residential and commercial real estate, and further by stage of development. Improved loans are generally secured by properties that are under construction or completed and placed in use. Development loans are secured by properties that are in the process of development or fully developed. Vacant land loans are secured by raw land for which development has not yet begun.

Total commercial real estate loans declined \$43.4 million since December 31, 2010 as we continue to focus on reducing our real estate loan concentrations and balances. Commercial loans secured by residential real estate, the portfolio that has created the majority of stress within our loan portfolio, declined \$9.7 million. The balance of loans secured by nonresidential real estate declined \$34.2 million since December 31, 2010. We expect continued reductions, though at a slower pace in 2011, in our real estate portfolios as we maintain and improve our capital ratios.

Our loan portfolio is reviewed regularly by our senior management, our loan officers, and an internal loan review team that is independent of our loan originators and credit administration. An administrative loan committee consisting of senior management and seasoned lending and collections personnel meets monthly to manage our internal watch list and proactively manage high risk loans.

When reasonable doubt exists concerning collectability of interest or principal of one of our loans, that loan is placed in non-accrual status. Any interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed and charged against current earnings.

Nonperforming assets are comprised of nonperforming loans, foreclosed assets and repossessed assets. At March 31, 2011, nonperforming assets totaled \$121.1 million compared to \$133.4 million at December 31, 2010. The relative level of new loans moving to a nonperforming status has declined as our efforts to proactively address credit risks have taken hold. During 2010, we completed an independent re-evaluation of our commercial loan portfolio. At the same time, significant progress has been made to accelerate workout strategies with problem assets which led to several properties moving to other real estate owned which increased by \$7.0 million in the first quarter of 2011.

Based on the loans currently in their redemption period, we expect reduced levels of loans moving into other real estate owned in the remaining quarters of 2011. Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties were \$5.0 million in the first quarter 2011 resulting in a net gain of \$212,000. This is a decrease from the volume of sales in the first quarter of 2010 when we experienced proceeds of \$5.7 million.

Nonperforming loans include loans on non-accrual status and loans delinquent more than 90 days but still accruing. Foreclosed and repossessed assets include assets acquired in settlement of loans. As of March 31, 2011, nonperforming loans totaled \$56.1 million or 4.86% of total portfolio loans, compared to \$75.4 million, or 6.19% of total portfolio loans, at December 31, 2010.

Loans for development or sale of 1-4 family residential properties comprised the largest portion of non-performing loans. They were approximately \$20.7 million, or 36.9% of total non-performing loans, at March 31, 2011 compared to \$22.1 million, or 29.3% of total non-performing loans, at December 31, 2010. The remaining balance of non-performing loans at March 31, 2011 consisted of \$22.3 million of commercial real estate loans secured by various types of non-residential real estate, \$11.2 million of commercial and industrial loans, and \$1.9 million of consumer and residential mortgage loans.

Foreclosed assets totaled \$65.0 million at March 31, 2011 compared to \$58.0 million at December 31, 2010. Of this balance, there were properties from 152 commercial real estate loan relationships totaling approximately \$57.3 million. The remaining balance was comprised of 58 residential mortgage properties totaling approximately \$7.7 million. All properties acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure are initially transferred at their fair value less costs to sell and then evaluated for impairment after transfer using a lower of cost or market approach.

The following table shows the composition and amount of our nonperforming assets (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010
Nonaccrual loans	\$	55,612	\$ 74,761
Loans 90 days past due and still accruing		485	 600
Total nonperforming loans (NPLs)		56,097	75,361
Foreclosed assets		64,992	57,984
Repossessed assets		22	50
Other real estate held for sale		0	 0
Total nonperforming assets (NPAs)		121,111	133,395
Accruing restructured loans (ARLs) (1)		28,616	 25,395
Total NPAs and ARLs	\$	149,727	\$ 158,790
NPLs to total loans		4.86%	6.19%
NPAs to total assets		7.79%	8.45%

⁽¹⁾ Comprised of approximately \$14.6 million and \$12.1 million of commercial loans and \$14.0 million and \$13.3 million of consumer loans whose terms have been restructured at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Interest is being accrued on these loans under their restructured terms as they are less than 90 days past due.

Allowance for loan losses: The allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2011 was \$42.3 million, a decrease of \$5.1 million compared to \$47.2 million at December 31, 2010. The balance of the allowance for loan losses represented 3.67% of total portfolio loans compared to 3.90% of total portfolio loans at December 31, 2010. While this ratio decreased, the more important allowance to nonperforming loan coverage ratio increased significantly from 62.93% at December 31, 2010 to 75.48% at March 31, 2011.

The continued reduction in net charge-offs over the past four quarters has a significant effect on the historical loss component of our allowance for loan loss computation as does the improvements in our credit quality metrics. The table below shows the changes in these metrics over the past five quarters:

(in millions)	Quarter Ended March 31, 2011	Quarter Ended December 31, 2010	Quarter Ended September 30, 2010	Quarter Ended June 30, 2010	Quarter Ended March 31, 2010
Commercial loans	\$ 886.4	\$ 933.9	\$ 973.6	\$ 1,047.4	\$ 1,112.6
Nonperforming loans	56.1	75.4	84.4	95.1	102.5
Other real estate owned and repo					
assets	65.0	58.0	54.1	48.8	45.9
Total nonperforming assets	121.1	133.4	138.6	143.8	148.4
Net charge-offs	3.6	5.2	4.6	6.3	13.6
Total delinquencies	41.2	55.7	81.1	94.2	124.8

Nonperforming loans have continually declined since the first quarter of 2010 ending at \$56.1 million at March 31, 2011, which is our lowest level of nonperforming loans since the third quarter of 2007. As discussed earlier, our net charge-offs for the first quarter 2011 were \$3.6 million, our lowest level since the third quarter of 2008. Perhaps even more importantly, our total delinquencies have continued to decline as well, going from \$124.8 million at March 31, 2010 to \$41.2 million at March 31, 2011. Recent appraisals and market trends associated with real estate valuations have shown some stabilization in real estate values, contributing to a reduction in loan charge-offs on collateral dependent loans.

