UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☑ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

	For the quarte	my period ended June 30, 20	112	
		OR		
☐ TRANSITION R	EPORT PURSUANT TO SECT	ON 13 OR 15(d) OF THE S	ECURITIES EXCHANGE AC	Γ OF 1934
	For the transition	period from to		
	Commissi	on file number: <u>000-25927</u>		
MAG	CATAWA B	ANK COR	PORATION	
		egistrant as specified in its ch		,
Michigan (State or other jurisdiction of incor			38-3391345 (I.R.S. Employer Identif	ication No.)
		Drive, Holland, Michigan exipal executive offices) (Zip G		
	Registrant's telephone nu	mber, including area code: (6	516) 820-1444	
Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant: (1 months (or for such shorter period that the registry Yes \boxtimes No \square				
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant h posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (post such files). Yes \boxtimes No \square				
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smalle				ompany. See the definitions of "large
Large accelerated filer ☐ Acc	celerated filer	Non-accelerated file (Do not check if small	er Smalle aller reporting company)	er reporting company 🗵
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is	a shell company (as defined in R	ule 12b-2 of the Exchange A	.ct). Yes□ No ⊠	
The number of shares outstanding of each of the value) were outstanding as of July 26, 2012.	e issuer's classes of common sto	ck, as of the latest practicab	le date: 27,082,825 shares of th	ne Company's Common Stock (no pa

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on management's beliefs, assumptions, current expectations, estimates and projections about the financial services industry, the economy, and Macatawa Bank Corporation. Forward-looking statements are identifiable by words or phrases such as "outlook", "plan" or "strategy"; that an event or trend "may", "should", "will", "is likely", or is "probable" to occur or "continue", has "begun" or "is scheduled" or "on track" or that the Company or its management "anticipates", "believes", "estimates", "plans", "forecasts", "intends", "predicts", "projects", or "expects" a particular result, or is "committed", "confident", "optimistic" or has an "opinion" that an event will occur, or other words or phrases such as "ongoing", "future", "signs", "efforts", "tend", "exploring", "appearing", "until", "near term", "going forward", "starting", "initiative" and variations of such words and similar expressions. Such statements are based upon current beliefs and expectations and involve substantial risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These statements include, among others, statements related to trends in credit quality metrics, future capital levels, real estate valuation, future levels of repossessed and foreclosed properties and non-performing assets and losses and costs associated with administration and disposition of repossessed and foreclosed properties and non-performing assets, future levels of loan charge-offs, future levels of other real estate owned, future levels of provisions for loan losses, the rate of asset dispositions, dividends, future growth and funding sources, future cost of funds, future liquidity levels, future profitability levels, future trust service income levels, future FDIC assessment levels, future net interest margin levels, building our investment portfolio, diversifying our credit risk, the effects on earnings of changes in interest rates, future economic conditions, future effects of new or changed accounting standards, future loss recoveries and the future level of other revenue sources. Management's determination of the provision and allowance for loan losses, the appropriate carrying value of intangible assets (including goodwill, mortgage servicing rights and deferred tax assets) and other real estate owned, and the fair value of investment securities (including whether any impairment on any investment security is temporary or other-than-temporary and the amount of any impairment) involves judgments that are inherently forward-looking. All statements with references to future time periods are forward-looking. All of the information concerning interest rate sensitivity is forward-looking. Our ability to sell other real estate owned at its carrying value or at all, successfully implement new programs and initiatives, increase efficiencies, obtain continuing regulatory approval to make interest payments on our subordinated notes, maintain our current levels of deposits and other sources of funding, maintain liquidity, respond to declines in collateral values and credit quality, increase loan volume, originate high quality loans, maintain or improve mortgage banking income, realize the benefit of our deferred tax assets, resume payment of dividends and improve profitability is not entirely within our control and is not assured. The future effect of changes in the real estate, financial and credit markets and the national and regional economy on the banking industry, generally, and Macatawa Bank Corporation, specifically, are also inherently uncertain. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions ("risk factors") that are difficult to predict with regard to timing, extent, likelihood and degree of occurrence. Therefore, actual results and outcomes may materially differ from what may be expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. Macatawa Bank Corporation does not undertake to update forward-looking statements to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that may arise after the date of the forward-looking statements.

Risk factors include, but are not limited to, the risk factors described in "Item 1A - Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. These and other factors are representative of the risk factors that may emerge and could cause a difference between an ultimate actual outcome and a preceding forward-looking statement.

IND EX

		Number Number
Part I.	Financial Information:	
	Item 1.	
	Consolidated Financial Statements	4
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	9
	Item 2.	
	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	37
	Item 4.	
	Controls and Procedures	52
Part II.	Other Information:	
	Item 6.	
	<u>Exhibits</u>	53
Signatures	<u>s</u>	55

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS As of June 30, 2012 (unaudited) and December 31, 2011

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)		June 30, 2012	De	ecember 31, 2011
ASSETS				
Cash and due from banks	\$	25,673	\$	30,971
Federal funds sold and other short -term investments		218,721		212,071
Cash and cash equivalents		244,394		243,042
Securities available for sale, at fair value		96,518		54,746
Securities held to maturity (fair value 2012 and 2011 - \$300)		300		300
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock		11,236		11,236
Loans held for sale, at fair value		6,630		1,026
Total loans		1,036,965		1,070,975
Allowance for loan losses		(27,180)		(31,641
Net loans		1,009,785		1,039,334
Premises and equipment – net		54,534		55,358
Accrued interest receivable		3,525		3,595
Bank-owned life insurance		26,404		25,957
Other real estate owned		62,046		66,438
Other assets		4,963		6,635
Total assets	\$	1,520,335	\$	1,507,667
LABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Deposits	Φ.	220 (2)	Ф	224.252
Noninterest-bearing	\$	330,626	\$	324,253
Interest-bearing	_	904,891	_	891,036
Total deposits		1,235,517		1,215,289
Other borrowed funds		127,489		148,603
Long-term debt		41,238		41,238
Subordinated debt		1,650		1,650
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		12,042		6,461
Total liabilities		1,417,936		1,413,241
Commitments and contingent liabilities				
Shareholders' equity				
Preferred stock, no par value, 500,000 shares authorized;				
Series A Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, liquidation value of \$1,000 per share, 31,290 shares issued and outstanding		30,604		30,604
Series B Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, liquidation value of \$1,000 per share, 2,600 shares issued and		50,001		50,001
outstanding		2,560		2,560
Common stock, no par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized; 27,082,825 and 27,082,823 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011		187,709		187,709
Retained deficit		(119,154)		(126,825
Accumulated other comprehensive income		680		378
Total shareholders' equity	_	102,399		94,426
1 •	•		¢.	
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	1,520,335	\$	1,507,667

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME Three and Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)

		ree Months Ended June 30, 2012	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		x Months Ended June 30, 2011
Interest income Loans, including fees	\$	13,237	\$ 15,194	\$ 26,763	\$	30,776
Securities	J.	13,237	\$ 15,194	\$ 20,703	Φ	30,770
Taxable		384	85	701		112
Tax-exempt		65		108		
FHLB Stock		84	74	169		150
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments		130	137	257		305
Total interest income		13,900	15,490	27,998		31,343
Interest expense						
Deposits		1,525	2,416	3,175		5,327
Debt and other borrowed funds		1,053	1,292	2,221		2,636
Total interest expense		2,578	3,708	5,396		7,963
Net interest income		11,322	11,782	22,602		23,380
Provision for loan losses		(1,750)	(2,000)	(5,350)		(3,450)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	<u> </u>	13,072	13,782	27,952	_	26,830
Noninterest income						
Service charges and fees		776	969	1,571		1,918
Net gains on mortgage loans		780	262	1,251		697
Trust fees		598	620	1,207		1,270
Gain on sale of securities		59		59		
ATM and debit card fees		1,064	1,027	2,045		1,946
Other		723	738	1,578		1,464
Total noninterest income		4,000	3,616	7,711		7,295
Noninterest expense						
Salaries and benefits		5,723	5,600	11,443		10,947
Occupancy of premises		941	989	1,912		2,001
Furniture and equipment		858	829	1,685		1,646
Legal and professional		180	322	392		591
Marketing and promotion		210	224	420		448
Data processing		368	334	719		638
FDIC assessment		479	841	1,188		1,819
ATM and debit card processing		308	311	596		581
Bond and D&O Insurance		215	378	483		757
Losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties		1,934	2,121	3,531		4,613
Administration and disposition of problem assets		1,256	1,620	2,718		3,562
Other		1,414	1,428	2,905		2,830
Total noninterest expenses		13,886	14,997	27,992		30,433
Income before income tax		3,186	2,401	7,671		3,692
Income tax expense (benefit)						
Net income		3,186	2,401	7,671		3,692
Dividends declared on preferred shares						
Net income available to common shares	\$	3,186	\$ 2,401	\$ 7,671	\$	3,692
Basic earnings per common share	\$	0.12	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.28	\$	0.2
					φ	
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.12	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.28	Þ	0.2
Cash dividends per common share	<u>\$</u>		\$	<u>\$</u>	\$	

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME Three and Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	E Ju	e Months Ended one 30, 2012	ree Months Ended June 30, 2011	S	Eix Months Ended June 30, 2012		Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
Net income	\$	3,186	\$ 2,401	\$	7,671	\$	3,692
Other comprehensive income, net of tax: Net unrealized gains on securities available for sale arising during period		385	149		361		152
Less: reclassification adjustment for gain recognized in earnings, net of tax Other comprehensive income, net of tax	_	(59) 326	149		(59) 302	_	152
Comprehensive income	<u>\$</u>	3,512	\$ 2,550	\$	7,973	\$	3,844

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)

		Preferred Stock				Common		Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensive			Total Shareholders'
(dollars in thousands, except per share data)	S	eries A		Series B		Stock	_	Deficit	_	Income	_	Equity
Balance, January 1, 2011	\$	30,604	\$	2,560	\$	167,321	\$	(132,654)	\$	11	\$	67,842
Net income for six months ended June 30, 2011								3,692				3,692
Net change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of tax										152		152
Net proceeds from sale of 8,912,372shares of common stock on June 7, 2011 and June 29, 2011						19,426						19,426
Conversion of subordinated note to 491,830shares of common stock on June 29, 2011						1,003						1,003
Stock compensation expense						38						38
Balance, June 30, 2011	\$	30,604	\$	2,560	\$	187,788	\$	(128,962)	\$	163	\$	92,153
Balance, January 1, 2012	\$	30,604	\$	2,560	\$	187,709	\$	(126,825)	\$	378	\$	94,426
Net income for six months ended June 30, 2012								7,671				7,671
Net change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of tax										302		302
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$	30,604	\$	2,560	\$	187,709	\$	(119,154)	\$	680	\$	102,399

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 7.671	\$ 3.692
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:	, ,,,,,	, ,,,,
Depreciation and amortization	1,416	1,539
Stock compensation expense		38
Provision for loan losses	(5,350)	(3,450)
Origination of loans for sale	(59,412)	(28,945)
Proceeds from sales of loans originated for sale	55,059	31,712
Net gains on mortgage loans	(1,251)	(697)
Gain on sale of securities	(59)	` <u></u>
Write-down of other real estate	3,550	5,351
Net gain on sales of other real estate	(20)	(745)
Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable and other assets	1,579	(230)
Earnings in bank-owned life insurance	(447)	(466)
Increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities	911	1,193
Net cash from operating activities	3,647	8,992
Cash flows from investing activities		
Loan originations and payments, net	27.174	88,137
Purchases of securities available for sale	(67,461)	(21,415)
Proceeds from:	(07,101)	(21,113)
Maturities and calls of securities available for sale	25,613	7,988
Sale of securities available for sale	4,050	7,500
Principal paydowns on securities	1.035	87
Sales of other real estate	8,587	11,330
Redemption of FHLB stock		696
Additions to premises and equipment	(407)	(533)
Net cash from investing activities	(1,409)	86,290
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net increase (decrease) in in-market deposits	20,228	(39,255)
Decrease in brokered deposits		(34,809)
Proceeds from other borrowed funds		10,000
Repayments of other borrowed funds	(21,114)	(21,066)
Proceeds from issuance of subordinated note		1,000
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net		19,426
Net cash from financing activities	(886)	(64,704)
Not cash from maneing activities	(666)	(01,701)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	1,352	30,578
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	243,042	236,127
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 244,394	\$ 266,705
Supplemental cash flow information		
Interest paid	\$ 4,727	\$ 7,446
Federal income taxes	100	
Supplemental noncash disclosures:		
Transfers from loans to other real estate	7,725	23,384
Securities purchased not settled	4,670	
Conversion of subordinated note to 491,830 shares of common stock		1,003

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation: The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Macatawa Bank Corporation ("the Company", "our", "we") and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Macatawa Bank ("the Bank"). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Macatawa Bank is a Michigan chartered bank with depository accounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Bank operates 26 full service branch offices providing a full range of commercial and consumer banking and trust services in Kent County, Ottawa County, and northern Allegan County, Michigan.

The Company owns all of the common stock of Macatawa Statutory Trust I and Macatawa Statutory Trust II. These are grantor trusts that issued trust preferred securities and are not consolidated with the Company under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis of Presentation: The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) believed necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Use of Estimates: To prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, valuation of deferred tax assets, loss contingencies, fair value of other real estate owned and fair values of financial instruments are particularly subject to change.

Regulatory Developments:

Termination of Consent Order with Macatawa Bank and its Regulators

On February 22, 2010, the Bank entered into a Consent Order with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Michigan Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation ("OFIR"), the primary banking regulators of the Bank. The Bank agreed to the terms of the negotiated Consent Order without admitting or denying any charges of unsafe or unsound banking practices. The Consent Order imposed no fines or penalties on the Bank. As a result of the improvement in our financial condition and results of operations, our implementation of additional corporate governance practices and disciplined business and banking principles, and our compliance with the Consent Order, upon completion of the Bank's 2011 joint examination by the FDIC and OFIR, the FDIC and OFIR terminated the Consent Order effective March 2, 2012.