As discussed earlier, the sustained reduced level of quarterly net charge-offs have a significant effect on our 18 month historical loss ratios, which are the base for our allowance for loan loss computation. The change in the 18 month historical loss ratios from December 31, 2010 to March 31, 2011 reduced the historical loss allocations in our allowance computation by \$5 million.

These factors all provide for a reduction in our provision for loan losses. The provision for loan losses decreased \$21.2 million to a negative \$1.45 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$19.7 million for the same period of 2010. Net charge-offs were \$3.6 million and \$13.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The ratio of net charge-offs to average loans was 1.23% on an annualized basis for the first quarter of 2011 compared to 1.66% for the fourth quarter of 2010, 1.41% for the third quarter of 2010, 1.79% for the second quarter of 2010 and 3.68% for the first quarter of 2010.

We are encouraged by the reduced level of charge-offs over the past year. We do, however, recognize that future chargeoffs and resulting provisions for loan losses are expected to be impacted by the timing and extent of changes in the overall economy and the real estate markets. We believe that we are beginning to see some stabilization in the pace of decline in economic conditions and real estate markets. However, we expect it to take additional time for sustained improvement in the economy and real estate markets in order for us to reduce our non-performing and impaired loans to acceptable levels.

Our allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level believed appropriate based upon our monthly assessment of the probable estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Our methodology for measuring the appropriate level of allowance and related provision for loan losses relies on several key elements, which include specific allowances for loans considered impaired, general allowance for commercial loans not considered impaired based upon applying

our loan rating system, and general allocations based on historical trends for homogeneous loan groups with similar risk characteristics.

Overall impaired loans decreased to \$76.7 million at March 31, 2011 down from \$92.2 million at December 31, 2010 and \$111.7 million at March 31, 2010. The specific allowance for impaired loans increased \$1.2 million to \$8.6 million, or 11.2% of total impaired loans, at March 31, 2011 compared to \$7.4 million, or 7.8% of total impaired loans, at December 31, 2010. The decline in impaired loans was from migration to other real estate owned, payoffs and upgrades more than offsetting new loans moving into an impaired status. As previously discussed in this Item 2 under the heading "Portfolio Loans and Asset Quality", this decline was consistent with a relative decline in the level of loans moving to a nonperforming status. Charge-offs totaling \$23.4 million had previously been taken on impaired loans, bringing the balance to \$76.7 million as of March 31, 2011. Combined with the \$8.6 million specific reserves at March 31, 2011, these loans have been written down 29%.

The general allowance allocated to commercial loans that were not considered to be impaired was based upon the internal risk grade of such loans. We use a loan rating method based upon an eight point system. Loans are stratified between real estate secured and non real estate secured. The real estate secured portfolio is further stratified by the type of real estate. Each stratified portfolio is assigned a loss allocation factor. A lower grade assigned to a loan category generally results in a greater allocation percentage. Changes in risk grade of loans affect the amount of the allowance allocation.

The determination of our loss factors is based upon our actual loss history by loan grade and adjusted for significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the analysis date. We use a rolling 18 month actual net chargeoff history as the base for our computation. The 18 month period ended March 31, 2011 reflected a sizeable decrease in net chargeoff experience. We addressed this volatility in the qualitative factor considerations applied in our allowance computation as discussed above. Adjustments to the qualitative factors also involved consideration of different loss periods for the Bank, including 18 and 24 month periods. Considering the change in our qualitative factors and the decrease in our commercial loan portfolio balances, the general commercial loan allowance decreased to \$37.9 million at March 31, 2011 compared to \$42.0 million at December 31, 2010. This resulted in a general reserve percentage allocated at March 31, 2011 of 4.28% of commercial loans, a decrease from 4.50% at December 31, 2010.

Groups of homogeneous loans, such as residential real estate and open- and closed-end consumer loans, receive allowance allocations based on loan type. As with commercial loans that are not considered impaired, the determination of the allowance allocation percentage is based principally on our historical loss experience. These allocations are adjusted for consideration of general economic and business conditions, credit quality and delinquency trends, collateral values, and recent loss experience for these similar pools of loans. The homogeneous loan allowance was \$3.9 million at March 31, 2011 compared to \$5.0 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease was related to significant improvements in delinquencies in both residential mortgage and consumer loan portfolios in the first quarter.

The allowance allocations are not intended to imply limitations on usage of the allowance. The entire allowance is available for any loan losses without regard to loan type.

The Bank's Special Asset Group remains active at accelerating workout strategies and right-sizing troubled credits through debt restructuring. The Bank's Loan Review Department is focused on the evaluation of all credits upon renewal, inception, or modification, all credits upon request for downgrade or upgrade within the Bank's loan rating system and independently reviewing all commercial credits on an annual basis. These efforts, coupled with the impact of the lasting economic slowdown, may result in additional losses

Although we believe our allowance for loan losses has captured the losses that are probable in our portfolio as of March 31, 2011, there can be no assurance that all losses have been identified or that the amount of the allowance is sufficient.

Deposits and Other Borrowings: Because of the decline in assets during the first quarter of 2011, we were able to continue to reduce our level of higher cost deposits. Total deposits decreased \$12.0 million to \$1.26 billion at March 31, 2011 compared to \$1.28 billion at December 31, 2010. The decline was primarily due to a \$5.6 million decrease in deposits generated through brokers and a \$27.4 million decrease in local certificates of deposit, offset partially by increases in other local deposits types.

For our in-market deposits, we continued to experience a shift from interest bearing transaction accounts to noninterest bearing checking accounts. We have had a decline in more rate sensitive interest bearing personal checking balances offset by an increase in commercial checking balances. The overall stability of in-market deposits is particularly noteworthy considering the financial challenges we have experienced, the lack of economic expansion in western Michigan and the intense competition for core deposit growth in our markets. We believe the stability in balances of personal and business checking and savings accounts was primarily attributable to our focus on quality customer service, the desire of customers to deal with a local bank, the convenience of our maturing branch network and the breadth and depth of our product line.

Other borrowed funds, consisting of Federal Home Loan Bank advances, decreased \$11.1 million during the first quarter of 2011 as a result of scheduled maturities.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Total shareholders' equity of \$69.2 million at March 31, 2011 increased \$1.3 million from \$67.8 million at December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily from the \$1.3 million net income earned in the first quarter of 2011.

Our regulatory capital ratios improved in the first quarter of 2011. On a consolidated basis, our total capital to risk-weighted assets was 10.3% at March 31, 2011 compared to 9.7% at December 31, 2010, 9.3% at September 30, 2010, 8.8% at June 30, 2010 and 8.3% at March 31, 2010. Our Tier 1 Capital as a percent of average assets was 5.8%, 5.8%, 5.4%, 5.3% and 4.8%, respectively at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, September 30, 2010, June 30, 2010 and March 31, 2010. The ratios have increased each quarter since March 31, 2010 from both a decline in risk weighted assets and the positive earnings for each quarter. Approximately \$22.8 million of the \$40.0 million of trust preferred securities outstanding at March 31, 2011 qualified as Tier 1 capital. The remaining \$17.2 million qualified as Tier II capital, a component of total risk-based capital.

We continued to suspend payments of cash dividends on our preferred stock during the quarter and until further action by the Board of Directors. During any period that we do not declare and pay cash dividends on our preferred stock, we may not declare and pay cash dividends on our common stock. During the quarter, we also continued to exercise our right to defer interest payments on our trust preferred securities for 20 consecutive quarters or until such earlier time as is determined by further action of the Board of Directors. During any deferral period, we may not declare or pay any dividends on our common stock or preferred stock or make any payment on any outstanding debt obligations that rank equally with or junior to the trust preferred securities.

The Bank was categorized as "adequately capitalized" at March 31, 2011. The Bank's regulatory capital ratios exceeded the levels ordinarily required to be categorized as "well capitalized" at March 31, 2011. However, because the Bank is subject to the Consent Order, the Bank cannot be categorized as "well capitalized" regardless of its actual capital levels. Under the Consent Order, the Bank is required to have and maintain a Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio of at least 8% and a Total Risk Based Capital Ratio of at least 11%. At March 31, 2011, the Bank was not in compliance with these capital ratios. The Bank needed a capital injection of approximately \$14.0 million as of March 31, 2011 to comply with the Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio requirement and needed a capital injection of approximately \$7.1 million to comply with the Total Risk Based Capital Ratio requirement of the Consent Order. This has improved significantly since March 31, 2010 when the Company needed a capital injection of \$43.2 million to comply with the Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio requirement.

The Company also remains active at exploring alternatives to raise new capital. In February 2011, the Company filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission to offer shares of common stock in a rights offering and a public offering in order to raise equity capital. On March 25, 2011, the Company's shareholders approved an increase in authorized common shares from 40 million to 200 million.

LIQUIDITY

Liquidity of Macatawa Bank: The liquidity of a financial institution reflects its ability to manage a variety of sources and uses of funds. Our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows categorize these sources and uses into operating, investing and financing activities. We primarily focus on developing access to a variety of borrowing sources to supplement our deposit gathering activities and provide funds for our investment and loan portfolios. Our sources of liquidity include our borrowing capacity with the FRB's discount window, the Federal Home Loan Bank, federal funds purchased lines of credit and other secured borrowing sources with our correspondent banks, loan payments by our borrowers, maturity and sales of our securities available for sale, growth of our deposits and deposit equivalents, federal funds sold, and the various capital resources discussed above

Liquidity management involves the ability to meet the cash flow requirements of our customers. Our customers may be either borrowers with credit needs or depositors wanting to withdraw funds. Our liquidity management involves periodic monitoring of our assets considered to be liquid and illiquid, and our funding sources considered to be core and non-core and short-term (less than 12 months) and long-term. We have established parameters that monitor, among other items, our level of liquid assets to short-term liabilities, our level of non-core funding reliance and our level of available borrowing capacity. We maintain a diversified wholesale funding structure and actively manage our maturing wholesale sources to reduce the risk to liquidity shortages. We have also developed a contingency funding plan to stress test our liquidity requirements arising from certain events that may trigger liquidity shortages, such as rapid loan growth in excess of normal growth levels or the loss of deposits and other funding sources under extreme circumstances.

As described in Note 1 of the Consolidated Financial Statements under the heading "Regulatory Developments," we are subject to certain deposit gathering restrictions, including our ability to accept, renew or rollover brokered deposits. Because of this, and in response to the volatile conditions in the national markets, we have actively pursued initiatives to further strengthen our liquidity position.