In connection with the termination of the Consent Order, the Bank reached an understanding with the regulators in the form of a memorandum of understanding ("MOU"), which maintains many of the controls and procedures put in place by the Bank in response to the Consent Order, including: maintenance of a Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio of at least 8%, formulating and submitting a written plan of action on each asset classified as Substandard in the Report of Examination ("ROE"), charge-off of all assets classified as "Loss" in the ROE, submission of a written Profit Plan, Board review of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses each quarter and the receipt of prior written consent of the FDIC and OFIR before the Bank declares or pays any dividends. We believe the Bank was in compliance in all material respects with all of the provisions of the MOU as of June 30, 2012.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Written Agreement with Macatawa and its Regulator

The Company has formally entered into a Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago ("FRB"). The Written Agreement became effective on July 29, 2010, when it was executed and published by the FRB, and was assigned an effective date of July 23, 2010. Among other things, the Written Agreement provides that: (i) the Company must take appropriate steps to fully utilize its financial and managerial resources to serve as a source of strength to Macatawa Bank; (ii) the Company may not declare or pay any dividends without prior FRB approval; (iii) the Company may not take dividends or any other payment representing a reduction in capital from Macatawa Bank without prior FRB approval; (iv) the Company may not make any distributions of interest, principal or other sums on subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities without prior FRB approval; (v) the Company may not incur, increase or guarantee any debt without prior FRB approval; (vi) the Company may not purchase or redeem any shares of its stock without prior FRB approval: (vii) the Company must submit a written capital plan to the FRB within 60 days of the Written Agreement; and (viii) the Company may not appoint any new director or senior executive officer, or change the responsibilities of any senior executive officer so that the officer would assume a different senior executive officer position, without prior regulatory approval. The Company separately requested and received approval from the FRB to make the second quarter 2012 quarterly interest payments on its \$1.65 million in outstanding subordinated debt. Each quarter the Company requests approval from the FRB to make the next quarter's interest payment on its subordinated debt and is continuing to accrue the interest amounts due. We believe that as of June 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance in all material respects with all the provisions of the Written Agreement.

Reclassifications: Some items in the prior period financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Allowance for Loan Losses: The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses inherent in our loan portfolio, increased by the provision for loan losses and recoveries, and decreased by charge-offs of loans. Management believes the allowance for loan losses balance to be adequate based on known and inherent risks in the portfolio, past loan loss experience, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions and other relevant factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged-off. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Management continues its collection efforts on previously charged-off balances and applies recoveries as additions to the allowance for loan losses.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired. The general component covers nonclassified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current qualitative factors. The Company maintains a loss migration analysis that tracks loan losses and recoveries based on loan class and the loan risk grade assignment for commercial loans. At June 30, 2012, an 18 month annualized historical loss experience was used for commercial loans and a 12 month historical loss experience period was applied to residential mortgage and consumer loan portfolios. These historical loss percentages are adjusted (both upwards and downwards) for certain qualitative factors, including economic trends, credit quality trends, valuation trends, concentration risk, quality of loan review, changes in personnel, external factors and other considerations.

A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is believed to be probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans for which the terms have been modified and a concession has been made, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired.

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Commercial and commercial real estate loans with relationship balances exceeding \$500,000 and an internal risk grading of 6 or worse are evaluated for impairment. If a loan is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated and the loan is reported at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing interest rate or at the fair value of collateral, less estimated costs to sell, if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer and residential real estate loans are collectively evaluated for impairment and they are not separately identified for impairment disclosures. Troubled debt restructurings are also considered impaired with impairment generally measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's effective rate at inception or using the fair value of collateral, less estimated costs to sell, if repayment is expected solely from the collateral.

<u>Foreclosed Assets</u>: Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure, primarily other real estate owned, are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Costs after acquisition are expensed unless they add value to the property.

Income Taxes: Income tax expense is the sum of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

We recognize a tax position as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. We recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

The realization of deferred tax assets (net of a recorded valuation allowance) is largely dependent upon future taxable income, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and the ability to carryback losses to available tax years. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we consider all relevant positive and negative evidence, including taxable income in carry-back years, scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, expected future taxable income and available tax planning strategies.

As of January 1, 2010, we no longer have the ability to carryback losses to prior years. The realization of our deferred tax assets is largely dependent on generating income in future years. At June 30, 2012, the need to maintain a full valuation allowance was based primarily on our net operating losses for recent years and the continuing weak economic conditions that could impact our ability to generate future earnings. The valuation allowance may be reversed to income in future periods to the extent that the related deferred tax assets are realized or the valuation allowance is no longer required.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards: The FASB has issued ASU 2011-03, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements. The ASU is intended to improve financial reporting of repurchase agreements ("repos") and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. In a typical repo transaction, an entity transfers financial assets to a counterparty in exchange for cash with an agreement for the counterparty to return the same or equivalent financial assets for a fixed price in the future. Codification Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing, prescribes when an entity may or may not recognize a sale upon the transfer of financial assets subject to repo agreements. That determination is based, in part, on whether the entity has maintained effective control over the transferred financial assets. The amendments to the Codification in this ASU are intended to improve the accounting for these transactions by removing from the assessment of effective control the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets. The guidance in the ASU is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The guidance should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Adoption of this ASU did not have any effect as the Company does not currently hold any such repurchase agreements.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The FASB has issued ASU 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. This ASU represents the converged guidance of the FASB and the IASB (the Boards) on fair value measurement. The collective efforts of the Boards and their staffs, reflected in ASU 2011-04, have resulted in common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, including a consistent meaning of the term "fair value." The Boards have concluded the common requirements will result in greater comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The amendments to the Codification in this ASU are to be applied prospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application by public entities is not permitted. Disclosure of the fair value levels of our financial assets and financial liabilities was added to Note 5 upon adoption of this standard in the first quarter of 2012.

The FASB has issued ASU 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. This ASU amends accounting standards to allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments in the ASU do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. ASU 2011-05 should be applied retrospectively effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. We early adopted this standard with our 2011 annual financial statements by adding a statement of comprehensive income.

NOTE 2 – SECURITIES

The amortized cost and fair value of securities at period-end were as follows (dollars in thousands):

June 30, 2012	Aı	mortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains		Gross Unrealized Losses	 Fair Value
Available for Sale:						
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$	30,283	•	48 \$	(-)	\$ 30,525
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs		23,248		62	(41)	23,369
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds		15,304		53	(77)	15,380
Taxable state and municipal bonds		20,920	5	48	(20)	21,448
Corporate bonds and other debt securities		4,216		23	(5)	4,234
Other equity securities		1,500		62		1,562
	\$	95,471	\$ 1,1	96 \$	(149)	\$ 96,518
Held to Maturity						
State and municipal bonds	\$	300	\$	<u></u> \$	<u></u>	\$ 300
December 31, 2011						
Available for Sale:						
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$	27,408	\$ 2	05 \$		\$ 27,613
U. S. Agency MBS and CMOs		3,853		33		3,886
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds		4,292	1	16		4,408
Taxable state and municipal bonds		16,531	2	39	(54)	16,716
Corporate bonds		1,081		1	(1)	1,081
Other equity securities		1,000		42		1,042
	\$	54,165	\$	36 \$	(55)	\$ 54,746
Held to Maturity:						
State and municipal bonds	\$	300	\$	<u></u> \$		\$ 300

Proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale were \$4.1 million for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012, resulting in net gains of \$59,000. There were no sales of securities in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011.

 $Contractual\ maturities\ of\ debt\ securities\ at\ June\ 30,\ 2012\ were\ as\ follows\ (dollars\ in\ thousands):$

		Held-to-Maturi	ity Securities			ecurities		
		Amortized Cost	Fair Value			mortized Cost		Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$		\$		\$		\$	
Due from one to five years	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	30,826	Ψ	31,383
Due from five to ten years						33,715		34,069
Due after ten years	_	300		300	_	29,430	_	29,504
	\$	300	\$	300	\$	93,971	\$	94,956

NOTE 2 - SECURITIES (Continued)

Securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, are as follows (dollars in thousands):

		Less than	12 M	Ionths	12 Months or More						Total				
June 30, 2012		Fair Value		Unrealized Loss		Fair Value		Unrealized Loss			Fair Value	_	Unrealized Loss		
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds Taxable state and municipal bonds Corporate bonds and other debt securities Other equity securities Total temporarily impaired	\$ 	2,014 11,532 7,654 1,407 536 	\$	(6) (41) (77) (20) (5) 	\$	 	\$	- - - -	 	\$	2,014 11,532 7,654 1,407 536 	\$	(6) (41) (77) (20) (5) 		
Total temporarity impaned	Φ	Less than	э 12 М		Ď.	12 Month	φ 		=	Φ		tol.	(149)		
	_	Fair		Unrealized	_	Fair	18 0	Unrealized	-	_	Fair	tai	Unrealized		
<u>December 31, 2011</u>		Value	_	Loss	_	Value	-	Loss	_		Value	_	Loss		
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$		\$		\$		\$	-		\$		\$			
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs															
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds															
Taxable state and municipal bonds		6,196		(54)					-		6,196		(54)		
Corporate bonds		539		(1)							539		(1)		

Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

Other equity securities Total temporarily impaired

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. Management determined that no OTTI charges were necessary during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

(55)

6,735

(55)

6,735

At both June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, securities with a carrying value of approximately \$2.0 million were pledged as security for public deposits, letters of credit and for other purposes required or permitted by law.

NOTE 3 – LOANS

Portfolio loans were as follows (dollars in thousands):

		June 30, 2012	Dec	2011 2011
Commercial and industrial	\$	221,628	\$	227,051
Commercial real estate:				
Residential developed		28,464		33,829
Unsecured to residential developers		589		5,937
Vacant and unimproved		60,115		66,046
Commercial development		4,772		4,586
Residential improved		79,169		82,337
Commercial improved		283,293		304,070
Manufacturing and industrial		75,531		71,462
Total commercial real estate		531,933		568,267
Consumer				
Residential mortgage		170,983		156,891
Unsecured		1,776		1,952
Home equity		96,535		101,074
Other secured		14,110		15,740
Total consumer		283,404		275,657
Total loans		1,036,965		1,070,975
Allowance for loan losses		(27,180)		(31,641)
	¢	1,009,785	\$	1,039,334
	<u>\$</u>	1,009,763	φ	1,039,334

Activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment was as follows (dollars in thousands):

Activity in the anowance for loan losses by portions segment was as i	OHO	ws (donars in tho	usan	us).			
		Commercial					
		and		Commercial			
Three months ended June 30, 2012:		Industrial		Real Estate	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$	7,507	\$	17,565	\$ 4,366	\$ 13	\$ 29,451
Charge-offs		(21)		(799)	(79)		(899)
Recoveries		110		201	67		378
Provision for loan losses		(958)		(1,728)	900	36	(1,750)
Ending balance	\$	6,638	\$	15,239	\$ 5,254	\$ 49	\$ 27,180
		Commercial					
		and		Commercial			
Three months ended June 30, 2011:		Industrial		Real Estate	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$	7,191	\$	30,707	\$ 4,423	\$ 22	\$ 42,343
Charge-offs		(783)		(3,129)	(518)		(4,430)
Recoveries		1,083		387	94		1,564
Provision for loan losses		(2,000)		(1,150)	1,116	34	(2,000)
Ending balance	\$	5,491	\$	26,815	\$ 5,115	\$ 56	\$ 37,477

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

Six months ended June 30, 2012:		mmercial and dustrial		nmercial al Estate	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$	6,313	\$	20,475	\$ 4,821	\$ 32	\$ 31,641
Charge-offs		(989)		(2,506)	(901)		(4,396)
Recoveries		280		4,885	120		5,285
Provision for loan losses		1,034		(7,615)	1,214	17	 (5,350)
Ending balance	\$	6,638	\$	15,239	\$ 5,254	\$ 49	\$ 27,180
	Со	mmercial and	Cor	nmercial			
Six months ended June 30, 2011:	Ir	dustrial	Rea	al Estate	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$	7,012	\$	34,973	\$ 5,415	\$ 26	\$ 47,426
Charge-offs		(1,587)		(5,526)	(1,449)		(8,562)
Recoveries		1,277		637	149		2,063
Provision for loan losses		(1,211)		(3,269)	1,000	30	 (3,450)
Ending balance	\$	5,491	\$	26,815	\$ 5,115	\$ 56	\$ 37,477

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method (dollars in thousands):

June 30, 2012:

	nmercial and dustrial	nmercial al Estate	(Consumer	 Unallocated		Total
Allowance for loan losses:	 						
Ending allowance attributable to loans:							
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$ 4,230	\$ 3,717	\$	2,520	\$ 	\$	10,467
Collectively evaluated for impairment	 2,408	 11,522		2,734	49		16,713
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 6,638	\$ 15,239	\$	5,254	\$ 49	\$	27,180
Loans:							
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$ 12,829	\$ 51,901	\$	16,199	\$ 	\$	80,929
Collectively evaluated for impairment	 208,799	 480,032		267,205	 		956,036
Total ending loans balance	\$ 221,628	\$ 531,933	\$	283,404	\$ 	\$	1,036,965
December 31, 2011:	ommercial and ndustrial	 ommercial eal Estate		Consumer	 Unallocated		Total
Allowance for loan losses:	 	 		_	 		
Ending allowance attributable to loans:							
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$ 3,478	\$ 4,367	\$	1,752	\$ 	\$	9,597
Collectively evaluated for impairment	 2,835	 16,108		3,069	 32	_	22,044
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 6,313	\$ 20,475	\$	4,821	\$ 32	\$	31,641
Loans:							
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$ 17,331	\$ 52,195	\$	15,085	\$ 	\$	84,611
Collectively evaluated for impairment	209,720	516,072		260,572			986,364
Total ending loans balance	\$ 227,051	\$ 568,267	\$	275,657	\$ 	\$	1,070,975
	- 16 -						

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of June 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

With no related allowance recorded:	Pr	Inpaid incipal alance		ecorded restment		Allowance Allocated
Commercial and industrial	\$	4,819	\$	2,446	\$	
		, , ,		, .		
Commercial real estate:						
Residential developed		6,481		5,584		
Unsecured to residential developers						
Vacant and unimproved		2,115		1,418		
Commercial development		217		217		
Residential improved		5,056		4,190		
Commercial improved		7,671		6,576		
Manufacturing and industrial		4,496		4,496		
		26,036		22,481		
Consumer:						
Residential mortgage						
Unsecured						
Home equity		200		200		
Other secured						
		200		200		
	\$	31,055	\$	25,127	\$	
	-	,	<u> </u>		Ť	
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	10,383	\$	10,383	\$	4,230
Commercial real estate:	Ψ	10,505	Ψ	10,505	Ψ	4,230
Residential developed		2,648		2.648		1,200
Unsecured to residential developers		2,040		2,040		1,200
Vacant and unimproved		2,995		2,995		651
Commercial development		2,775		2,773		
Residential improved		9,062		9,062		789
Commercial improved		9,900		9,900		904
Manufacturing and industrial		4,815		4,815		173
Manufacturing and industrial	_	29,420	_	29,420		3,717
Consumer:		29,420		29,420		3,/1/
Residential mortgage		15,213		15,213		2,469
Unsecured		13,213		13,213		2,409
		786		786		51
Home equity Other secured		/86		/86		51
Omer Secured						
		15,999		15,999		2,520
	\$	55,802	\$	55,802	\$	10,467
Total	\$	86,857	\$	80,929	\$	10,467
10(4)	Ф	00,037	Ф	00,929	Ф	10,407

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of December 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

With no related allowance recorded:	Pr	npaid incipal alance		corded estment		Allowance Allocated
Commercial and industrial	\$	3,485	\$	3,485	\$	
Commercial real estate:	Ψ	3,403	Ψ	3,463	Ψ	
Residential developed		6,432		2.021		
Unsecured to residential developers				2,021		
Vacant and unimproved		5,226		4,265		
Commercial development						
Residential improved		1,943		1,858		
Commercial improved		5,428		5,162		
Manufacturing and industrial		3,997		3,997		
		23,026		17,303		
Consumer:		20,020		17,505		
Residential mortgage						
Unsecured						
Home equity		200		200		
Other secured						
		200	_	200	_	
	\$	26,711	\$	20,988	\$	
	Ф	20,711	Ψ	20,766	Ψ	
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	17,052	\$	13,846	\$	3,478
Commercial real estate:	Ψ	17,032	Ψ	13,640	Ψ	3,476
Residential developed		4,941		4,941		1,960
Unsecured to residential developers						
Vacant and unimproved		3,378		2,462		154
Commercial development		220		220		17
Residential improved		12.312		11.809		1,176
Commercial improved		10,590		10,555		844
Manufacturing and industrial		4,905		4,905		216
		36,346	_	34,892		4,367
Consumer:		20,210		2 .,0,2		1,507
Residential mortgage		14,235		14.114		1,713
Unsecured						
Home equity		771		771		39
Other secured						
		15,006		14,885	_	1,752
	\$	68,404	\$	63,623	\$	9,597
	φ	00,404	φ	03,023	Ф	9,391
Total	\$	95,115	\$	84,611	\$	9,597
		_		_		_

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents information regarding average balances of impaired loans and interest recognized on impaired loans for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	Ended June 30, 2012	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	_	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
Average of impaired loans during the period:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 14,788	\$ 6,608	\$ 16,874	\$	5,532
Commercial real estate:					
Residential developed	8,351	12,111	8,445	i	14,414
Unsecured to residential developers		795			864
Vacant and unimproved	3,862	6,197	3,672	,	5,483
Commercial development	217	223	218		567
Residential improved	13,440	9,741	13,993		9,144
Commercial improved	17,280	17,823	16,690		20,158
Manufacturing and industrial	9,299	6,632	9,384		7,613
Consumer	16,136	12,381	16,030		12,594
Interest income recognized during impairment:					
Commercial and industrial	465	79	827		65
Commercial real estate	626	446	1,211		969
Consumer	144	97	278		207
Cash-basis interest income recognized					
Commercial and industrial	487	70	815		122
Commercial real estate	627	398	1,215		907
Consumer	139	102	276		213
- 19 -					

$\begin{array}{c} \text{MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION} \\ \text{NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS} \\ \text{(Unaudited)} \end{array}$

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

Nonaccrual loans include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of June 30, 2012:

	Nonaccrual	Over 90 days Accruing
Commercial and industrial	\$ 6,146	\$ 27
Commercial real estate:		
Residential developed	5,461	
Unsecured to residential developers		
Vacant and unimproved	118	
Commercial development	422	
Residential improved	2,149	
Commercial improved	2,710	
Manufacturing and industrial	257	
	11,117	
Consumer:		
Residential mortgage	978	
Unsecured	21	
Home equity	590	
Other secured		
	1,589	
Total	\$ 18,852	\$ 27

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of December 31, 2011:

	Nonaccrual	Over 90 days Accruing
Commercial and industrial	\$ 9,270	\$ 290
Commercial real estate:		
Residential developed	3,577	126
Unsecured to residential developers		
Vacant and unimproved	3,715	
Commercial development	49	
Residential improved	5,144	286
Commercial improved	2,654	1,255
Manufacturing and industrial	134	
	15,273	1,667
Consumer:		
Residential mortgage	1,777	111
Unsecured	22	
Home equity	534	
Other secured		2
	2,333	113
Total	<u>\$ 26,876</u>	\$ 2,070

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of June 30, 2012 by class of loans (dollars in thousands):

	_	30-90 Days	(reater Than 90 Days	 Total Past Due	 Loans Not Past Due	Total
Commercial and industrial	\$	45	\$	150	\$ 195	\$ 221,433	\$ 221,628
Communicational contactors							
Commercial real estate:		212		25	2.40	20.115	20.464
Residential developed		312		37	349	28,115	28,464
Unsecured to residential developers						589	589
Vacant and unimproved		963		50	1,013	59,102	60,115
Commercial development				422	422	4,350	4,772
Residential improved		1,188		592	1,780	77,389	79,169
Commercial improved		269		1,159	1,428	281,865	283,293
Manufacturing and industrial				32	32	75,499	75,531
		2,732		2,292	5,024	526,909	531,933
Consumer:							
Residential mortgage		74		686	760	170,223	170,983
Unsecured						1,776	1,776
Home equity		330		555	885	95,650	96,535
Other secured						14,110	14,110
		404		1,241	1,645	281,759	283,404
Total	\$	3,181	\$	3,683	\$ 6,864	\$ 1,030,101	\$ 1,036,965

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of December 31, 2011 by class of loans (dollars in thousands):

	30-90 Days	_	Greater Than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Loans Not Past Due	 Total
Commercial and industrial	\$ 218	\$	1,230	\$ 1,448	\$ 225,603	\$ 227,051
Commercial real estate:						
Residential developed	472		613	1,085	32,744	22 920
Unsecured to residential developers					5,937	33,829
1	442		388	830	/	5,937
Vacant and unimproved			300 49		65,216	66,046
Commercial development	540			49	4,537	4,586
Residential improved	549		1,343	1,892	80,445	82,337
Commercial improved	1,355		3,266	4,621	299,449	304,070
Manufacturing and industrial	 		134	 134	71,328	71,462
	2,818		5,793	8,611	559,656	568,267
Consumer:						
Residential mortgage	313		1,517	1,830	155,061	156,891
Unsecured	35			35	1,917	1,952
Home equity	663		498	1,161	99,913	101,074
Other secured	51		2	53	15,687	15,740
	 1,062		2,017	3,079	272,578	275,657
Total	\$ 4,098	\$	9,040	\$ 13,138	\$ 1,057,837	\$ 1,070,975

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The Company had allocated \$8,922,000 and \$6,905,000 of specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. These loans involved the restructuring of terms to allow customers to mitigate the risk of foreclosure by meeting a lower loan payment requirement based upon their current cash flow. These may also include loans that renewed at existing contractual rates, but below market rates for comparable credit. The Company has been active at utilizing these programs and working with its customers to reduce the risk of foreclosure. For commercial loans, these modifications typically include an interest only period and, in some cases, a lowering of the interest rate on the loan. In some cases, the modification will include separating the note into two notes with the first note structured to be supported by current cash flows and collateral, and the second note made for the remaining unsecured debt. The second note is charged off immediately and collected only after the first note is paid in full. This modification type is commonly referred to as an A-B note structure. For consumer mortgage loans, the restructuring typically includes a lowering of the interest rate to provide payment and cash flow relief. For each restructuring, a comprehensive credit underwriting analysis of the borrower's financial condition and prospects of repayment under the revised terms is performed to assess whether the structure can be successful and that cash flows will be sufficient to support the restructured debt. An analysis is also performed to determine whether the restructured loan should be on accrual status. Generally if the loan is on accrual at the time of restructure, it will remain on accrual after the restructuring. In some cases, a nonaccrual loan may be placed on accrual at restructuring if the loan's actual payment history demonstrates it would have cash flowed under the restructured terms. After six consecutive pay

Typically, once a loan is identified as a TDR, it will retain that designation until it is paid off, since the restructured loans generally are not at market rates at the time of restructuring. An exception to this would be a loan that is modified under an A-B note structure. If the remaining "A" note is at a market rate at the time of restructuring (taking into account the borrower's credit risk and prevailing market conditions), the loan can be removed from TDR designation in a subsequent calendar year after six months of performance in accordance with the new terms. The market rate relative to the borrower's credit risk is determined through analysis of market pricing information gathered from peers and use of a loan pricing model. The general objective of the model is to achieve a consistent return on equity from one credit to the next, taking into consideration their differences in credit risk. In the model, credits with higher risk receive a higher potential loss allocation, and therefore require a higher interest rate to achieve the target return on equity. In general, when a loan is removed from TDR status it would no longer be considered impaired. As a result, allowance allocations for loans removed from TDR status would be based on the historical based allocation for the applicable loan grade and loan class. During the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and throughout 2011, no loans were removed from TDR status. Given the nature of the TDRs outstanding at June 30, 2012, it is unlikely that any such loans will be removed from TDR status in 2012.

As with other impaired loans, an allowance for loan loss is estimated for each TDR based on the most likely source of repayment for each loan. For impaired commercial real estate loans that are collateral dependent, the allowance is computed based on the fair value of the underlying collateral. For impaired commercial loans where repayment is expected from cash flows from business operations, the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation. Certain groups of TDRs, such as residential mortgages, have common characteristics and for them the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation on the change in weighted rate for the pool. The allowance allocations for commercial TDRs where we have reduced the contractual interest rate are computed by measuring cash flows using the new payment terms discounted at the original contractual rate.

The following table presents information regarding troubled debt restructurings as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	June 30	0, 20	12	Decembe	r 31,	2011
			Outstanding Recorded			Outstanding Recorded
	Number of Loans		Balance	Number of Loans		Balance
Commercial and industrial	114	\$	12,463	98	\$	15,395
Commercial real estate	141		45,970	120		46,414
Consumer	97		15,935	90		15,373
	352	\$	74,368	308	\$	77,182

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents information regarding troubled debt restructurings executed during the three month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

		Thi	ree Months Ende June 30, 2012	d				Th	ree Months Ende June 30, 2011	d	
	Number of Loans		Outstanding Recorded Balance	u	Principal Writedown pon Modification	Numbe Loai		_	Outstanding Recorded Balance	up	Principal Writedown on Modification
Commercial and industrial	3	\$	93	\$	9		14	\$	1,193	\$	
Commercial real estate	9		1,301				17		5,384		30
Consumer	2		275				5		759		
	14	\$	1,669	\$	9		36	\$	7,336	\$	30

The following table presents information regarding troubled debt restructurings executed during the six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

		Months Ended June 30, 2012			S	ix Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	Number of Loans	Outstanding Recorded Balance	Principal Writedown n Modification	Number of Loans		Outstanding Recorded Balance	uj	Principal Writedown pon Modification
Commercial and industrial	13	\$ 1,335	\$ 9	62	\$	2,615	\$	
Commercial real estate	39	7,698	86	48		14,954		553
Consumer	9	 1,462	260	11		1,670		
	61	\$ 10,495	\$ 355	121	\$	19,239	\$	553

According to the accounting standards, not all loan modifications are TDRs. TDRs are modifications or renewals where the Company has granted a concession to a borrower in financial distress. The Company reviews all modifications and renewals for determination of TDR status. In some situations a borrower may be experiencing financial distress, but the Company does not provide a concession. These modifications are not considered TDRs. In other cases, the Company might provide a concession, such as a reduction in interest rate, but the borrower is not experiencing financial distress. This could be the case if the Company is matching a competitor's interest rate. These modifications would also not be considered TDRs. Finally, any renewals at existing terms for borrowers not experiencing financial distress would not be considered TDRs. The following table presents information regarding modifications and renewals executed during the three month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 that are not considered TDRs (dollars in thousands):

		nths Ended 0, 2012		onths Ended 60, 2011
	Number of Loans			Outstanding Recorded Balance
Commercial and industrial	156	\$ 27,628	195	\$ 27,551
Commercial real estate	85	28,906	110	37,128
Consumer	22	815	25	681
	263	\$ 57,349	330	\$ 65,360

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents information regarding modifications and renewals executed during the six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 that are not considered TDRs (dollars in thousands):

	Six Mon June 3			Six Mon June 3		
	Number of Loans		Outstanding Recorded Balance	Number of Loans	(Outstanding Recorded Balance
Commercial and industrial	250	\$	60,918	305	\$	57,661
Commercial real estate	164		65,706	227		80,091
Consumer	46 1,687			31		914
	460	\$	128,311	563	\$	138,666

The table below presents by class, information regarding troubled debt restructured loans which had payment defaults during the three month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands). Included are loans that became delinquent more than 90 days past due or transferred to nonaccrual within 12 months of restructuring.