The Bank continued to make significant progress during 2010 and the first quarter of 2011 to intentionally reduce its reliance on non-core funding sources, including brokered deposits, and remains focused on maintaining a non-core funding dependency ratio below its peer group average. During 2010, we reduced our brokered deposits by \$158.4 million and other borrowed funds by \$92.7 million. In the first quarter of 2011, brokered deposits declined another \$5.6 million and other borrowed funds declined by \$11.1 million. Since December 31, 2008, we have reduced our brokered deposits by \$295.3 million. The Bank had \$42.6 million of brokered deposits outstanding at March 31, 2011, all of which mature in 2011.

Further decreases in the loan portfolio and total assets are planned and are expected to provide adequate funds to pay off the brokered deposits upon maturity.

The Bank also held \$238.4 million of short-term investments and had available borrowing capacity from correspondent banks of approximately \$117.2 million as of March 31, 2011 to provide additional liquidity as needed.

Liquidity of Holding Company: The primary sources of liquidity for the Company are dividends from the Bank, existing cash resources and the various capital resources discussed above. Banking regulations and the laws of the State of Michigan in which our Bank is chartered limit the amount of dividends the Bank may declare to the Company in any calendar year. Under the state law limitations, the Bank is restricted from paying dividends to the Company until its deficit retained earnings has been restored. At March 31, 2011, the retained earnings deficit of the Bank was approximately \$37.9 million. Throughout 2009, 2010 and the first quarter of 2011, the Company has

not received dividends from the Bank and we have not paid any dividends to our common shareholders. Under the Consent Order and the Written Agreement, the Bank and the Company may not pay any dividends without prior regulatory approval.

The Company continued to suspend payments of cash dividends on its preferred stock during 2010 and the first quarter of 2011 until further action is taken by the Board of Directors. During the period that the Company does not declare and pay cash dividends on its preferred stock, it may not declare and pay cash dividends on its common stock.

During 2010 and the first quarter of 2011, the Company also continued to exercise its right to defer interest payments on its trust preferred securities for 20 consecutive quarters or until such earlier time as is determined by further action of the Board of Directors. During the deferral period, the Company may not declare or pay any dividends on its common stock or preferred stock or make any payment on any outstanding debt obligations that rank equally with or junior to the trust preferred securities.

In order to temporarily replenish the Company's liquidity pending the Company's planned public offering of common stock, on April 21, 2011, the Company issued and sold a 2% Subordinated Note due 2018 in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,000,000 to a director of the Company. The note has an interest rate of 2%, compounded quarterly in arrears. Accrued interest is payable in full at maturity, or at the date the principal is paid in full. The note has a maturity date of April 30, 2018. The Company may prepay the note in whole or in part at any time from and after September 30, 2011. If and when the Company conducts a registered public offering of its common stock prior to April 30, 2012, the note allows the holder to purchase shares offered in the public offering and to pay the cash price of shares purchased in the public offering by delivering the note to the Company at a value equal to the principal and interest accrued. The holder also has a continuing right to convert the note in full into common stock with the stock to be valued at book value and the note to be valued at principal and interest accrued.

The Company continues to believe it has a prudent liquidity plan to meet its cash-flow requirements during 2011.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES:

To prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, other real estate owned valuation, loss contingencies and income taxes are deemed critical due to the required level of management judgment and the use of estimates, making them particularly subject to change.

Our methodology for determining the allowance for loan losses and the related provision for loan losses is described above in the "Allowance for Loan Losses" discussion. This area of accounting requires significant judgment due to the number of factors which can influence the collectability of a loan. Unanticipated changes in these factors could significantly change the level of the allowance for loan losses and the related provision for loan losses. Although, based upon our internal analysis, and in our judgment, we believe that we have provided an adequate allowance for loan losses, there can be no assurance that our analysis has properly identified all of the probable losses in our loan portfolio. As a result, we could record future provisions for loan losses that may be significantly different than the levels that we recorded in the first quarter of 2011.

Assets acquired through or instead of foreclosure, primarily other real estate owned, are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. New real estate appraisals are generally obtained at the time of foreclosure and are used to establish fair value. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Estimating the initial and ongoing fair value of these properties involves a number of factors and judgments including holding time, costs to complete, holding costs, discount rate, absorption and other factors.

Loss contingencies are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. This, too, is an accounting area that involves significant judgment. Although, based

upon our judgment, internal analysis, and consultations with legal counsel we believe that we have properly accounted for loss contingencies, future changes in the status of such contingencies could result in a significant change in the level of contingent liabilities and a related impact to operating earnings.

Our accounting for income taxes involves the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities primarily associated with differences in the timing of the recognition of revenues and expenses for financial reporting and tax purposes. At March 31, 2011, we had gross deferred tax assets of \$28.0 million, gross deferred tax liabilities of \$2.7 million and a valuation allowance of \$25.3 million for the entire amount of net deferred tax assets. Accounting standards require that companies assess whether a valuation allowance should be established against their deferred tax assets based on the consideration of all available evidence using a "more likely than not" standard. Based upon a number of factors, including our net operating loss in recent years and the challenging environment currently confronting banks that could negatively impact future operating results, we concluded that we needed to continue to maintain a valuation allowance during the first quarter of 2011 for our net deferred tax assets. Changes in tax laws, changes in tax rates, changes in ownership and our future level of earnings can impact the ultimate realization of our net deferred tax asset as well as the valuation allowance that we established.

Item 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) <u>Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.</u> Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e), as of March 31, 2011, the end of the period covered by this report.

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, as the Company's are designed to do, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating whether the benefits of the controls and procedures that the Company adopts outweigh their costs.

Our CEO and CFO, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report, have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms.

(b) <u>Changes in Internal Controls.</u> During the period covered by this report, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

As of the date hereof, there were no material pending legal proceedings, other than routine litigation incidental to the business of banking, to which we or any of our subsidiaries are a party or of which any of our properties are the subject.

Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities.	
None.		

Item 6.	Exhibits.
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation.
3.2	Bylaws. Previously filed with the Commission on November 24, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 3.1. Here incorporated by reference.
3.3	Certificate of Designation of Series A Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. Previously filed with the Commission on November 5, 2008 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
3.4	Certificate of Designation of Series B Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. Previously filed with the Commission on July 2, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation. Exhibit 3.1 is here incorporated by reference.
4.2	Bylaws. Exhibit 3.2 is here incorporated by reference.
4.3	Certificate of Designation of Series A Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. Exhibit 3.3 is here incorporated by reference.
4.4	Certificate of Designation of Series B Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. Exhibit 3.4 is here incorporated by reference.
4.5	First Amended Settlement and Release and Warrant Issuance Agreement dated January 30, 2009. Previously filed with the Commission on January 30, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 10.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.6	Second Amendment to Settlement and Release and Warrant Issuance Agreement dated April 30, 2009. Previously filed with the Commission on May 8, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, Exhibit 10. Here incorporated by reference.
4.7	Warrant Agreement between the Company and Registrar and Transfer Company dated June 16, 2009. Previously filed with the Commission on June 19, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.8	Warrant Agreement Addendum between the Company and Registrar and Transfer Company dated July 27, 2009. Previously filed with the Commission on July 31, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.9	Form of Warrant Certificate (first series). Previously filed with the Commission on June 19, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.2. Here incorporated by reference.
4.10	Form of Warrant Certificate (second series). Previously filed with the Commission on July 31, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.2. Here incorporated by reference.
4.11	Long-Term Debt. The registrant has outstanding long-term debt which at the time of this report does not exceed 10% of the registrant's total consolidated assets. The registrant agrees to furnish copies of the agreements defining the rights of holders of such long-term debt to the SEC upon request.
-	

32.1

Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. Previously filed with the Commission on July 29, 2010 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, Exhibit 10.1. Here incorporated by reference.
 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION

Self-Ronald L. Haan
Ronald L. Haan
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Self-Out W. Swets
Senior Vice Principal and Accounting Officer)

Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: April 28, 2011

EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation.
3.2	Bylaws. Previously filed with the Commission on November 24, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 3.1. Here incorporated by reference.
3.3	Certificate of Designation of Series A Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. Previously filed with the Commission on November 5, 2008 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
3.4	Certificate of Designation of Series B Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. Previously filed with the Commission on July 2, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation. Exhibit 3.1 is here incorporated by reference.
4.2	Bylaws. Exhibit 3.2 is here incorporated by reference.
4.3	Certificate of Designation of Series A Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. Exhibit 3.3 is here incorporated by reference.
4.4	Certificate of Designation of Series B Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. Exhibit 3.4 is here incorporated by reference.
4.5	First Amended Settlement and Release and Warrant Issuance Agreement dated January 30, 2009. Previously filed with the Commission on January 30, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 10.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.6	Second Amendment to Settlement and Release and Warrant Issuance Agreement dated April 30, 2009. Previously filed with the Commission on May 8, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, Exhibit 10. Here incorporated by reference.
4.7	Warrant Agreement between the Company and Registrar and Transfer Company dated June 16, 2009. Previously filed with the Commission on June 19, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.8	Warrant Agreement Addendum between the Company and Registrar and Transfer Company dated July 27, 2009. Previously filed with the Commission on July 31, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.9	Form of Warrant Certificate (first series). Previously filed with the Commission on June 19, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.2. Here incorporated by reference.
4.10	Form of Warrant Certificate (second series). Previously filed with the Commission on July 31, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.2. Here incorporated by reference.
4.11	Long-Term Debt. The registrant has outstanding long-term debt which at the time of this report does not exceed 10% of the registrant's total consolidated assets. The registrant agrees to furnish copies of the agreements defining the rights of holders of such long-term debt to the SEC upon request.

Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. Previously filed with the Commission on July 29, 2010 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, Exhibit 10.1. Here incorporated by reference.
 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

EXHIBIT 3.1

RESTATED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION

OF

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION

(As Amended through March 31, 2011)

The following Restated Articles of Incorporation are executed by the undersigned Corporation pursuant to the provisions of Sections 641-643, Act 284, Public Acts of 1972, as amended.

- 1. The present name of the Corporation is Macatawa Bank Corporation.
- 2. The identification number assigned by the Bureau is 502-582.
- 3. All former names of the Corporation are: None.
- 4. The date of filing of the original Articles of Incorporation was November 20, 1997.

The following Articles of Incorporation are executed by the undersigned for the purpose of forming a profit corporation pursuant to the provisions of Act 284, Public Acts of 1972, as amended:

ARTICLE I

The name of the Corporation is Macatawa Bank Corporation.

ARTICLE II

The purposes, or purposes, for which the Corporation is organized is to engage in the business of a bank holding company to be registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, being 12 U.S.C. sections 1841 to 1850 and, without in any way being limited by the foregoing specific purpose, to engage in any activity within the purposes for which corporations may be organized under the Business Corporation Act of Michigan.

ARTICLE III

The total number of shares of all classes of capital stock which the Corporation shall have the authority to issue is two hundred million five hundred thousand (200,500,000) shares, of which two hundred million (200,000,000) shares shall be common stock and five hundred thousand (500,000) shares shall be series preferred stock.

The authorized shares of common stock are all of one class with equal voting power, and each shall be equal to every other share.

The shares of preferred stock may be divided into and issued in one or more series. The Board of Directors is hereby authorized to cause the preferred stock to be issued from time to time in one or more series, with such designations and such relative voting, dividend, liquidation and other rights, preferences and limitations as shall be stated and expressed in the resolution or resolutions providing for the issue of such preferred stock adopted by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors by vote of a majority of the whole Board is expressly authorized to adopt such resolutions or resolutions and issue such stock from time to time as it may deem desirable.