	fonths Ended 30, 2012				nths Ended 0, 2011
Number of Loans	Outstanding Recorded Balan		Number of Loans	.]	Outstanding Recorded Balance
1	\$	20	1	\$	66
			3	,	319
					

The table below presents by class, information regarding troubled debt restructured loans which had payment defaults during the six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands). Included are loans that became delinquent more than 90 days past due or transferred to nonaccrual within 12 months of restructuring.

		onths Ended 30, 2012		nths Ended 30, 2011
	Number of Loans	Outstanding Recorded Balance	Number of Loans	Outstanding Recorded Balance
Commercial and industrial	3	\$ 112	4	\$ 830
Commercial real estate	1	76	4	546
Consumer	1	70	2	402

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

Credit Quality Indicators: The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of the borrowers to service their debt such as current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes commercial loans individually and classifies these relationships by credit risk grading. The Company uses an eight point grading system, with grades 5 through 8 being considered classified, or watch, credits. All commercial loans are assigned a grade at origination, at each renewal or any amendment. When a credit is first downgraded to a watch credit (either through renewal, amendment, loan officer identification or the loan review process), an Administrative Loan Review ("ALR") is generated by credit and the loan officer. All watch credits have an ALR completed monthly which analyzes the collateral position and cash flow of the borrower and its guarantors. The loan officer is required to complete of a short term and long term plan to rehabilitate or exit the credit and to provide monthly comments on the progress to these plans. Management meets quarterly with loan officers to discuss each of these credits in detail and to help attempt to formulate solutions where progress has stalled. When necessary, the loan officer proposes changes to the assigned loan grade as part of the ALR. Additionally, Loan Review reviews all loan grades upon origination, renewal or amendment and again as loans are selected through the loan review process. The credit will stay on the ALR until either its grade has improved to a 4 or better or the credit relationship is at a zero balance. The Company uses the following definitions for the risk grades:

- 1. Excellent Loans supported by extremely strong financial condition or secured by the Bank's own deposits. Minimal risk to the Bank and the probability of serious rapid financial deterioration is extremely small.
- 2. Above Average Loans supported by sound financial statements that indicate the ability to repay or borrowings secured (and margined properly) with marketable securities. Nominal risk to the Bank and probability of serious financial deterioration is highly unlikely. The overall quality of these credits is very high.
- 3. Good Quality Average loans supported by satisfactory asset quality and liquidity, good debt capacity coverage, and good management in all critical positions. Loans are secured by acceptable collateral with adequate margins. There is a slight risk of deterioration if adverse market conditions prevail.
- 4. Acceptable Risk Loans carrying an acceptable risk to the Bank, which may be slightly below average quality. The borrower has limited financial strength with considerable leverage. There is some probability of deterioration if adverse market conditions prevail. These credits should be monitored closely by the Relationship Manager.
- 5. Marginally Acceptable Loans are of marginal quality with above normal risk to the Bank. The borrower shows acceptable asset quality but very little liquidity with high leverage. There is inconsistent earning performance without the ability to sustain adverse market conditions. The primary source of repayment is questionable, but the secondary source of repayment still remains an option. Very close attention by the Relationship Manager and management is needed.
- 6. Substandard Loans are inadequately protected by the net worth and paying capacity of the borrower or the collateral pledged. The primary and secondary sources of repayment are questionable. Heavy debt condition may be evident and volume and earnings deterioration may be underway. It is possible that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not immediately addressed and corrected.
- 7. Doubtful Loans supported by weak or no financial statements. The ability to repay the entire loan is questionable. Loans in this category are normally characterized with less than adequate collateral, insolvent, or extremely weak financial condition. A loan classified doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in one classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses makes collection or liquidation in full highly questionable. The possibility of loss is extremely high, however, activity may be underway to minimize the loss or maximize the recovery.
- 8. Loss Loans are considered uncollectible and of little or no value as a bank asset and should be charged off.

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

As of June 30, 2012, the risk grade category of commercial loans by class of loans was as follows (dollars in thousands):

		1		2		3		4		5		6	_	7		8
Commercial and industrial	\$	1,275	S	11.275	•	68.452	\$	115,912	Φ.	14.159	\$	4,384	\$	6,171	\$	
Commercial real estate:	φ	1,2/3	Ф	11,273	Ф	00,432	Ф	113,912	Ф	14,139	Ф	4,304	φ	0,171	φ	
Residential developed						763		7,381		8,995		5,864		5,461		
Unsecured to residential																
developers								556		33						
Vacant and unimproved						14,608		24,981		17,349		3,059		118		
Commercial development								2,420		1,104		826		422		
Residential improved				119		8,704		42,294		15,331		10,572		2,149		
Commercial improved				3,840		62,384		152,830		47,530		13,999		2,710		
Manufacturing and industrial				2,101		17,096		35,816		11,450		8,811		257		
	\$	1,275	\$	17,335	\$	172,007	\$	382,190	\$	115,951	\$	47,515	\$	17,288	\$	

As of December 31, 2011, the risk grade category of commercial loans by class of loans was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	1		2	_	3	4	 5	 6	 7	 8
Commercial and industrial	\$	595	\$ 8,447	\$	56,457	\$ 117,015	\$ 27,674	\$ 7,593	\$ 9,270	\$
Commercial real estate:										
Residential developed					283	9,688	11,410	8,725	3,723	
Unsecured to residential										
developers					4,773	647	177	340		
Vacant and unimproved					14,707	24,344	21,362	1,918	3,715	
Commercial development					60	2,261	1,109	1,107	49	
Residential improved			121		2,650	45,813	18,642	9,968	5,143	
Commercial improved			5		62,510	173,697	43,493	21,712	2,653	
Manufacturing and industrial			2,242		12,209	38,533	11,344	7,000	134	
	\$	595	\$ 10,815	\$	153,649	\$ 411,998	\$ 135,211	\$ 58,363	\$ 24,687	\$

Commercial loans rated a 6 or worse per the Company's internal risk rating system are considered substandard, doubtful or loss. Commercial loans classified as substandard or worse were as follows at period-end (dollars in thousands):

	ane 30, 2012	ember 31, 2011
Not classified as impaired	\$ 20,516	\$ 29,687
Classified as impaired	 44,287	 53,363
Total commercial loans classified substandard or worse	\$ 64,803	\$ 83,050

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The Company considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For consumer loan classes, the Company also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in consumer loans based on payment activity (dollars in thousands):

June 30, 2012		Residential Mortgage	Consumer Insecured	 Home Equity	Consumer Other
Performing	\$	170,297	\$ 1,776	\$ 95,980	\$ 14,110
Nonperforming	<u></u>	686	 	 555	
Total	\$	170,983	\$ 1,776	\$ 96,535	\$ 14,110
December 31, 2011		Residential Mortgage	Consumer Insecured	Home Equity	Consumer Other
Performing	\$	155,374	\$ 1,952	\$ 100,576	\$ 15,738
Nonperforming		1,517		 498	2
Total	\$	156,891	\$ 1,952	\$ 101,074	\$ 15,740

NOTE 4 – OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

Period-end other real estate owned was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Ju	Six hs Ended ne 30, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011		Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	
Beginning balance	\$	83,663	\$	68,388	\$ 68,388	
Additions, transfers from loans and fixed assets		7,725		38,358	23,384	
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned		(8,587)		(21,540)	(11,330)	
Valuation allowance reversal upon sale		(1,998)		(3,058)	(1,730)	
Gain (loss) on sale of other real estate owned		20		1,515	 745	
		80,823		83,663	79,457	
Less: valuation allowance		(18,777)		(17,225)	(14,025)	
Ending balance	\$	62,046	\$	66,438	\$ 65,432	

NOTE 4 - OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED (Continued)

Activity in the valuation allowance for other real estate owned was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	J	ee Months Ended une 30, 2012	ree Months Ended June 30, 2011	 Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
Beginning balance	\$	17,452	\$ 12,020	\$ 17,225	\$ 10,404
Additions charged to expense		1,860	2,653	3,550	5,351
Reversals upon sale	(535)		(648)	(1,998)	(1,730)
Ending balance	\$	18,777	\$ 14,025	\$ 18,777	\$ 14,025

NOTE 5 - FAIR VALUE

ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value include:

- Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.
- Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

Investment Securities: The fair values of investment securities are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).

Loans Held for Sale: The fair value of loans held for sale is based upon binding quotes from 3d party investors (Level 2 inputs)

Impaired Loans: The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned: Adjustments to commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned (OREO) are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized through a valuation allowance.

NOTE 5 – FAIR VALUE (Continued)

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

		(Quoted Prices				
		in	Active Markets				Significant
		Unobservable					
	Fair		Assets	Observable			Inputs
Value			(Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)			(Level 3)
			•				
\$	30,525	\$		\$	30,525	\$	
	23,369				23,369		
	15,380				15,380		
	21,448				21,448		
	4,234				4,234		
	1,562				1,562		
	6,630				6,630		
\$	27,613	\$		\$	27,613	\$	
	3,886				3,886		
	4,408				4,408		
	16,716				16,716		
	1,081				1,081		
	1,042				1,042		
	1,026				1,026		
		Value \$ 30,525 23,369 15,380 21,448 4,234 1,562 6,630 \$ 27,613 3,886 4,408 16,716 1,081 1,042	Fair Value \$ 30,525 \$ 23,369 15,380 21,448 4,234 1,562 6,630 \$ 27,613 \$ 3,886 4,408 16,716 1,081 1,042	Fair Value in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) \$ 30,525 \$ 23,369 15,380 21,448 4,234 1,562 6,630 \$ 27,613 \$ 3,886 4,408 16,716 1,081 1,042	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

		Q	Quoted Prices				
		in A	Active Markets	Significant		Significant	
		f	for Identical	Other		Ţ	Jnobservable
	Fair	Assets	Observable			Inputs	
	 Value		(Level 1)	I	nputs (Level 2)		(Level 3)
June 30, 2012							
Impaired loans	\$ 28,540	\$		\$		\$	28,540
Other real estate owned	43,263						43,263
December 31, 2011							
Impaired loans	\$ 22,525	\$		\$		\$	22,525
Other real estate owned	39,730						39,730

NOTE 5 - FAIR VALUE (Continued)

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, not previously presented, were as follows at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands).

	Level in	 June 30	, 201	2	December 31, 2011				
	Fair Value Hierarchy	Carrying Amount	Fair Value			Carrying Amount		Fair Value	
Financial assets									
Cash and due from banks	Level 1	\$ 25,673	\$	25,673	\$	30,971	\$	30,971	
Cash equivalents	Level 2	218,721		218,721		212,071		212,071	
Securities held to maturity	Level 2	300		300		300		300	
FHLB stock		11,236		N/A		11,236		NA	
Loans, net	Level 2	981,245		987,106		1,016,809		1,024,766	
Bank owned life insurance	Level 3	26,404		26,404		25,957		25,957	
Accrued interest receivable	Level 2	3,525		3,525		3,595		3,595	
Financial liabilities									
Deposits	Level 2	(1,235,517)		(1,235,896)		(1,215,289)		(1,216,452)	
Other borrowed funds	Level 2	(127,489)		(130,922)		(148,603)		(151,566)	
Long-term debt	Level 2	(41,238)		(34,861)		(41,238)		(34,820)	
Subordinated debt	Level 2	(1,650)		(1,650)		(1,650)		(1,650)	
Accrued interest payable	Level 2	(4,186)		(4,186)		(3,517)		(3,517)	
Off-balance sheet credit-related items									
Loan commitments									

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows.

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, bank owned life insurance, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term borrowings and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. Security fair values are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities as discussed above. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk (including consideration of widening credit spreads). Fair value of debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off-balance sheet credit-related items is not significant.

NOTE 6 - DEPOSITS

Deposits are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	De	cember 31, 2011
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 330,626	\$	324,253
Interest bearing demand	229,424		204,402
Savings and money market accounts	415,748		381,498
Certificates of deposit	 259,719		305,136
	\$ 1,235,517	\$	1,215,289

Approximately \$92.4 million and \$106.3 million in certificates of deposit were in denominations of \$100,000 or more at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Bank had no brokered deposits at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

NOTE 7 - OTHER BORROWED FUNDS

Other borrowed funds include advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank and borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank.

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

At period-end, advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Principal Terms		Advance Amount	Range of Maturities	Weighted Average Interest Rate
June 30, 2012				
Single maturity fixed rate advances	\$	115,000	July 2012 to September 2016	1.69%
Amortizable mortgage advances		12,489	March 2018 to July 2018	3.77%
	\$	127,489		
Principal Terms December 31, 2011		Advance Amount	Range of Maturities	Weighted Average Interest Rate
Single maturity fixed rate advances	\$	125 000	March 2012 to September 2016	1.79%
Amortizable mortgage advances	Φ			3.77%
	\$	148,603	· ·	

Each advance is subject to a prepayment penalty if paid prior to its maturity date. Fixed rate advances are payable at maturity. Amortizable mortgage advances are fixed rate advances with scheduled repayments based upon amortization to maturity. These advances were collateralized by residential and commercial real estate loans totaling \$419.5 million under a blanket lien arrangement at June 30, 2012 and \$389.8 million under a physical loan collateral delivery arrangement at December 31, 2011.

Scheduled repayments of FHLB advances as of June 30, 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

2012	\$ 15,667
2013 2014 2015 2016	1,831
2014	21,884
2015	21,938
2016	61,996
Thereafter	 4,173
	\$ 127,489

NOTE 8 - EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012			Ended June 30, 2011	S	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	_	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
Net income	\$	\$ 3,186		2,401	\$	7,671	\$	3,692
Dividends declared on preferred shares								
Net income available to common shares	\$	3,186	\$	2,401	\$	7,671	\$	3,692
Weighted average shares outstanding, including participating stock awards-Basic		27,082,825		18,964,150		27,082,825		18,325,434
Dilutive potential common shares:								
Stock options								
Conversion of preferred stock								
Stock warrants								
Weighted average shares outstanding - Diluted		27,082,825		18,964,150		27,082,825		18,325,434
Basic earnings per common share	\$	0.12	\$	0.13	\$	0.28	\$	0.20
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.12	\$	0.13	\$	0.28	\$	0.20

Stock options for 556,191 shares of common stock for both the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 were excluded from dilutive potential common shares because they were antidilutive. Stock options for 705,390 and 710,522 shares of common stock for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, respectively, were excluded from dilutive potential common shares because they were antidilutive. Common shares associated with convertible preferred stock and stock warrants were excluded from dilutive potential common shares in each period as they were antidilutive.

NOTE 9 - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense (benefit) was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	E Ju	e Months Ended ane 30, 2012	ree Months Ended June 30, 2011	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012			Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	
Current	\$	(176)	\$ (80)	\$	(163)	\$	(82)	
Deferred (benefit) expense		176	80		163		82	
	\$		\$ 	\$		\$		

NOTE 9 - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES (Continued)

The difference between the financial statement tax expense (benefit) and amount computed by applying the statutory federal tax rate to pretax income was reconciled as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Ended June 30, 2012	1	Fhree Months Ended June 30, 2011	_	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	_	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	
Statutory rate	35%		35%		35%		35%	
Statutory rate applied to income before taxes	\$ 1,115	\$	840	\$	2,685	\$	1,292	
Add (deduct)								
Change in valuation allowance	(822)		(654)		(2,295)		(1,009)	
Tax-exempt interest income	(20)		(69)		(32)		(69)	
Bank-owned life insurance	(79)		(88)		(157)		(163)	
Other, net	(194)		(29)		(201)		(51)	
	\$ 	\$		\$		\$		

The realization of deferred tax assets (net of a recorded valuation allowance) is largely dependent upon future taxable income, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and the ability to carryback losses to available tax years. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we consider positive and negative evidence, including taxable income in carry-back years, scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, expected future taxable income and tax planning strategies.

We established an \$18.0 million valuation allowance on deferred tax assets in 2009 based primarily on the Company's net operating losses for 2009 and 2008. As a result of losses incurred in 2010, the Company increased the valuation allowance to \$25.6 million at December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2012, a valuation allowance of \$24.0 million and \$21.6 million, respectively, was maintained as the Company continued to face a challenging economic environment currently confronting banks that could negatively impact future operating results. The valuation allowance may be reversed to income in future periods to the extent that the related deferred tax assets are realized or when the Company returns to consistent, sustained profitability.

NOTE 9 - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES (Continued)

The net deferred tax asset recorded included the following amounts of deferred tax assets and liabilities (dollars in thousands):

Deferred tax assets	J	June 30, 2012		ember 31, 2011
Allowance for loan losses	\$	9,513	\$	11,074
Nonaccrual loan interest	Ψ	953	Ψ	839
Valuation allowance on other real estate owned		6,572		6,029
Net operating loss carryforward		5,982		7,673
Other		1,346		1,137
Gross deferred tax assets		24,366		26,752
Valuation allowance		(21,568)		(24,026)
Total net deferred tax assets		2,798		2,726
Deferred tax liabilities				
Depreciation		(1,671)		(1,758)
Purchase accounting adjustments				(22)
Unrealized gain on securities available for sale		(366)		(204)
Prepaid expenses		(407)		(407)
Other		(354)		(335)
Gross deferred tax liabilities		(2,798)		(2,726)
Net deferred tax asset	\$		\$	

At June 30, 2012, we had federal net operating loss carry forwards of \$17.1 million that expire through 2030.

There were no unrecognized tax benefits at June 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011 and the Company does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase or decrease in the next twelve months. The Company is no longer subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for years before 2008.

NOTE 10 – CONTINGENCIES

We and our subsidiaries periodically become defendants in certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. As of June 30, 2012, there were no material pending legal proceedings to which we or any of our subsidiaries are a party or which any of our properties are the subject.

NOTE 11 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Regulatory Capital

The Company and the Bank are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors and the regulators can lower classifications in certain cases. Failure to meet various capital requirements can initiate regulatory action that could have a direct material effect on the financial statements.

NOTE 11 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

The prompt corrective action regulations provide five categories, including well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. If a bank is only adequately capitalized, regulatory approval is required to, among other things, accept, renew or roll-over brokered deposits. If a bank is undercapitalized, capital distributions and growth and expansion are limited, and plans for capital restoration are required.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, actual and minimum required capital levels were (in thousands):

	To Be Well Minimum Required Capitalized Under												Minimum F	Peguired	
						For Ca				Prompt Cor			Under MOU	1	
	Actual				Adequacy Purposes					Action Regi				Order	
	1	Amount	Ratio		A	mount	Ratio			Amount	Ratio		1	Amount	Ratio
June 30, 2012															
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)															
Consolidated	\$	157,255		14.2%	\$	88,742		8.0%		N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A
Bank		150,545		13.6		88,731		8.0	\$	110,914		10.0%		N/A	N/A
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)															
Consolidated		135,396		12.2		44,371		4.0		N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A
Bank		136,488		12.3		44,366		4.0		66,548		6.0		N/A	N/A
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)															
Consolidated		135,396		9.0		60,163		4.0		N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A
Bank		136,488		9.1		60,092		4.0		75,115		5.0	\$	120,184	8.0
December 31, 2011															
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)															
Consolidated	\$	149,905		13.2%	\$	91,201		8.0%		N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A
Bank		142,059		12.5		91,193		8.0	\$	113,991		10.0%	\$	125,390	11.0%
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)															
Consolidated		125,028		11.0		45,601		4.0		N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A
Bank		127,576		11.2		45,596		4.0		68,394		6.0		N/A	N/A
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)															
Consolidated		125,028		8.3		60,598		4.0		N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A
Bank		127,576		8.4		60,528		4.0		75,660		5.0		121,056	8.0

⁽¹⁾ The MOU is applicable to the June 30, 2012 information presented in these columns, and the Consent Order is applicable to the December 31, 2011 information presented in these columns.

Approximately \$33.8 million and \$31.3 million of trust preferred securities outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, qualified as Tier 1 capital. Refer to our 2011 Form 10-K for more information on the trust preferred securities.

The Bank was categorized as "well capitalized" at June 30, 2012 and "adequately capitalized" at December 31, 2011. The Bank's regulatory capital ratios exceeded the levels ordinarily required to be categorized as "well capitalized" at December 31, 2011. However, because the Bank was subject to the Consent Order at the time, the Bank could not be categorized as "well capitalized" regardless of actual capital levels. The Consent Order was terminated on March 2, 2012.

NOTE 11 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

The MOU prohibits the Bank from declaring or paying any cash dividend without the prior written consent of its regulators. The payment of future cash dividends by the Company is largely dependent upon dividends received from the Bank out of its earnings. Under Michigan law, the Bank is also restricted from paying dividends to the Company until its deficit retained earnings has been restored. The Bank had a retained deficit of approximately \$22.9 million at June 30, 2012.

Additional information about the Consent Order and the MOU may be found in Note 1 under the heading "Regulatory Developments".

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Macatawa Bank Corporation is a Michigan corporation and a registered bank holding company. It wholly-owns Macatawa Bank, Macatawa Statutory Trust I and Macatawa Statutory Trust II. Macatawa Bank is a Michigan chartered bank with depository accounts insured by the FDIC. The Bank operates twenty-six branch offices and a lending and operational service facility, providing a full range of commercial and consumer banking and trust services in Kent County, Ottawa County, and northern Allegan County, Michigan. Macatawa Statutory Trusts I and II are grantor trusts and issued \$20.0 million each of pooled trust preferred securities. These trusts are not consolidated in our Consolidated Financial Statements. For further information regarding consolidation, see the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

At June 30, 2012, we had total assets of \$1.52 billion, total loans of \$1.04 billion, total deposits of \$1.24 billion and shareholders' equity of \$102.4 million. During the second quarter of 2012, we recognized net income of \$3.2 million compared to net income of \$2.4 million in the second quarter of 2011. This represented our ninth consecutive quarter of profitability. As described more fully below, continued reductions in net charge-offs and nonperforming loans led to a negative loan loss provision for the most recent quarter.

In response to our losses during 2008, 2009 and the first quarter of 2010, our Board of Directors implemented additional corporate governance practices and disciplined business and banking principles, including more conservative lending principles. The focus of our management team turned from growth in our business to executing these disciplined business and banking procedures and policies designed to limit future losses, preserve capital and improve operational efficiencies. In addition, the Board of Directors added experienced members to provide further oversight and guidance. These and other efforts were reflected in our results of operations for the past two years with lower levels of charge-offs and provision for loan losses, reductions in operating expenses and reduction in balance sheet totals resulting in improvement in our regulatory capital and liquidity ratios. We successfully completed our shareholder rights offering and public offering of common stock in June 2011 resulting in net proceeds of \$20.3 million and contributed \$10.0 million of the proceeds from the stock offering to the Bank retaining the remaining \$10.3 million at the holding company. As of June 30, 2012, the Company's and the Bank's regulatory capital ratios were the highest they have been since December 31, 1999. The Bank was categorized as "well capitalized at June 30, 2012.

On February 22, 2010, Macatawa Bank entered into a Consent Order with the FDIC and OFIR, the primary banking regulators of the Bank. The Company also entered into a Written Agreement with the FRB with an effective date of July 23, 2010. Upon completion of the Bank's 2011 joint examination, the FDIC and OFIR terminated the Bank's Consent Order effective March 2, 2012.

In connection with the termination of the Consent Order, the Bank reached an understanding with the regulators in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU"). As of June 30, 2012, we believe that the Bank was in compliance in all material respects with all of the provisions of the MOU. As of the same date, we believe that the Company was in compliance in all material respects with all of the provisions of the Written Agreement. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Additional information further describing changes in our business, including those in response to the Consent Order, MOU and the Written Agreement, are described in detail in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Summary: Net income available to common shares for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 was \$3.2 million, compared to net income of \$2.4 million in the second quarter of 2011. Net income per common share on a diluted basis was \$0.12 for the second quarter of 2012 and \$0.13 for the second quarter of 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, net income was \$7.7 million, compared to \$3.7 million for the same period in 2011. Net income per common share on a diluted basis for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$0.28, compared to \$0.20 for the same period in 2011.

The improvement in earnings in the second quarter and first six months of 2012 was a continuation of improvement in the past several quarters, led by a significantly lower level of net charge-offs from \$2.9 million in the second quarter of 2011 to \$521,000 in the second quarter of 2012. This, coupled with a decline in non-performing and impaired loan levels, resulted in a negative provision for loan losses for the most recent quarter. The provision for loan losses was a negative \$1.75 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2012 compared to a negative \$2.0 million for the same period in 2011. For the year to date period ended June 30, 2012, we recognized a negative \$5.35 million provision compared to a negative \$3.45 million provision for the same period in 2011. The increased negative provision in the six month period ended June 30, 2012 is largely attributable to a sizeable recovery recognized in the first quarter of 2012 as discussed in previous filings.

Operating results in recent periods have been significantly impacted by the expense associated with problem loans and nonperforming assets. Apart from the provision for loan losses, expenses associated with nonperforming assets (including administration costs and losses) were \$3.2 million for the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$3.7 million for the second quarter of 2011. For the first half of 2012, such expenses totaled \$6.2 million compared to \$8.2 million for the same period in 2011. Significant valuation writedowns on other real estate owned and higher levels of legal costs associated with nonperforming assets in the first half of 2011 were the primary reasons for the change between periods. Lost interest from elevated levels of nonperforming assets was approximately \$970,000 and \$2.1 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$1.8 million and \$3.9 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. Each of these items is discussed more fully below.

Net Interest Income: Net interest income totaled \$11.3 million for the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$11.8 million for the second quarter of 2011. For the first half of 2012, net interest income was \$22.6 million compared to \$23.4 million for the same period in 2011.

The decrease in net interest income in the second quarter of 2012 was due primarily to a \$19.5 million reduction in our average interest earning assets as a result of our focus on reducing credit exposure within certain segments of our loan portfolio, liquidity improvement and capital preservation. The net interest margin was 3.32% for the second quarter of 2012 compared to 3.39% for the second quarter of 2011. Average interest earning assets decreased from \$1.38 billion for the same period in 2012. Our average yield on earning assets for the second quarter of 2012 decreased 39 basis points compared to the same period in 2011 from 4.47% to 4.08%. Average interest bearing liabilities decreased \$66.1 million from \$1.15 billion for the second quarter of 2011 to \$1.09 billion for the same period in 2012. The cost of average interest bearing liabilities decreased 33 basis points compared to the same period in 2011 from 1.28% to 0.95%, which partially offset the effect of the decline in yield on earning assets.

Average interest earning assets decreased from \$1.41 billion for the first six months of 2011 to \$1.35 billion for the same period in 2012. Our average yield on earning assets declined 32 basis points for the first half of 2012 in comparison with the same period in 2011. Our net interest margin was 3.32% for the six month period in 2012 compared to 3.31% for the same period in 2011 primarily due a 36 basis points decline in the average cost of interest bearing liabilities as we continued to payoff wholesale funding as the individual instruments matured.

The declines in yields on interest earning assets for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 were from decreases in the yield on our commercial, residential and consumer loan portfolios, which have repriced in the generally lower rate environment during this period. Our margin has been negatively impacted by our decision to hold significant balances in liquid and short-term investments during the past two years. As we deploy these balances in building our investment portfolio and booking high quality loans, we expect our margin to be positively impacted.

The declines in cost of funds for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 were due to a decrease in the rates paid on our deposit accounts in response to declining market rates and the rollover of time deposits and other borrowings at lower rates within the current rate environment. Also contributing to the reduction was a shift in our deposit mix from higher costing time deposits to lower costing demand and savings accounts.