ARTICLE IV

The address of the registered office and mailing address is 106 East 8th Street, Holland, Michigan 49423. The name of the resident agent is Benj. A. Smith, III.

ARTICLE V

When a compromise or arrangement, or a plan of reorganization of the Corporation, is proposed between the Corporation and its creditors, or any class of them, or between the Corporation and its shareholders, or any class of them, a court of equity jurisdiction within the state, on application of the Corporation, a creditor or shareholder thereof, or a receiver appointed for the Corporation, may order a meeting of the creditors, or class of creditors, or of the shareholders, or class of shareholders, to be affected by the proposed compromise, arrangement, or reorganization, to be summoned in such manner as the court directs. If a majority in number representing three-fourths in value of the creditors or class of creditors, or of the shareholders to be affected by the proposed compromise, arrangement, or reorganization, agree to a compromise or arrangement or to a reorganization of the Corporation as a consequence of the compromise or arrangement, the compromise or arrangement and the reorganization, if sanctioned by the court to which the application has been made, shall be binding on all the creditors or class of creditors, or on all the shareholders or class of shareholders, and also on the Corporation.

ARTICLE VI

No director of the Corporation shall be personally liable to the Corporation or any of its shareholders for monetary damages for a breach of fiduciary duty as a director. However, this Article VI shall not eliminate or limit the liability of a director for any breach of duty, act or omission for which the elimination or limitation of liability is not permitted by the Michigan Business Corporation Act, as amended from time to time. No amendment, alteration, modification, repeal or adoption of any provision in these Articles of Incorporation inconsistent with this Article VI shall have any effect to increase the liability of any director of the Corporation with respect to any act or omission of such director occurring prior to such amendment, alteration, modification, repeal or adoption.

ARTICLE VII

Directors and executive officers of the Corporation shall be indemnified as of right to the fullest extent now or hereafter permitted by law in connection with any actual or threatened civil, criminal, administrative or investigative action, suit or proceeding (whether brought by or in the name of the Corporation, a subsidiary or otherwise) in which a director or executive officer is a witness or which is brought against a director or executive officer in his or her capacity as a director, officer, employee, agent or fiduciary of the Corporation or of any corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise which the director or executive officer was serving at the request of the Corporation. Persons who are not directors or executive officers of the Corporation may be similarly indemnified in respect of such service to the extent authorized at any time by the Board of Directors of the Corporation. The Corporation may purchase and maintain insurance to protect itself any such director, executive officer or other person against any liability asserted against him or her and incurred by him or her in respect of such service whether or not the Corporation would have the power to indemnify him or her against such liability by law or under the provisions of this Article. The provisions of this Article shall be applicable to actions, suits or proceedings, arising from acts or omissions occurring after the filing of these Articles of Incorporation with the Corporation, Securities, and Land Development Bureau of the Michigan Department of Consumer and Industry Services, and to directors, executive officers and other persons who have ceased to render such service, and shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors and administrators of the directors, executive officers and other persons referred to in this Article. The right of indemnity provided pursuant to this Article shall not be exclusive and the Corporation may provide indemnification to any person, by agreement or otherwise, on such terms and conditions as the Board of Directors may approve that are not inconsistent with the Michigan Business Corporation Act (or other law). Any amendment, alteration, modification, repeal or adoption of any provision in the Articles of Incorporation inconsistent with this Article VII shall not adversely affect any indemnification right or protection of a director or executive officer of the Corporation existing at the time of such amendment, alteration, modification, repeal or adoption.

ARTICLE VIII

Section 1. <u>Authority and Size of Board</u>. The business and affairs of the corporation shall be managed by or under the direction of the Board of Directors. The number of directors of the corporation that shall constitute the Board of Directors shall be determined from time to time by resolution adopted by the affirmative vote of:

- A. At least eighty percent (80%) of the Board of Directors, and
- B. A majority of the Continuing Directors (as hereinafter defined).

Section 2. Classification of Board and Filling of Vacancies. Subject to applicable law, the directors shall be divided into three (3) classes, each class to be as nearly equal in number as possible. At each annual meeting of shareholders, the successors to the class of directors whose term shall then expire shall be elected to hold office for a term expiring at the third succeeding

annual meeting and until their successors shall be duly elected and qualified or their resignation or removal. Any vacancies in the Board of Directors for any reason, and any newly created directorships resulting from any increase in the number of directors, may be filled only by the Board of Directors, acting by an affirmative vote of a majority of the Continuing Directors (as hereinafter defined) and an eighty percent (80%) majority of all of the directors then in office, although less than a quorum, and any director so chosen shall hold office until the next election of the class for which the director was chosen and until his successor shall be duly elected and qualified or his resignation or removal. No decrease in the number of directors shall shorten the term of any incumbent director.

Section 3. Removal of Directors. Notwithstanding any other provisions of these Articles of Incorporation or the Bylaws of the corporation (and notwithstanding the fact that some lesser percentage may be specified by law or by these Articles of Incorporation or the Bylaws of the corporation), any one or more directors of the corporation may be removed at any time, with or without cause, but only by either (i) the affirmative vote of a majority of the Continuing Directors and at least eighty percent (80%) of the Board of Directors or (ii) the affirmative vote, at a meeting of the shareholders called for that purpose, of the holders of at least eighty percent (80%) of the voting power of the then outstanding shares of capital stock of the corporation entitled to vote generally in the election of directors (the "Voting Stock") voting together as a single class.

Section 4. Certain Definitions. For the purpose of this Article VIII:

- A. A "person" shall mean any individual, firm, corporation or other entity.
- B. "Interested Shareholder" shall mean any person, other than the corporation or any Subsidiary, who or which:
- (i) is the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of ten percent (10%) or more of the voting power of the outstanding Voting Stock; or
- (ii) is an Affiliate of the corporation and at any time within the two (2) year period immediately prior to the date in question was the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of ten percent (10%) or more of the voting power of the then outstanding Voting Stock; or
- (iii) is an assignee of or has otherwise succeeded to any shares of Voting Stock which were at any time within the two (2) year period immediately prior to the date in question beneficially owned by any Interested Shareholder, if such assignment or succession shall have occurred in the course of a transaction or series of transactions not involving a public offering within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933.
- C. A person shall be a "beneficial owner" of any Voting Stock:

- (i) which such person or any of its Affiliates or Associates (as hereinafter defined) beneficially owns, directly or indirectly; or
- (ii) which such person or any of its Affiliates or Associates has (a) the right to acquire (whether such right is exercisable immediately or only after the passage of time), pursuant to any agreement, arrangement or understanding or upon the exercise of conversion rights, exchange rights, warrants or options, or otherwise, or (b) the right to vote pursuant to any agreement, arrangement or understanding; or
- (iii) which are beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, by any other person with which such person or any of its Affiliates or Associates has any agreement, arrangement or understanding for the purpose of acquiring, holding, voting or disposing of any shares of Voting Stock.
- D. For the purposes of determining whether a person is an Interested Shareholder pursuant to paragraph B of this Section 4, the number of shares of Voting Stock deemed to be outstanding shall include shares deemed owned through application of paragraph C of this Section 4 but shall not include any other shares of Voting Stock which may be issuable pursuant to any agreement, arrangement or understanding, or upon exercise of conversion rights, warrants or options, or otherwise.
- E. "Affiliate" or "Associate" shall have the respective meanings ascribed to such terms in Rule 12b-2 of the General Rules and Regulations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as in effect on the date this Article of the Articles of Incorporation is filed with the Corporation Division of the Michigan Department of Commerce.
- F. "Subsidiary" means any corporation of which a majority of any class of equity security is owned, directly or indirectly, by the corporation; <u>provided</u>, <u>however</u>, that for the purposes of the definition of Interested Shareholder set forth in paragraph B of this Section 4, the term "Subsidiary" shall mean only a corporation of which a majority of each class of equity security is owned, directly or indirectly, by the corporation.
- G. "Continuing Director" means any member of the Board of Directors of the corporation (the "Board") who is unaffiliated with the Interested Shareholder and was a member of the Board prior to the time that the Interested Shareholder became an Interested Shareholder, and any successor of a Continuing Director who is unaffiliated with the Interested Shareholder and is recommended to succeed a Continuing Director by a majority of Continuing Directors then on the Board.
- Section 5. Powers of Continuing Directors. A majority of the Continuing Directors of the corporation shall have the power and duty to determine, on the basis of information known to them after reasonable inquiry, all facts necessary to determine compliance with this Article VIII, including without limitation (i) whether a person is an Interested Shareholder, (ii) the number of shares of Voting Stock beneficially owned by any person and (iii) whether a person is an Affiliate or Associate of another; and the good faith determination of a majority of the

Continuing Directors on such matters shall be conclusive and binding for all the purposes of this Article VIII.

Section 6. Nominations for Board. Nominations for the election of directors may be made by the Board of Directors or by a shareholder entitled to vote in the election of directors, however, may make such a nomination only if written notice of such shareholder's intent to do so has been given, either by personal delivery or by United States mail, postage prepaid, and received by the Corporation (a) with respect to an election to be held at an annual meeting of shareholders, not later than sixty (60) nor more than ninety (90) days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting (or, if the date of the annual meeting is changed by more than twenty (20) days from such anniversary date, within ten (10) days after the date the Corporation mails or otherwise gives notice of the date of such meeting), and (b) with respect to an election to be held at a special meeting of shareholders called for that purpose, not later than the close of business on the tenth (10th) day following the date on which notice of the special meeting was first mailed to the shareholders by the Corporation.

Each shareholder's notice of intent to make a nomination shall set forth: (i) the name(s) and address(es) of the shareholder who intends to make the nomination and of the person or persons to be nominated; (ii) a representation that the shareholder (a) is a holder of record of stock of the Corporation entitled to vote at such meeting, (b) will continue to hold such stock through the date on which the meeting is held, and (c) intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the person or persons specified in the notice; (iii) a description of all arrangements or understandings between the shareholder and each nominee and any other person or persons (naming such person or persons) pursuant to which the nomination is to be made by the shareholder; (iv) such other information regarding each nominee proposed by such shareholder as would be required to be included in a proxy statement filed pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated under Section 14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as now in effect or hereafter modified; and (v) the consent of each nominee to serve as a director of the Corporation if so elected. The Corporation may require any proposed nominee to furnish such other information as may reasonably be required by the Corporation to determine the qualifications of such proposed nominee to serve as a director.

No person shall be eligible for election as a director unless nominated (i) by a shareholder in accordance with the foregoing procedure or (ii) by the Board of Directors.