The following table shows an analysis of net interest margin for the three month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

					For the three m	onths en	ded June 30,					
			201	12			2011					
	Average Earned Balance or paid		Average Yield or cost	 	Average Balance	Interest Earned or paid		Average Yield or cost				
Aggata					(Dollars	in thous	ands)					
Assets Taxable securities	\$	75,987		384	2.0	02% \$	16,783	\$	85	2.02%		
Tax-exempt securities (1)	Ф	9,955		65		17% \$	16,783	Ф	83	5.59%		
Loans (2)		1,055,624		13,237		1770 18%	1,139,593		15,194	5.29%		
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		11,236		84		05%	1,139,393		74	2.47%		
Federal funds sold and other short-term		11,230		04	2.5	/3/0	11,704		/4	2.47/0		
investments		203,251		130	0.2	25%	207,351		137	0.26%		
	_					_		_				
Total interest earning assets (1)		1,356,053		13,900	4.0	08%	1,375,513		15,490	4.47%		
Noninterest earning assets:												
Cash and due from banks		22,140					22,569					
Other		127,024					115,425					
Cinci		127,021				_	113,123					
Total assets	\$	1,505,217				\$	1,513,507					
	÷	7-1-7				_ -	,, ,,,,,					
<u>Liabilities</u>												
Deposits:												
Interest bearing demand	\$	223,439		86	0.1	5% \$	184,989		108	0.23%		
Savings and money market accounts	•	419,097		505	0.4	18%	373,104		527	0.57%		
Time deposits		272,149		935		88%	380,743		1,781	1.88%		
Borrowings:		Í					,		,			
Other borrowed funds		132,326		672	2.0	01%	174,261		943	2.14%		
Long-term debt		41,238		380	3.6	55%	41,238		349	3.35%		
Total interest bearing liabilities		1,088,249		2,578		05%	1,154,335		3,708	1.28%		
č												
Noninterest bearing liabilities:												
Noninterest bearing demand accounts		308,152					278,417					
Other noninterest bearing liabilities		7,580					8,202					
Shareholders' equity		101,236					72,553					
							4 540 505					
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	1,505,217				\$	1,513,507					
Net interest income			ø.	11 222				e	11 792			
Net interest income			\$	11,322				\$	11,782			
Net interest spread (1)					2.1	3%				3.19%		
Net interest margin (1)						32%				3.39%		
Ratio of average interest earning assets to					5.3	, <u>~</u> / 0				5.59/0		
average interest bearing liabilities												
		124.61%	0				119.16%	o o				

⁽¹⁾ Yield adjusted to fully tax equivalent.

⁽²⁾ Includes non-accrual loans of approximately \$24.9 million and \$55.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

The following table shows an analysis of net interest margin for the six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

					For the six	months en	ded June 30,					
			20	012					2011			
		Average Balance		C		arned	Average Yield or cost	Yield Average		Interest Earned or paid		Average Yield or cost
Assets					(Bolk	ars in thou	surius)					
Taxable securities	\$	67,941		701	:	2.06% \$	14,222	\$	111	1.56%		
Tax-exempt securities (1)		8,075		108		4.61%	49		1	6.66%		
Loans (2)		1,062,338		26,763	:	5.01%	1,161,887		30,776	5.28%		
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		11,236		169		2.97%	11,847		150	2.52%		
Federal funds sold and other short-term												
investments		203,578		257		0.25%	218,399		305	0.25%		
Total interest earning assets (1)		1,353,168		27,998		4.12%	1,406,404		31,343	4.44%		
Noninterest earning assets:												
Cash and due from banks		21,751					22,221					
Other		126,697				_	110,875					
Tetal seeds	¢.	1.501.616				•	1.520.500					
Total assets	2	1,501,616				\$	1,539,500					
<u>Liabilities</u>												
Deposits:												
Interest bearing demand	\$	216,973		182	(0.17% \$	181,982		211	0.23%		
Savings and money market accounts		407,196		1,014	(0.50%	371,879		1,070	0.58%		
Time deposits		284,150		1,979		1.40%	407,490		4,047	2.00%		
Borrowings:												
Other borrowed funds		140,855		1,451		2.04%	180,267		1,942	2.14%		
Long-term debt		41,238		770		3.70%	41,238		693	3.35%		
Total interest bearing liabilities		1,090,412		5,396	-	0.99%	1,182,856		7,963	1.35%		
Noninterest bearing liabilities:												
Noninterest bearing demand accounts		305,742					278,706					
Other noninterest bearing liabilities		7,082					7,189					
Shareholders' equity		98,380				_	70,749					
Total list liking and should all and a miles	¢.	1.501.616				•	1.520.500					
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u> </u>	1,501,616				<u>\$</u>	1,539,500					
Net interest income			\$	22,602				\$	23,380			
Net interest spread (1)						3.13%				3.09%		
Net interest margin (1)						3.32%				3.31%		
Ratio of average interest earning assets to						J.J4/0				5.51/0		
average interest bearing liabilities		124.10%	6				118.90%	6				
		12 1.10 /	•				110.707	•				

⁽¹⁾ Yield adjusted to fully tax equivalent.

⁽²⁾ Includes non-accrual loans of approximately \$28.1 million and \$64.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Provision for Loan Losses: The provision for loan losses for the second quarter of 2012 was a negative \$1.75 million compared to a negative \$2.0 million for the second quarter of 2011. The negative provisions in both periods were the result of continued significant declines in the level of net charge-offs, reduction in the balances and required reserves on nonperforming loans, and stabilizing real estate values on problem credits. The provision for loan losses for the first half of 2012 was a negative \$5.4 million, compared to a negative \$3.45 million for the same period in 2011. The larger negative provision in the first half of 2012 was primarily associated with a \$4.4 million recovery on a previously charged-off loan in the first quarter of 2012.

Net charge-offs were \$521,000 for the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$2.9 million for the second quarter of 2011. The charge-offs for each period have largely been driven by declines in the value of real estate securing our loans. The pace of the decline in real estate value, however, has been slowing, translating into a decline in charge-offs. We are also experiencing positive results from our collection efforts with recoveries increasing as evidenced by the \$4.4 million recovery collected in the first quarter of 2012. For the second quarter of 2012, recoveries were \$378,000 compared to \$1.6 million for the same period in 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 we experienced net recoveries of \$889,000 compared to net charge-offs of \$6.5 million for the same period in 2011 due to the large recovery collected in the first quarter of 2012. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, recoveries totaled \$5.3 million, compared to \$2.1 million for the same period in 2011. While we expect our collection efforts to produce further recoveries, the amount achieved in the first quarter of 2012 was unusually high and may not recur at this level in future quarters.

We have also experienced a decline in the pace of commercial loans migrating to a worse loan grade, which receive higher allocations in our loan loss reserve, as more fully discussed under the heading "Allowance for Loan Losses" below. In addition to experiencing fewer downgrades of credits, we continue to see an increase in the quality of some credits resulting in an improved loan grade. Over the past six quarters, we have experienced improvements in our weighted average loan grade. We believe efforts that began in late 2009 and in early 2010 to improve loan administration and loan risk management practices have had a significant impact, ultimately allowing for the reduction in the level of the provision for loan losses in 2012.

The amounts of loan loss provision in all periods presented were the result of establishing our allowance for loan losses at levels believed necessary based upon our methodology for determining the adequacy of the allowance. The sustained lower level of quarterly net charge-offs over the past several quarters had a significant effect on the historical loss component of our methodology. More information about our allowance for loan losses and our methodology for establishing its level may be found under the heading "Allowance for Loan Losses" below.

Noninterest Income: Noninterest income for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 increased to \$4.0 million and \$7.7 million, respectively, from \$3.6 million and \$7.3 million, respectively, for the same periods in 2011. The components of noninterest income are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	\$	776	\$	969	\$	1,571	\$	1,917
Net gains on mortgage loans		780		262		1,251		697
Trust fees		598		620		1,207		1,270
ATM and debit card fees		1,064		1,027		2,045		1,946
Bank owned life insurance income		224		251		447		466
Investment services fees		160		254		389		487
Other income		398		233		801		512
Total noninterest income	\$	4,000	\$	3,616	\$	7,711	\$	7,295

Service charges on deposit accounts decreased for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 as a result of declines in overdraft fee income, consistent with banking industry-wide trends. We recognized increases in gains on sales of mortgage loans for the second quarter of 2012 and for the first half of 2012, due in part to increased focus on growth in our residential mortgage loan origination volume. The low interest rate environment has also contributed significantly to this increase in sales volume. Trust income is down slightly for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011 due primarily to a decline in trust asset balances and market conditions. Income from ATM and debit card fees was up for the most recent quarter and the first half of 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011 due to increased volume of activity during 2012. The increase in other income in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011 was primarily attributable to rental income on other real estate owned. Rental income from these properties increased \$123,000 and \$237,000, respectively, for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011.

Noninterest Expense: Noninterest expense decreased to \$13.9 million for the three month period and decreased to \$28.0 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from \$15.0 million and \$30.4 million, respectively, for the same periods in 2011. The components of noninterest expense are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
Salaries and benefits	\$	5,723	\$	5,600	11,443	10,947
Occupancy of premises		941		989	1,912	2,001
Furniture and equipment		858		829	1,685	1,646
Legal and professional		180		322	392	591
Marketing and promotion		210		224	420	448
Data processing		368		334	719	638
FDIC assessment		479		841	1,188	1,819
ATM and debit card processing		308		311	596	581
Bond and D&O insurance		215		378	483	757
Administration and disposition of problem assets		3,190		3,741	6,249	8,175
Outside services		381		405	759	826
Other noninterest expense		1,033		1,023	2,146	2,004
Total noninterest expense	\$	13,886	\$	14,997	\$ 27,992	\$ 30,433

Several components of noninterest expense experienced a decline due to our ongoing efforts to manage expenses and scale our operations in response to prolonged economic weakness. However, our largest component of noninterest expense, salaries and benefits, increased in the second quarter of 2012 by \$123,000 from the second quarter of 2011. We had 373 full-time equivalent employees at June 30, 2012 compared to 402 at June 30, 2011. The increased expense for the second quarter of 2012 was primarily attributable to increased commissions paid for mortgage origination activity, which was nearly two times greater in the second quarter of 2012 compared to the second quarter of 2011. Also, in March 2012, our board authorized a cost of living increase for the first time in several years, which resulted in an increase in compensation expense beginning in the second quarter of 2012. For the first six month period, salaries and benefits increased by \$496,000 from \$10.9 million in 2011 to \$11.4 million in 2012. The increase for the first half of 2012 compared to the first half of 2011 is also due to the cost of living adjustments made in the second quarter of 2012 and the increased mortgage commissions from higher loan origination volume.

The next largest noninterest expense was the cost related to administration and disposition of problem assets. Costs associated with administration and disposition of problem assets include legal costs, repossessed and foreclosed property administration expense and losses on repossessed and foreclosed property administration expense includes survey and appraisal, property maintenance and management and other disposition and carrying costs. Losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties include both net losses on the sale of properties and unrealized losses from value declines for outstanding properties.

These costs are itemized in the following table (in thousands):

	E Ju	e Months inded ine 30,	ree Months Ended June 30, 2011	 Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
Legal and professional – nonperforming assets	\$	266	\$ 543	\$ 707	\$ 1,368
Repossessed and foreclosed property administration		990	1,077	2,011	2,194
Losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties		1,934	2,121	3,531	4,613
Total	\$	3,190	\$ 3,741	\$ 6,249	\$ 8,175

Costs associated with administration and disposition of problem assets remained elevated, but has decreased in both the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the same periods in 2011. For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012, we recognized reductions from the same periods in 2011 in each category of these costs for both the three and six month periods as we have had fewer properties moving into the problem asset category and as property values have begun to stabilize. Losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties declined by \$1.1 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. As our level of problem loans and other real estate owned continues to decrease, we believe we will experience meaningful reductions in these costs.

FDIC assessments decreased by \$362,000 to \$479,000 for the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$841,000 for the second quarter of 2011 as a result of our reduced level of deposits, changes to the assessment base implemented by the FDIC and due to a change in our assessment category resulting from the termination of our Consent Order effective March 2, 2012. We estimate an annual FDIC assessment cost savings of \$1.2 million related to the Consent Order termination. Because the Consent Order was not terminated until March 2, 2012, we will not realize the full amount of estimated annual savings in 2012. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, our FDIC assessments were \$1.2 million, compared to \$1.8 million for the same period in 2011.

We realized a reduction in our bond and D&O insurance costs in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 as a result of our improving financial condition and the decreased risk perceived by our insurance carriers.

Federal Income Tax Expense/Benefit: We recorded no federal income tax expense for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. Since June 30, 2009, we have concluded that a full valuation allowance must be maintained for all of our net deferred tax assets based primarily on our net operating losses in 2008, 2009 and the first quarter of 2010 and the continued challenging environment confronting banks that could negatively impact future operating results. At June 30, 2012, the valuation allowance on our net deferred tax assets totaled \$21.6 million. Under certain conditions according to accounting standards, the valuation allowance may be reversed to income in future periods to the extent that the related deferred tax assets are realized or when we return to consistent, sustained profitability.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Summary: Due to the continuing soft economic conditions and having been under the Consent Order, in recent periods we had been focused on reducing our loan portfolio, including reducing exposure in higher loan concentration types, to improve our financial condition through increased liquidity, diversification of credit risk, improved capital ratios, and reduced reliance on non-core funding. With the successful capital raise in the second quarter of 2011, our improving financial condition and the termination of the Consent Order, we are beginning to focus on high quality, measured growth in our investment and loan portfolios.

Total assets were \$1.52 billion at June 30, 2012, an increase of \$12.7 million from \$1.51 billion at December 31, 2011. This change reflected increases of \$6.7 million in short-term investments and \$41.8 million in securities available for sale, partially offset by a decline of \$34.0 million in our loan portfolio. Total deposits increased \$20.2 million and other borrowed funds were paid down by \$21.1 million during the first half of 2012.

Federal Funds Sold and Other Short Term Investments: The \$6.7 million increase in federal funds sold and other short-term investments to \$218.7 million at June 30, 2012 resulted from increased levels of deposits and net repayments in our loan portfolio. We expect these balances to decrease in the second half of 2012 as we focus on loan growth and continue to rebuild our investment portfolio.

Securities Available for Sale: Securities available for sale were \$96.5 million at June 30, 2012 compared to \$54.7 million at December 31, 2011. We began rebuilding our investment portfolio during the second quarter of 2011. The balance at June 30, 2012 primarily consisted of U.S. agency securities, agency mortgage backed securities and various municipal investments. We expect to continue to reinvest excess liquidity and selectively rebuild our investment portfolio to continue our diversification of asset quality throughout the remainder of 2012.

Portfolio Loans and Asset Quality: Total portfolio loans declined by \$34.0 million to \$1.04 billion at June 30, 2012 compared to \$1.07 billion at December 31, 2011. During the first six months of 2012, our commercial and consumer loan portfolios (excluding residential mortgages) decreased by \$41.8 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, while our residential mortgage portfolio increased by \$14.1 million as a result of our initiative to increase this portfolio segment to further diversify our credit risk.

We also had a significant increase in the volume of residential mortgage loans originated for sale in the first six months of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Residential mortgage loans originated for sale more than doubled at \$59.4 million in the first half of 2012 compared to \$28.9 million for the same period in 2011. This increase was primarily due to market conditions and our focus on increasing our residential mortgage lending volume.

The decline in the commercial loan portfolio balances in recent quarters reflected the continuing weak economic conditions in West Michigan and our interest in improving the quality of our loan portfolio through reducing our exposure to these generally higher credit risk assets. We have focused our efforts on reducing our exposure to residential land development loans, diversifying our commercial loan portfolio and improving asset quality. As discussed earlier, we believe our loan portfolio has stabilized and we expect to begin measured high quality loan portfolio growth.

Commercial and commercial real estate loans still remained our largest loan segment and accounted for approximately 73% of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2012 and 74% at December 31, 2011. Residential mortgage and consumer loans comprised approximately 27% and 26% of total loans at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

A further breakdown of the composition of the commercial loan portfolio is shown in the table below (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012		Percent of Total Loans	December 31, 2011	Percent of Total Loans
Commercial real estate:(1)					
Residential developed	\$	28,464	2.7%	\$ 33,829	3.2%
Unsecured to residential developers		589	0.1	5,937	0.5
Vacant and unimproved		60,115	5.8	66,046	6.2
Commercial development		4,772	0.5	4,586	0.4
Residential improved		79,169	7.6	82,337	7.7
Commercial improved		283,293	27.3	304,070	28.4
Manufacturing and industrial		75,531	7.3	71,462	6.7
Total commercial real estate loans		531,933	51.3	568,267	53.1
Commercial and industrial		221,628	21.4	227,051	21.2
Total commercial loans	\$	753,561	72.7%	\$ 795,318	74.3%

1) Includes both owner occupied and non-owner occupied commercial real estate.

Commercial real estate accounted for approximately 51% of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2012 and consisted primarily of loans to business owners and developers of owner and non-owner occupied commercial properties and loans to developers of single and multi-family residential properties. In the table above, we show our commercial real estate portfolio by loans secured by residential and commercial real estate, and by stage of development. Improved loans are generally secured by properties that are under construction or completed and placed in use. Development loans are secured by properties that are in the process of development or fully developed. Vacant land loans are secured by raw land for which development has not yet begun and agricultural land.

Total commercial real estate loans declined \$36.3 million since December 31, 2011 as we continued to focus on reducing our real estate loan concentrations and balances. Commercial loans secured by residential real estate, the portfolio that had created the majority of stress within our loan portfolio, declined \$13.9 million. The balance of loans secured by nonresidential real estate declined \$22.4 million since December 31, 2011.

The following table shows our loan origination activity for portfolio loans during the first six months of 2012, broken out by loan type and also shows average originated loan size (dollars in thousands):

		ortfolio		
		ginations		
		Months		
		Ended	Percent of	Average
	J	une 30,	Total	Loan
		2012	Originations	Size
Commercial real estate:				
Residential developed	\$	510	0.2%	\$ 170
Unsecured to residential developers				
Vacant and unimproved		7,791	3.5	519
Commercial development				
Residential improved		23,964	10.9	212
Commercial improved		15,667	7.1	412
Manufacturing and industrial		11,974	5.4	599
Total commercial real estate		59,906	27.1	317
Commercial and industrial		118,779	53.7	35
Total commercial		178,685	80.8	50
Consumer:				
Residential mortgage		31,349	14.2	158
Unsecured		184	0.1	18
Home equity		8,961	4.0	65
Other secured		1,974	0.9	13
Total consumer		42,468	19.2	84
Total portfolio loan originations	\$	221,153	100 %	54

Our loan portfolio is reviewed regularly by our senior management, our loan officers, and an internal loan review team that is independent of our loan originators and credit administration. An administrative loan committee consisting of senior management and seasoned lending and collections personnel meets monthly to manage our internal watch list and proactively manage high risk loans.

When reasonable doubt exists concerning collectability of interest or principal of one of our loans, that loan is placed in non-accrual status. Any interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed and charged against current earnings.

Nonperforming assets are comprised of nonperforming loans, foreclosed assets and repossessed assets. At June 30, 2012, nonperforming assets totaled \$80.9 million compared to \$95.4 million at December 31, 2011. Significant progress has been made to accelerate workout strategies with problem assets, leading to several properties moving to other real estate owned. Additions to other real estate owned in the first six months of 2012 were \$7.7 million compared to \$23.4 million of additions in the first six months of 2011. Based on the loans currently in their redemption period, we expect reduced levels of loans moving into other real estate owned in the remaining quarters of 2012. Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties were \$8.6 million in the first half of 2012 resulting in a net gain of \$20,000. The volume of sales in the first half of 2011 generated proceeds of \$11.3 million and a net gain of \$745,000.

Nonperforming loans include loans on non-accrual status and loans delinquent more than 90 days but still accruing. As of June 30, 2012, nonperforming loans totaled \$18.9 million, or 1.82% of total portfolio loans, compared to \$28.9 million, or 2.70% of total portfolio loans, at December 31, 2011.

Loans for development or sale of 1-4 family residential properties comprised a large portion of nonperforming loans. They were approximately \$5.8 million, or 30.7% of total nonperforming loans, at June 30, 2012 compared to \$8.5 million, or 29.4% of total nonperforming loans, at December 31, 2011. The remaining balance of nonperforming loans at June 30, 2012 consisted of \$5.3 million of commercial real estate loans secured by various types of non-residential real estate, \$6.2 million of commercial and industrial loans, and \$1.6 million of consumer and residential mortgage loans.

Foreclosed and repossessed assets include assets acquired in settlement of loans. Foreclosed assets totaled \$62.0 million at June 30, 2012 compared to \$66.4 million at December 31, 2011. Of this balance, there were 134 commercial real estate properties totaling approximately \$56.7 million. The remaining balance was comprised of 65 residential properties totaling approximately \$5.3 million. All properties acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure are initially transferred at their fair value less estimated costs to sell and then evaluated for impairment after transfer using a lower of cost or market approach. Updated property valuations are obtained at least annually on all foreclosed assets to ensure proper carrying values are maintained.

At June 30, 2012, our foreclosed asset portfolio had a weighted average age held in portfolio of 1.6 years. Below is a breakout of our foreclosed asset portfolio at June 30, 2012 by property type and the percentages by which the property has been written down since taken into our possession and the combined writedown percentage, including losses taken when the property was loan collateral (dollars in thousands):

	Foreclosed Asset Property type	_	Carrying Value at June 30, 2012	Foreclosed Asset Writedown	Combined Writedown (Loan and Foreclosed Asset)
Single Family		\$	4,013	10.0%	45.7%
Residential Lot			1,326	34.9%	60.4%
Multi-Family			462	13.8%	40.1%
Vacant Land			7,788	29.1%	49.1%
Residential Development			18,553	30.9%	62.4%
Commercial Office			6,002	21.0%	51.6%
Commercial Industrial			1,817	14.5%	33.1%
Commercial Improved			22,085	15.6%	31.4%
		\$	62,046	23.2%	49.7%

The following table shows the composition and amount of our nonperforming assets (dollars in thousands):

	June 30,	De	ecember 31,
	2012		2011
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 18,852	\$	26,876
Loans 90 days past due and still accruing	27		2,070
Total nonperforming loans (NPLs)	18,879		28,946
Foreclosed assets	62,046		66,438
Repossessed assets			<u></u>
Total nonperforming assets (NPAs)	80,925		95,384
Accruing restructured loans (ARLs) (1)	61,197		55,679
Total NPAs and ARLs	\$ 142,122	\$	151,063
NPLs to total loans	1.82%	Ď	2.70%
NPAs to total assets	5.33%	o O	6.33%

(1) Comprised of approximately \$45.4 million and \$40.9 million of commercial loans and \$15.8 million and \$14.8 million of consumer loans whose terms have been restructured at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Interest is being accrued on these loans under their restructured terms as they are less than 90 days past due.

Allowance for loan losses: The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2012 was \$27.2 million, a decrease of \$4.5 million, compared to \$31.6 million at December 31, 2011. The balance of the allowance for loan losses represented 2.62% of total portfolio loans compared to 2.95% of total portfolio loans at December 31, 2011. While this ratio decreased, the allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loan coverage ratio continued to increase, from 109.31% at December 31, 2011 to 143.97% at June 30, 2012.

The continued reduction in net charge-offs over the past several quarters had a significant effect on the historical loss component of our allowance for loan loss computation as did the improvements in our credit quality metrics. The table below shows the changes in these metrics over the past five quarters:

(in millions)	Qı	June 30, 2012	Quarter Ended March 31, 2012	•	ecember 31, 2011	•	eptember 30, 2011	Q	uarter Ended June 30, 2011
Commercial loans	\$	753.6	\$ 773.1	\$	795.3	\$	819.6	\$	836.6
Nonperforming loans		18.9	23.5		28.9		35.0		40.4
Other real estate owned and repo assets		62.0	66.2		66.4		66.5		65.4
Total nonperforming assets		80.9	89.7		95.4		101.5		105.9
Net charge-offs (recoveries)		0.5	(1.4)		3.2		1.4		2.9
Total delinquencies		6.9	8.9		13.1		20.7		30.4

Nonperforming loans have continually declined since the first quarter of 2010 to \$18.9 million at June 30, 2012, which was our lowest level of nonperforming loans since the second quarter of 2007. As discussed earlier, we had net charge-offs of \$521,000 for the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011 when we incurred \$2.9 million in net charge-offs. Our total delinquencies have continued to decline, from \$30.4 million at June 30, 2011 to just \$6.9 million at June 30, 2012.

As discussed earlier, the sustained reduced level of quarterly net charge-offs has had a significant effect on our 18 month historical loss ratios, which are the base for our allowance for loan loss computation. The change in the 18 month historical loss ratios from December 31, 2011 to June 30, 2012 reduced the historical loss allocations in our allowance computation by \$1.0 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 and by \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

These factors all provide for a reduction in our provision for loan losses. The provision for loan losses increased \$250,000 to a negative \$1.75 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to a negative \$2.0 million for the same period of 2011. For the first six month periods, the provision decreased \$1.9 million from a negative \$3.45 million in 2011 to a negative \$5.35 million in 2012. Net charge-offs were \$521,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$2.9 million for the same period in 2011. For the first half of 2012, we had net recoveries of \$889,000 compared to net charge-offs of \$6.5 million for the same period in 2011. The ratio of net charge-offs to average loans was 0.20% on an annualized basis for the second quarter of 2012, compared to 1.19% for the fourth quarter of 2011 and 1.01% for the second quarter of 2011.

We are encouraged by the continued reduced level of charge-offs over recent quarters. We do, however, recognize that future chargeoffs and resulting provisions for loan losses are expected to be impacted by the timing and extent of changes in the overall economy and the real estate markets. We believe we have seen some stabilization in the pace of decline in economic conditions and real estate markets. However, we expect it to take additional time for sustained improvement in the economy and real estate markets in order for us to reduce our non-performing and impaired loans to acceptable levels.

Our allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level believed appropriate based upon our monthly assessment of the probable estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Our methodology for measuring the appropriate level of allowance and related provision for loan losses relies on several key elements, which include specific allowances for loans considered impaired, general allowance for commercial loans not considered impaired based upon applying our loan rating system, and general allocations based on historical trends for homogeneous loan groups with similar risk characteristics.

Overall, impaired loans decreased to \$80.9 million at June 30, 2012, from \$84.6 million at December 31, 2011. The specific allowance for impaired loans increased \$870,000 to \$10.5 million, or 12.9% of total impaired loans, at June 30, 2012 compared to \$9.6 million, or 11.3% of total impaired loans, at December 31, 2011. The decrease in impaired loans was primarily attributable to loans migrating to other real estate owned, loan payoffs and upgrades more than offsetting loans moving into an impaired status. Charge-offs totaling \$2.3 million had previously been taken on these impaired loans, bringing the balance to \$80.9 million as of June 30, 2012. Combined with the \$10.5 million of specific reserves at June 30, 2012, these loans have been written down 15.8%.

The general allowance allocated to commercial loans that were not considered to be impaired was based upon the internal risk grade of such loans. We use a loan rating method based upon an eight point system. Loans are stratified between real estate secured and non real estate secured. The real estate secured portfolio is further stratified by the type of real estate. Each stratified portfolio is assigned a loss allocation factor. A higher numerical grade assigned to a loan category generally results in a greater allocation percentage. Changes in risk grade of loans affect the amount of the allowance allocation.

The determination of our loss factors is based upon our actual loss history by loan grade and adjusted for significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the analysis date. We use a rolling 18 month actual net chargeoff history as the base for our computation. The 18 month period ended June 30, 2012 reflected a sizeable decrease in net chargeoff experience. We addressed this volatility in the qualitative factor considerations applied in our allowance computation. Adjustments to the qualitative factors also involved consideration of different loss periods for the Bank, including 12 and 24 month periods. Considering the change in our qualitative factors and the decrease in our commercial loan portfolio balances, the general commercial loan allowance decreased to \$13.9 million at June 30, 2012 compared to \$18.9 million at December 31, 2011. This resulted in a general reserve percentage allocated at June 30, 2012 of 2.02% of commercial loans, a decrease from 2.61% at December 31, 2011. The qualitative component of our allowance allocated to commercial loans decreased from \$14.3 million at December 31, 2011 to \$11.7 million at June 30, 2012.

Groups of homogeneous loans, such as residential real estate and open- and closed-end consumer loans, receive allowance allocations based on loan type. As with commercial loans that are not considered impaired, the determination of the allowance allocation percentage is based principally on our historical loss experience. These allocations are adjusted for consideration of general economic and business conditions, credit quality and delinquency trends, collateral values, and recent loss experience for these similar pools of loans. The homogeneous loan allowance was \$2.7 million at June 30, 2012 compared to \$3.1 million at December 31, 2011. The decrease was related to significant improvements in delinquencies in both residential mortgage and consumer loan portfolios.

The allowance allocations are not intended to imply limitations on usage of the allowance. The entire allowance is available for any loan losses without regard to loan type.

Deposits and Other Borrowings: Total deposits increased \$20.2 million to \$1.24 billion at June 30, 2012 compared to \$1.22 billion at December 31, 2011. During the first six months of 2012, we had increases in lower cost product types including a \$6.4 million increase in noninterest checking, a \$25.0 million increase in interest bearing checking, and a \$34.3 million increase in savings and money market deposits. Partially offsetting this was a \$45.4 million decrease in certificates of deposit which is our most rate sensitive category of deposits. Much of this decline in certificates of deposit was intentional and encouraged through our rate setting process in response to our high on-balance sheet liquidity.

The overall stability of in-market deposits is particularly noteworthy considering the financial challenges we have experienced, the lack of economic expansion in western Michigan and the competition for core deposit growth in our markets. We believe the stability in balances of personal and business checking and savings accounts was primarily attributable to our focus on quality customer service, the desire of customers to deal with a local bank, the convenience of our maturing branch network and the breadth and depth of our product line. A provision of the Dodd-Frank Act went into effect on July 21, 2011 eliminating the prohibition of payment of interest on commercial checking accounts. This change may impact the shift of noninterest bearing checking accounts in future periods.

Other borrowed funds, consisting of Federal Home Loan Bank advances, decreased \$21.1 million during the first six months of 2012 as a result of scheduled maturities.

Accrued expenses and other liabilities: Accrued expenses and other liabilities increased \$5.6 million to \$12.0 million at June 30, 2012 compared to \$6.5 million at December 31, 2011. The primary reason for the increase was a liability of \$4.7 million created at June 30, 2012 to account for securities purchased at June 30, 2012 that settled in early July 2012.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Total shareholders' equity of \$102.4 million at June 30, 2012 increased \$8.0 million from \$94.4 million at December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily from net income of \$7.7 million earned in the first six months of 2012.

Our regulatory capital ratios improved again in the second quarter of 2012 and the Bank was categorized as "well capitalized" at June 30, 2012. The following table shows our regulatory capital ratios (on a consolidated basis) for the past several quarters:

	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012	Dec 31, 2011	Sept 30, 2011	June 30, 2011	March 31, 2011	Dec 31, 2010
Total capital to risk weighted assets	14.2%	13.7%	13.2%	12.9%	12.7%	10.3%	9.7%
Tier 1 capital to average assets	9.0%	8.8%	8.3%	8.1%	8.1%	5.8%	5.8%

Approximately \$33.8 million of the \$40.0 million of trust preferred securities outstanding at June 30, 2012 qualified as Tier 1 capital. The remaining \$6.2 million qualified as Tier II capital, a component of total risk-based capital. The ratios have increased each quarter since March 31, 2010 due to declines in risk weighted assets, positive earnings for each quarter and the stock offering completed in the second quarter of 2011.

We continued to suspend payments of cash dividends on our preferred stock during the quarter and until further action by the Board of Directors. During any period that we do not declare and pay cash dividends on our preferred stock, we may not declare and pay cash dividends on our common stock. During the quarter, we also continued to exercise our right to defer interest payments on our trust preferred securities for 20 consecutive quarters or until such earlier time as is determined by further action of the Board of Directors. During any deferral period, we may not declare or pay any dividends on our common stock or preferred stock or make any payment on any outstanding debt obligations that rank equally with or junior to the trust preferred securities.

LIQUIDITY

Liquidity of Macatawa Bank: The liquidity of a financial institution reflects its ability to manage a variety of sources and uses of funds. Our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows categorize these sources and uses into operating, investing and financing activities. We primarily focus on developing access to a variety of borrowing sources to supplement our deposit gathering activities and provide funds for our investment and loan portfolios. Our sources of liquidity include our borrowing capacity with the FRB's discount window, the Federal Home Loan Bank, federal funds purchased lines of credit and other secured borrowing sources with our correspondent banks, loan payments by our borrowers, maturity and sales of our securities available for sale, growth of our deposits and deposit equivalents, federal funds sold, and the various capital resources discussed above.

Liquidity management involves the ability to meet the cash flow requirements of our customers. Our customers may be either borrowers with credit needs or depositors wanting to withdraw funds. Our liquidity management involves periodic monitoring of our assets considered to be liquid and illiquid, and our funding sources considered to be core and non-core and short-term (less than 12 months) and long-term. We have established parameters that monitor, among other items, our level of liquid assets to short-term liabilities, our level of non-core funding reliance and our level of available borrowing capacity. We maintain a diversified wholesale funding structure and actively manage our maturing wholesale sources to reduce the risk to liquidity shortages. We have also developed a contingency funding plan to stress test our liquidity requirements arising from certain events that may trigger liquidity shortages, such as rapid loan growth in excess of normal growth levels or the loss of deposits and other funding sources under extreme circumstances

The Bank made significant progress during 2010 and 2011 to intentionally reduce its reliance on non-core funding sources, including brokered deposits, and remains focused on maintaining a non-core funding dependency ratio below its peer group average. During 2010, we reduced our brokered deposits by \$158.4 million and other borrowed funds by \$92.7 million. During 2011, we paid off \$48.2 million in brokered deposits and had no such deposits outstanding at December 31, 2011 or at June 30, 2012. Since December 31, 2008, we reduced our brokered deposits by \$337.8 million. We also reduced other borrowed funds by \$36.7 million in 2011 and an additional \$21.1 million in the first six months of 2012.

The Bank also held \$218.7 million of short-term investments and had available borrowing capacity from correspondent banks of approximately \$149.3 million as of June 30, 2012 to provide additional liquidity as needed.

Liquidity of Holding Company: The primary sources of liquidity for the Company are dividends from the Bank, existing cash resources and the various capital resources discussed above. Banking regulations and the laws of the State of Michigan in which our Bank is chartered limit the amount of dividends the Bank may declare to the Company in any calendar year. Under Michigan law limitations, the Bank is restricted from paying dividends to the Company until its deficit retained earnings has been restored. At June 30, 2012, the retained deficit of the Bank was approximately \$22.9 million, down from its peak of \$41.8 million at March 31, 2010. Throughout 2009, 2010, 2011 and the first six months of 2012, the Company has not received dividends from the Bank and we have not paid any dividends to our common shareholders. Under the MOU and the Written Agreement, the Bank and the Company may not pay any dividends without prior regulatory approval.

The Company continued to suspend payments of cash dividends on its preferred stock during 2010, 2011 and the first six months of 2012 until further action is taken by the Board of Directors. During the period that the Company does not declare and pay cash dividends on its preferred stock, it may not declare and pay cash dividends on its common stock.

During 2010, 2011 and the first six months of 2012, the Company also continued to exercise its right to defer interest payments on its trust preferred securities for 20 consecutive quarters or until such earlier time as is determined by further action of the Board of Directors. During the deferral period, the Company may not declare or pay any dividends on its common stock or preferred stock or make any payment on any outstanding debt obligations that rank equally with or junior to the trust preferred securities.

In June 2011, the Company closed its shareholder rights and public offerings and conversion of our 2% Subordinated Note due 2018, resulting in the issuance of 9,404,202 shares of common stock and net proceeds of \$20.3 million. The Company contributed \$10.0 million of the proceeds to the Bank in 2011 and retained the remaining \$10.3 million at the holding company level. The Company's cash balance at June 30, 2012 was \$10.1 million. The Company believes it has sufficient liquidity to meet its cash flow requirements for the remainder of 2012.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES:

To prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, other real estate owned valuation, loss contingencies and income taxes are deemed critical due to the required level of management judgment and the use of estimates, making them particularly subject to change.

Our methodology for determining the allowance for loan losses and the related provision for loan losses is described above in the "Allowance for Loan Losses" discussion. This area of accounting requires significant judgment due to the number of factors which can influence the collectability of a loan. Unanticipated changes in these factors could significantly change the level of the allowance for loan losses and the related provision for loan losses. Although, based upon our internal analysis, and in our judgment, we believe that we have provided an adequate allowance for loan losses, there can be no assurance that our analysis has properly identified all of the probable losses in our loan portfolio. As a result, we could record future provisions for loan losses that may be significantly different than the levels that we recorded in the periods presented in the consolidated financial statements that are a part of this report.

Assets acquired through or instead of foreclosure, primarily other real estate owned, are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. New real estate appraisals are generally obtained at the time of foreclosure and are used to establish fair value. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Estimating the initial and ongoing fair value of these properties involves a number of factors and judgments including holding time, costs to complete, holding costs, discount rate, absorption and other factors.

Loss contingencies are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. This, too, is an accounting area that involves significant judgment. Although, based upon our judgment, internal analysis, and consultations with legal counsel we believe that we have properly accounted for loss contingencies, future changes in the status of such contingencies could result in a significant change in the level of contingent liabilities and a related impact to operating earnings.

Our accounting for income taxes involves the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities primarily associated with differences in the timing of the recognition of revenues and expenses for financial reporting and tax purposes. At June 30, 2012, we had gross deferred tax assets of \$24.4 million, gross deferred tax liabilities of \$2.8 million and a valuation allowance of \$21.6 million for the entire amount of net deferred tax assets. Accounting standards require that companies assess whether a valuation allowance should be established against their deferred tax assets based on the consideration of all available evidence using a "more likely than not" standard. Based upon a number of factors, including our net operating losses in recent years and the challenging environment currently confronting banks that could negatively impact future operating results, we concluded that we needed to continue to maintain a valuation allowance during the second quarter of 2012 for our net deferred tax assets. Changes in tax laws, changes in tax rates, changes in ownership and our future level of earnings can impact the ultimate realization of our net deferred tax asset as well as the valuation allowance that we established.

Item 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e), as of June 30, 2012, the end of the period covered by this report.

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, as the Company's are designed to do, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating whether the benefits of the controls and procedures that the Company adopts outweigh their costs.

Our CEO and CFO, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report, have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms.

(b) <u>Changes in Internal Controls.</u> During the period covered by this report, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 6. Exhibits.

3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation. Previously filed with the Commission on April 28, 2011 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, Exhibit 3.1. Here incorporated by reference.
3.2	Bylaws. Previously filed with the Commission on November 24, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 3.1. Here incorporated by reference.
3.3	Certificate of Designation of Series A Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. Previously filed with the Commission on November 5, 2008 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
3.4	Certificate of Designation of Series B Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. Previously filed with the Commission on July 2, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation. Exhibit 3.1 is here incorporated by reference.
4.2	Bylaws. Exhibit 3.2 is here incorporated by reference.
4.3	Certificate of Designation of Series A Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. Exhibit 3.3 is here incorporated by reference.
4.4	Certificate of Designation of Series B Noncumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock. Exhibit 3.4 is here incorporated by reference.
4.5	First Amended Settlement and Release and Warrant Issuance Agreement dated January 30, 2009. Previously filed with the Commission on January 30, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 10.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.6	Second Amendment to Settlement and Release and Warrant Issuance Agreement dated April 30, 2009. Previously filed with the Commission on May 8, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, Exhibit 10. Here incorporated by reference.
4.7	Warrant Agreement between the Company and Registrar and Transfer Company dated June 16, 2009. Previously filed with the Commission on June 19, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.8	Warrant Agreement Addendum between the Company and Registrar and Transfer Company dated July 27, 2009. Previously filed with the Commission on July 31, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.9	Form of Warrant Certificate (first series). Previously filed with the Commission on June 19, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.2. Here incorporated by reference.
4.10	Form of Warrant Certificate (second series). Previously filed with the Commission on July 31, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.2. Here incorporated by reference.

4.11	Form of 11% Subordinated Note Due 2017. Previously filed with the Commission on July 2, 2009 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.2. Here incorporated by reference.
4.12	Form of Subscription Rights Certificate. Previously filed with the Commission on May 11, 2011 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Amendment No. 2 to Form S-1 registration statement, Exhibit 4.13. Here incorporated by reference.
4.13	Form of 2% Subordinated Note Due 2018. Previously filed with the Commission on April 22, 2011 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K, Exhibit 4.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.14	Long-Term Debt. The registrant has outstanding long-term debt which at the time of this report does not exceed 10% of the registrant's total consolidated assets. The registrant agrees to furnish copies of the agreements defining the rights of holders of such long-term debt to the SEC upon request.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
<u>32.1</u>	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION

/s/ Ronald L. Haan
Ronald L. Haan
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Jon W. Swets
Jon W. Swets
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: July 26, 2012

EXHIBIT 31.1

I, Ronald L. Haan, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 of Macatawa Bank Corporation;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: July 26, 2012

/s/ Ronald L. Haan Ronald L. Haan Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

EXHIBIT 31.2

I, Jon W. Swets, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 of Macatawa Bank Corporation;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: July 26, 2012

/s/ Jon W. Swets

Jon W. Swets

Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT 32.1

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, each of the undersigned hereby certifies in his capacity as an officer of Macatawa Bank Corporation (the "Company") that the Quarterly Report of the Company on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition of the Company at the end of such period and the results of operations of the Company for such period.

/s/ Ronald L. Haan
Ronald L. Haan
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ Jon W. Swets

Jon W. Swets Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: July 26, 2012