ARTICLE IX

The Board of Directors of the Corporation shall submit for consideration and vote by the shareholders, at any meetings of the shareholders, only those proposals that are first brought before the meeting by or at the direction of the Board of Directors, or by any shareholder entitled to vote at such meeting (a) who submits to the Corporation a timely Notice of Proposal in accordance with the requirements of this Article IX and the proposal is a proper subject for action by shareholders under Michigan law, or (b) whose proposal is included in the Corporation's proxy materials in compliance with all the requirements set forth in the applicable rules and regulations in the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Each shareholder's Notice of Proposal shall set forth:

- (a) The name and address of the shareholder submitting the proposal, as they appear on the Corporation's books and records;
- (b) A representation that the shareholder (i) is a holder of record of stock of the Corporation entitled to vote at such meeting, (ii) will continue to hold such stock through the date on which the meeting is held, and (iii) intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to submit the proposal for shareholder vote;
- (c) A brief description of the proposal desired to be submitted to the meeting for shareholder vote and the reasons for conducting such business at the meeting; and
 - (d) A description of any financial or other interest of such shareholder in the proposal.

A Notice of Proposal must be given, either by personal delivery or by United States mail, postage prepaid, and received by the Corporation (a) with respect to a proposal to be presented at an annual meeting of shareholders, not later than sixty (60) not more than ninety (90) days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting (or, if the date of the annual meeting is changed by more than twenty (20) days from such anniversary date, within ten (10) days after the date the Corporation mails or otherwise gives notice of the date of such meeting), and (b) with respect to a proposal to be presented at a special meeting of shareholders, not later than the close of business on the tenth (10th) day following the date on which notice of the special meeting was first mailed to the shareholders by the Corporation.

The secretary of the Corporation shall notify a shareholder in writing whether his or her Notice of Proposal has been made in accordance with all the requirements of this Article IX. The chairman of the meeting may refuse to acknowledge the proposal of any shareholder not made in compliance with all such requirements.

ARTICLE X

Except as otherwise required by law, any action required or permitted to be taken on or after February 18, 1998 by any shareholders of the Corporation must be effected at a duly called annual or special meeting of such shareholders and may not be effected by any consent in writing by such shareholders. Except as may be otherwise required by law, special meetings of shareholders of the Corporation may be called only by the Board of Directors or the Chairman of the Board.

ARTICLE XI

Notwithstanding anything contained in these Articles of Incorporation to the contrary, the affirmative vote of at least 80% of the outstanding shares of voting stock of the corporation, voting as a single class, shall be required to amend or repeal Article VIII, Article IX, Article X,

or Article XI of these Articles of Incorporation or to adopt any provision inconsistent therewith, unless, such amendment or repeal or inconsistent provision has been recommended for approval by at least 80% of all directors then holding office and by a majority of the Continuing Directors. The term "Continuing Directors" is defined in Article VIII.

ARTICLE XII

Section 1. Matters to be Evaluated. The Board of Directors of this corporation shall not approve, adopt or recommend any offer of any person or entity, other than the corporation, to make a tender or exchange offer for any capital stock of the corporation, to merge or consolidate the corporation with any other entity or to purchase or otherwise acquire all or substantially all of the assets or business of the corporation unless and until the Board of Directors shall have first evaluated the offer and determined that the offer would be in compliance with all applicable laws and that the offer is in the best interests of the corporation and its shareholders. In connection with its evaluation as to compliance with laws, the Board of Directors may seek and rely upon an opinion of legal counsel independent from the offeror and it may test such compliance with laws in any state or federal court or before any state or federal administrative agency which may have appropriate jurisdiction. In connection with its evaluation as to the best interests of the corporation and its shareholders, the Board of Directors shall consider all factors which it deems relevant, including without limitation: (i) the adequacy and fairness of the consideration to be received by the corporation and/or its shareholders under the offer considering historical trading prices of the corporation's stock, the price that might be achieved in a negotiated sale of the corporation as a whole, premiums over trading prices which have been proposed or offered with respect to the securities of other companies in the past in connection with similar offers and the future prospects for this corporation and its business; (ii) the potential social and economic impact of the offer and its consummation on this corporation, and its subsidiaries and their respective employees, depositors and other customers and vendors; (iii) the potential social and economic impact of the offer and its consummation on the communities in which the corporation and any subsidiaries operate or are located; (iv) the business and financial condition and earnings prospects of the proposed acquiror or acquirors; and (v) the competence, experience and integrity of the proposed acquiror or acquirors and its or their management.

Section 2. Amendment, Repeal, etc. Notwithstanding any other provision of these Articles of Incorporation or the Bylaws of the corporation to the contrary (and notwithstanding the fact that a lesser percentage may be specified by law, these Articles of Incorporation or the Bylaws of the corporation), the affirmative vote of the holders of eighty percent (80%) or more of the outstanding shares of capital stock entitled to vote for the election of directors, voting together as a single class, shall be required to amend, repeal or adopt any provision inconsistent with this Article XII; provided, however, that this Article XII shall be of no force or effect if the proposed amendment, repeal or other action has been recommended for approval by at least eighty percent (80%) of all directors then holding office.

These Restated Articles of Incorporation were duly adopted on the 26th day of January, 1998, in accordance with the provisions of Section 642 of the Act and were duly adopted by written consent of all the shareholders entitled to vote in accordance with Section 407(2) of the Act.

EXHIBIT 31.1

I, Ronald L. Haan, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 of Macatawa Bank Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: April 28, 2011

/s/ Ronald L. Haan Ronald L. Haan Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 31.2

I, Jon W. Swets, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 of Macatawa Bank Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: April 28, 2011

/s/ Jon W. Swets
Jon W. Swets
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT 32.1

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, each of the undersigned hereby certifies in his capacity as an officer of Macatawa Bank Corporation (the "Company") that the Quarterly Report of the Company on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition of the Company at the end of such period and the results of operations of the Company for such period.

Dated: April 28, 2011

/s/ Ronald L. Haan
Ronald L. Haan
Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Jon W. Swets
Jon W. Swets
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer