UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

oxtimes QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

	For the qua	rterly period ended Mar	rch 31, 2016	
		OR		
☐ TRANSITION	REPORT PURSUANT TO SE	CTION 13 OR 15(d) Ol	F THE SECURITIE	S EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the transi	tion period from	to	
	Comm	ission file number: 000	- <u>25927</u>	
MA	CATAWA I	BANK C	ORPOR	RATION
	(Exact name of	of registrant as specified	l in its charter)	
Michi (State or other jurisdiction of it			(I.I)	38-3391345 R.S. Employer Identification No.)
		wa Drive, Holland, M rincipal executive office		
	Registrant's telephone	number, including area	code: (616) 820-14	144
	-		_	
Indicate by checkmark whether the registran months (or for such shorter period that the re Yes \boxtimes No \square				Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 filing requirements for the past 90 days.
				every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and shorter period that the registrant was required to submit
Indicate by check mark whether the registr "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" a				or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of k one):
Large accelerated filer □	Accelerated filer ⊠	Non-acceler (Do not chec reporting co	ck if smaller	Smaller reporting company \square
Indicate by check mark whether the registrar	nt is a shell company (as defined	in Rule 12b-2 of the E	xchange Act). Yes□] No ⊠
The number of shares outstanding of each o	f the issuer's classes of common	stock, as of the latest p	racticable date: 33.	925,113 shares of the Company's Common Stock (no par

The number of shares value) were outstanding as of April 28, 2016.

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on management's beliefs, assumptions, current expectations, estimates and projections about the financial services industry, the economy, and Macatawa Bank Corporation. Forward-looking statements are identifiable by words or phrases such as "outlook", "plan" or "strategy"; that an event or trend "may", "should", "will", "is likely", or is "probable" to occur or "continue", has "begun" or "is scheduled" or "on track" or that the Company or its management "anticipates", "believes", "estimates", "plans", "forecasts", "intends", "predicts", "projects", or "expects" a particular result, or is "committed", "confident", "optimistic" or has an "opinion" that an event will occur, or other words or phrases such as "ongoing", "future", "signs", "efforts", "tend", "exploring", "appearing", "until", "near term", "going forward", "focus", "starting", "initiative," "trend" and variations of such words and similar expressions. Such statements are based upon current beliefs and expectations and involve substantial risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These statements include, among others, future levels of earning assets, statements related to stabilization of our loan portfolio, trends in credit quality metrics, future capital levels and capital needs, including the impact of Basel III, real estate valuation, future levels of repossessed and foreclosed properties and nonperforming assets, future levels of losses and costs associated with the administration and disposition of repossessed and foreclosed properties and nonperforming assets, future levels of loan charge-offs, future levels of other real estate owned, future levels of provisions for loan losses and reserve recoveries, the rate of asset dispositions, future dividends, future growth and funding sources, future cost of funds, future liquidity levels, future profitability levels, future FDIC assessment levels, future net interest margin levels, building and improving our investment portfolio, diversifying our credit risk, the effects on earnings of changes in interest rates, future economic conditions, future effects of new or changed accounting standards, future loss recoveries, future balances of short-term investments, future loan demand and loan growth, future levels of mortgage banking revenue and the future level of other revenue sources. Management's determination of the provision and allowance for loan losses, the appropriate carrying value of intangible assets (including deferred tax assets) and other real estate owned, and the fair value of investment securities (including whether any impairment on any investment security is temporary or otherthan-temporary and the amount of any impairment) involves judgments that are inherently forward-looking. All statements with references to future time periods are forwardlooking. All of the information concerning interest rate sensitivity is forward-looking. Our ability to sell other real estate owned at its carrying value or at all, successfully implement new programs and initiatives, increase efficiencies, maintain our current levels of deposits and other sources of funding, maintain liquidity, respond to declines in collateral values and credit quality, increase loan volume, originate high quality loans, maintain or improve mortgage banking income, realize the benefit of our deferred tax assets, continue payment of dividends and improve profitability is not entirely within our control and is not assured. The future effect of changes in the real estate, financial and credit markets and the national and regional economy on the banking industry, generally, and Macatawa Bank Corporation, specifically, are also inherently uncertain. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions ("risk factors") that are difficult to predict with regard to timing, extent, likelihood and degree of occurrence. Therefore, actual results and outcomes may materially differ from what may be expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. Macatawa Bank Corporation does not undertake to update forward-looking statements to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that may arise after the date of the forward-looking statements.

Risk factors include, but are not limited to, the risk factors described in "Item 1A - Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. These and other factors are representative of the risk factors that may emerge and could cause a difference between an ultimate actual outcome and a preceding forward-looking statement.

INDEX

		Page Number
Part I.	Financial Information:	
	Item 1.	
	Consolidated Financial Statements	4
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	10
	Item 2.	
	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	37
	Item 3.	
	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	50
	Item 4.	
	Controls and Procedures	51
Part II.	Other Information:	
	Item 6.	
	<u>Exhibits</u>	52
a.		52
<u>Signatures</u>		53

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
As of March 31, 2016 (unaudited) and December 31, 2015 (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

ASSETS		March 31, 2016	De	cember 31, 2015
Cash and due from banks	S	22,499	\$	29,104
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments	Ф	72,104	Ф	152,372
Cash and cash equivalents	_	94.603	_	181,476
Interest-bearing time deposits in other financial institutions		94,603		20.000
Securities available for sale, at fair value		168.041		166,815
Securities held to maturity (fair value 2016 - \$52,485 and 2015 - \$52,837)		51,303		51.856
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock		11,558		11,558
Loans held for sale, at fair value		2,259		2,776
Total loans		1,216,184		1,197,932
Allowance for loan losses		(17,129)		(17,081)
Net loans		1.199.055	_	1.180.851
Premises and equipment – net		50,944		51,456
Accrued interest receivable		3,929		3,622
Bank-owned life insurance		28,784		28,858
Other real estate owned - net		16.162		17,572
Net deferred tax asset		8,546		8,819
Other assets		4,801		3,984
Total assets	S	1,639,985	\$	1,729,643
Total assets	.	1,039,983	Ф	1,729,043
LIADIU ETIES AND SUA DENOI DEDS FOLLEY				
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Deposits	Φ.	424.256	en.	477.022
Noninterest-bearing	\$	424,356	\$	477,032
Interest-bearing		916,478		958,480
Total deposits		1,340,834		1,435,512
Other borrowed funds		94,840		96,169
Long-term debt		41,238		41,238
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		7,832		4,747
Total liabilities		1,484,744		1,577,666
Commitments and contingent liabilities				
Shareholders' equity				
Common stock, no par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized; 33,925,113 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015		216,687		216,540
Retained deficit		(62,427)		(64,910)
Accumulated other comprehensive income		981		347
Total shareholders' equity		155,241		151,977
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	S	1,639,985	\$	1,729,643
Total International State Stat	Ψ	1,000,000	Ψ	2,727,013

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Three Month Periods Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015

(unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Interest in come	Three Months Ended March 31, 2016	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015
Interest income	\$ 11.756	\$ 10.963
Loans, including fees Securities	\$ 11,756	\$ 10,963
Taxable	550	501
Tax-exempt	433	344
FHLB Stock	124	117
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments	124	86
Total interest income	13,008	12,011
Interest expense	163	(10
Deposits Other beautiful and the second of t	463	619
Other borrowings	442	415
Subordinated and long-term debt	365	325
Total interest expense	1,270	1,359
Net interest income	11,738	10,652
Provision for loan losses	(100)	(1,000)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	11,838	11,652
Noninterest income		
Service charges and fees	1,047	1,001
Net gains on mortgage loans	487	723
Trust fees	708	734
ATM and debit card fees	1,186	1,110
Gain on sales of securities	89	83
Bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") income	444	162
Other	647	482
Total noninterest income	4,608	4,295
Noninterest expense		
Salaries and benefits	6,187	6,182
Occupancy of premises	982	972
Furniture and equipment	865	783
Legal and professional	159	239
Marketing and promotion	275	305
Data processing	659	577
FDIC assessment	251	281
Interchange and other card expense	286	276
Bond and D&O Insurance	132	145
Net losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties	36	451
Administration and disposition of problem assets	375	376
Other	1,344	1,275
Total noninterest expenses	11,551	11,862
Income before income tax	4,895	4,085
Income tax expense	1,400	1,245
Net income	\$ 3,495	\$ 2,840
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.08
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.08
Cash dividends per common share	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.02
Cash arrasina per common suare	φ 0.03	Ψ 0.02

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME Three Month Periods Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015

(unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Month Ended March 31, 2016	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015
Net income	\$ 3,4	495 \$ 2,840
Other comprehensive income:		
Unrealized gains:		
Net change in unrealized gains on securities available for sale	1,6	064 1,281
Tax effect		372) (449)
Net change in unrealized gains on securities available for sale, net of tax		692 832
Less: reclassification adjustments:		
Reclassification for gains included in net income		89 83
Tax effect		(31) (29)
Reclassification for gains included in net income, net of tax		58 54
Other comprehensive income, net of tax		534 778
Comprehensive income	<u>\$ 4,</u> :	<u>\$ 3,618</u>

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Three Month Periods Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015

(unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	 Common Stock	Retained Deficit		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	S	Total hareholders' Equity
Balance, January 1, 2015	\$ 216,460	\$ (74,002)	\$	61	\$	142,519
Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2015		2,840				2,840
Cash dividends at \$.02 per share		(677)				(677)
Net change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of tax				778		778
Stock compensation expense	 121	 				121
Balance, March 31, 2015	\$ 216,581	\$ (71,839)	\$	839	\$	145,581
			_			
Balance, January 1, 2016	\$ 216,540	\$ (64,910)	\$	347	\$	151,977
Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2016		3,495				3,495
Cash dividends at \$.03 per share		(1,012)				(1,012)
Net change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of tax				634		634
Stock compensation expense	147					147
Balance, March 31, 2016	\$ 216,687	\$ (62,427)	\$	981	\$	155,241

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Three Month Periods Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2016	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 3,495	\$ 2,840
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:	650	60.4
Depreciation and amortization	659	684
Stock compensation expense	147	121
Provision for loan losses	(100)	(1,000)
Origination of loans for sale	(18,883)	
Proceeds from sales of loans originated for sale	19,887	24,140
Net gains on mortgage loans	(487)	(723)
Gain on sales of securities	(89)	(83)
Write-down of other real estate	129	518
Net (gain) loss on sales of other real estate	(92)	(67)
Change in net deferred tax asset	(68)	592
Change in accrued interest receivable and other assets	(1,124)	(1,826)
Earnings in bank-owned life insurance	(444)	(162)
Change in accrued expenses and other liabilities	2,073	(869)
Net cash from operating activities	5,103	727
Cash flows from investing activities		
Loan originations and payments, net	(18,206)	(16,809)
Change in interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	20.000	(10,007)
Purchases of securities available for sale	(21,802)	(10,590)
Purchases of securities held to maturity	(21,002)	(10,326)
Proceeds from:		(10,320)
Maturities and calls of securities	12,883	5,195
Sales of securities available for sale	9,418	12,063
Principal paydowns on securities	911	919
Sales of other real estate	1,475	1.452
Death benefit from bank-owned life insurance	518	1,432
Additions to premises and equipment	(154)	(250)
		$\overline{}$
Net cash from investing activities	5,043	(18,346)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Change in deposits	(94,678)	14,191
Repayments of other borrowed funds	(1,329)	(1,271)
Proceeds from other borrowed funds		10,000
Cash dividends paid	(1,012)	(677)
Net cash from financing activities	(97,019)	22,243
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(86,873)	4,624
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	181,476	129,455
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 94,603	\$ 134,079

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)
Three Month Periods Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015
(unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands)

Supplemental cash flow information	En Mar	Months aded ch 31,	hree Months Ended March 31, 2015
Interest paid	\$	1,270	\$ 1,347
Income taxes paid			1,575
Supplemental noncash disclosures:			
Transfers from loans to other real estate		102	699
Security settlement		1,012	1,250

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

<u>Principles of Consolidation</u>: The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Macatawa Bank Corporation ("the Company", "our", "we") and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Macatawa Bank ("the Bank"). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Macatawa Bank is a Michigan chartered bank with depository accounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Bank operates 26 full service branch offices providing a full range of commercial and consumer banking and trust services in Kent County, Ottawa County, and northern Allegan County, Michigan.

The Company owns all of the common stock of Macatawa Statutory Trust I and Macatawa Statutory Trust II. These are grantor trusts that issued trust preferred securities and are not consolidated with the Company under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis of Presentation: The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) believed necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

Operating results for the three month period ended March 31, 2016 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2016. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

<u>Use of Estimates</u>: To prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, valuation of deferred tax assets, loss contingencies, fair value of other real estate owned and fair values of financial instruments are particularly subject to change.

Allowance for Loan Losses: The allowance for loan losses (allowance) is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses inherent in our loan portfolio, increased by the provision for loan losses and recoveries, and decreased by charge-offs of loans. Management believes the allowance for loan losses balance to be adequate based on known and inherent risks in the portfolio, past loan loss experience, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions and other relevant factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged-off. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Management continues its collection efforts on previously charged-off balances and applies recoveries as additions to the allowance for loan losses.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current qualitative factors. The Company maintains a loss migration analysis that tracks loan losses and recoveries based on loan class and the loan risk grade assignment for commercial loans. At March 31, 2016, an 18 month annualized historical loss experience was used for commercial loans and a 12 month historical loss experience period was applied to residential mortgage loans and consumer loans. These historical loss percentages are adjusted (both upwards and downwards) for certain qualitative factors, including economic trends, credit quality trends, valuation trends, concentration risk, quality of loan review, changes in personnel, external factors and other considerations.

A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is believed to be probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans for which the terms have been modified and a concession has been made, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired.

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Commercial and commercial real estate loans with relationship balances exceeding \$500,000 and an internal risk grading of 6 or worse are evaluated for impairment. If a loan is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated and the loan is reported at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing interest rate or at the fair value of collateral, less estimated costs to sell, if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer and residential real estate loans, are collectively evaluated for impairment and they are not separately identified for impairment disclosures.

Troubled debt restructurings are also considered impaired with impairment generally measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's effective rate at inception or using the fair value of collateral, less estimated costs to sell, if repayment is expected solely from the collateral.

<u>Foreclosed Assets</u>: Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure, primarily other real estate owned, are initially recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Costs after acquisition are expensed unless they add value to the property.

Income Taxes: Income tax expense is the sum of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

We recognize a tax position as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. We recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

<u>Derivatives</u>: Certain of our commercial loan customers have entered into interest rate swap agreements directly with the Bank. At the same time the Bank enters into a swap agreement with its customer, the Bank enters into a corresponding interest rate swap agreement with a correspondent bank at terms mirroring the Bank's interest rate swap with its commercial loan customer. This is known as a back-to-back swap agreement. Under this arrangement the Bank has two freestanding interest rate swaps, both of which are carried at fair value. As the terms mirror each other, there is no income statement impact to the Bank. At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the total notional amount of such agreements was \$48.5 million and resulted in a derivative asset with a fair value of \$1.2 million and \$790,000, respectively, which were included in other assets and a derivative liability of \$1.2 million and \$790,000, respectively, which were included in other liabilities.

Reclassifications: Some items in the prior period financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards: The Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. This ASU requires that debt issuance costs be reported in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the face amount of the related liability, consistent with the presentation of debt discounts. Further, the ASU requires the amortization of debt issuance costs to be reported as interest expense. Similarly, debt issuance costs and any discount or premium are considered in the aggregate when determining the effective interest rate on the debt. The new guidance was effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The new guidance must be applied retrospectively. The impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company was not material.

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

FASB issued ASU 2015-05, Customer's Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement. This ASU provides guidance related to the accounting for internal-use software accessed through a hosting arrangement (e.g., cloud computing, software as a service, etc.) only if both of the following criteria are met: (1) the customer has the contractual right to take possession of the software at any time during the hosting period without significant penalty (there is no significant penalty if the customer has the ability to take delivery of the software without incurring significant cost and the ability to use the software separately without significant loss of utility or value); and (2) it is feasible for the customer to either run the software on its own hardware or contract with another party unrelated to the vendor to host the software. If both of the criteria are present in a hosting arrangement, then the arrangement contains a software license and the customer should generally capitalize and subsequently amortize the cost of the license. If both of the criteria are not present, the customer should account for the arrangement as a service contract (i.e., expense fees as incurred). The new guidance was effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company was not material.

Newly Issued Not Yet Effective Standards: FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The amendments in this Update create a new topic in the Codification, Topic 606. In addition to superseding and replacing nearly all existing U.S. GAAP revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance, ASC 606 establishes a new control-based revenue recognition model, changes the basis for deciding when revenue is recognized over time or at a point in time, provides new and more detailed guidance on specific topics and expands and improves disclosures about revenue. In addition, ASU 2014-09 adds a new Subtopic to the Codification, ASC 340-40, Other Assets and Deferred Costs: Contracts with Customers, to provide guidance on costs related to obtaining a contract with a customer and costs incurred in fulfilling a contract with a customer that are not in the scope of another ASC Topic. The new guidance does not apply to certain contracts within the scope of other ASC Topics, such as lease contracts, insurance contracts, financing arrangements, financial instruments, guarantees other than product or service warranties, and nonmonetary exchanges between entities in the same line of business to facilitate sales to customers. The amendments are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company is not expected to be material.

FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases*. The new standard establishes a right-of-use (ROU) model that requires a lessee to record a ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement. The new standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessees for capital and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. The impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company is not expected to be material.

FASB issued ASU 2016-04, Liabilities—Extinguishments of Liabilities (Subtopic 405-20): Recognition of Breakage for Certain Prepaid Stored-Value Cards (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force), which addresses the current and potential future diversity in practice related to the derecognition of a prepaid stored-value product liability. When an entity sells a prepaid stored-value card that is redeemable at a third-party merchant, it recognizes a liability for its obligation to provide the customer with the ability to purchase goods or services at that third-party merchant. When the customer redeems the prepaid stored-value card, the liability (or part of that liability) between the entity and the customer is extinguished. At the same time, the entity incurs a liability to the merchant that provided the goods or services. This liability is typically extinguished with cash through a card-settlement process. In some cases, however, a prepaid stored-value card may be unused wholly or partially for an indefinite time period. ASU No. 2016-04 provides that liabilities related to the sale of prepaid stored-value products within its scope are financial liabilities. Additionally, it provides a narrow-scope exception to Subtopic 405-20, Liabilities—Extinguishments of Liabilities, to require that breakage be accounted for consistent with the breakage guidance in Topic 606 for those liabilities with the characteristics described above. The amendments are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company is not expected to be material.

FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, *Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting*. This ASU simplifies several aspects of the accounting for employee share-based payment transactions for both public and nonpublic entities, including the following: Accounting for income taxes, classification of excess tax benefits on the statement of cash flows, forfeitures, statutory tax withholding requirements, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities and classification of employee taxes paid on the statement of cash flows when an employer withholds shares for tax-withholding purposes. The impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company is not expected to be material.

NOTE 2 – SECURITIES

The amortized cost and fair value of securities at period-end were as follows (dollars in thousands):

March 31, 2016		mortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses		Fair Value
Available for Sale:						
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$	76,689	327	(43)	\$	76,973
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs	-	11,719	73	(30)	-	11,762
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds		35,557	835	(51)		36,341
Taxable state and municipal bonds		26,093	345	(4)		26,434
Corporate bonds and other debt securities		14,974	56	(14)		15,016
Other equity securities		1,500	15			1,515
	\$	166,532	\$ 1,651	\$ (142)	\$	168,041
Held to Maturity					_	
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds	\$	51,303	\$ 1,182	\$	\$	52,485
Transfer of the state of the st	_	- ,			<u> </u>	
December 31, 2015						
Available for Sale:						
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$	74,618	48	(274)	\$	74,392
U. S. Agency MBS and CMOs		13,828	35	(108)		13,755
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds		32,943	692	(37)		33,598
Taxable state and municipal bonds		28,554	246	(37)		28,763
Corporate bonds and other debt securities		14,838	19	(44)		14,813
Other equity securities		1,500		(6)		1,494
	\$	166,281	\$ 1,040	\$ (506)	\$	166,815
Held to Maturity:						
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds	\$	51,856	\$ 986	<u>\$ (5)</u>	\$	52,837

Proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale were \$9.4 million and \$12.1 million, respectively, in the three month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 resulting in net gain on sale of \$89,000 and \$83,000, respectively, as reported in the Consolidated Statements of Income. This resulted in a reclassification of \$89,000 (\$58,000 net of tax) for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and \$83,000 (\$54,000 net of tax) for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from accumulated other comprehensive income to gain on sale of securities in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Contractual maturities of debt securities at March 31, 2016 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Held-to-Maturity Securities					Available-for-	Sale Securities	
	Ar	nortized	Fair		Amortized			Fair
	Cost		Value		Cost			Value
Due in one year or less	\$	14,655	\$	14,673	\$	12,213	\$	12,256
Due from one to five years		9,704		9,873		98,184		98,805
Due from five to ten years		14,744		15,556		41,794		42,559
Due after ten years		12,200		12,383		12,841		12,906
	\$	51,303	\$	52,485	\$	165,032	\$	166,526

NOTE 2 - SECURITIES (Continued)

Securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Less than	Months	12 Month	More	Total					
	Fair		Unrealized	Fair		Unrealized		Fair		Unrealized
March 31, 2016	 Value		Loss	 Value		Loss		Value		Loss
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$ 12,335	\$	(33)	\$ 3,107	\$	(10)	\$	15,442	\$	(43)
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs	1,937		(9)	1,828		(21)		3,765		(30)
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds	11,652		(36)	860		(15)		12,512		(51)
Taxable state and municipal bonds	529		(2)	643		(2)		1,172		(4)
Corporate bonds and other debt securities	3,570		(12)	502		(2)		4,072		(14)
Other equity securities	 			 						
Total temporarily impaired	\$ 30,023	\$	(92)	\$ 6,940	\$	(50)	\$	36,963	\$	(142)

	Less than	12 M	onths	12 Months or More					Total			
	Fair	Unrealized			Fair		Unrealized		Fair		Unrealized	
<u>December 31, 2015</u>	Value		Loss		Value		Loss		Value		Loss	
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$ 35,090	\$	(187)	\$	7,036	\$	(82)	\$	42,126	\$	(269)	
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs	8,842		(108)						8,842		(108)	
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds	3,487		(9)		2,022		(33)		5,509		(42)	
Taxable state and municipal bonds	8,158		(29)		640		(8)		8,798		(37)	
Corporate bonds and other debt securities	9,330		(47)		499		(2)		9,829		(49)	
Other equity securities	1,494		(6)						1,494		(6)	
Total temporarily impaired	\$ 66,401	\$	(386)	\$	10,197	\$	(125)	\$	76,598	\$	(511)	

Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. Management determined that the unrealized losses for each period were attributable to changes in interest rates and not due to credit quality. As such, no OTTI charges were necessary during the three month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015.

Securities with a carrying value of approximately \$2.0 million were pledged as security for public deposits, letters of credit and for other purposes required or permitted by law at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

NOTE 3 – LOANS

Portfolio loans were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2016	December 31, 2015
Commercial and industrial	\$ 388,625	\$ 377,298
Commercial real estate:		
Residential developed	13,141	10,448
Unsecured to residential developers	7,491	7,372
Vacant and unimproved	45,429	42,881
Commercial development	658	559
Residential improved	68,858	67,922
Commercial improved	294,392	289,651
Manufacturing and industrial	86,747	89,839
Total commercial real estate	516,716	508,672
Consumer		
Residential mortgage	214,104	209,972
Unsecured	577	637
Home equity	87,874	92,716
Other secured	8,288	8,637
Total consumer	310,843	311,962
	,	
Total loans	1,216,184	1,197,932
Allowance for loan losses	(17,129)	(17,081)
	\$ 1,199,055	\$ 1,180,851

Activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	C	ommercial and	Commercial			
Three months ended March 31, 2016		Industrial	Real Estate	Consumer	 Unallocated	 Total
Beginning balance	\$	4,826	8,457	\$ 3,761	\$ 37	\$ 17,081
Charge-offs				(76)		(76)
Recoveries		49	121	54		224
Provision for loan losses		70	(129)	(40)	(1)	(100)
Ending Balance	\$	4,945	\$ 8,449	\$ 3,699	\$ 36	\$ 17,129

	Comn	nercial					
	aı	nd	(Commercial			
Three months ended March 31, 2015	Indu	strial		Real Estate	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$	6,173	\$	8,690	\$ 4,046	\$ 53	\$ 18,962
Charge-offs					(78)		(78)
Recoveries		84		608	104		796
Provision for loan losses		(83)		(728)	(165)	(24)	(1,000)
Ending Balance	\$	6,174	\$	8,570	\$ 3,907	\$ 29	\$ 18,680

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method (dollars in thousands):

March 31, 2016		ommercial and industrial		mmercial al Estate		Consumer		Unallocated		Total
Allowance for loan losses:				<u>'</u>	_					
Ending allowance attributable to loans:										
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$	574	\$	495	\$	810	\$		\$	1,879
Collectively evaluated for impairment		4,371		7,954		2,889		36		15,250
Total ending allowance balance	\$	4,945	\$	8,449	\$	3,699	\$	36	\$	17,129
Loans:										
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$	8,622	\$	15,840	\$	13,149	\$		\$	37,611
Collectively evaluated for impairment		380,003		500,876		297,694				1,178,573
Total ending loans balance	\$	388,625	\$	516,716	\$	310,843	\$		\$	1,216,184
December 31, 2015 Allowance for loan losses:		ommercial and industrial		mmercial al Estate		Consumer	_	Unallocated		Total
Ending allowance attributable to loans:	•			10.5	•	0.00				4.000
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$	673	\$	436	\$	829	\$		\$	1,938
Collectively evaluated for impairment	Φ.	4,153	Ф	8,021	Ф	2,932	Ф	37	Ф	15,143
Total ending allowance balance	\$	4,826	\$	8,457	\$	3,761	2	37	3	17,081
Loans:										
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$	7,718	\$	17,569	\$	13,463	\$		\$	38,750
Collectively evaluated for impairment		369,580		491,103		298,499				1,159,182
Total ending loans balance	\$	377,298	\$	508,672	\$	311,962	\$		\$	1,197,932
		- 16 -								

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of March 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

March 31, 2016	Pri	npaid ncipal alance		ecorded vestment		llowance llocated
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	4,094	\$	4,094	\$	
Commercial real estate:						
Residential developed						
Unsecured to residential developers						
Vacant and unimproved		206		206		
Commercial development						
Residential improved		87		87		
Commercial improved						
Manufacturing and industrial						
		293		293		
Consumer:						
Residential mortgage						
Unsecured						
Home equity						
Other secured						
	\$	4,387	\$	4,387	\$	
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	4,528	\$	4,528	\$	574
Commercial real estate:						
Residential developed						
Unsecured to residential developers						
Vacant and unimproved		237		237		6
Commercial development		192		192		6
Residential improved		5,423		5,423		260
Commercial improved		9,457		9,457		214
Manufacturing and industrial		238		238		9
		15,547		15,547		495
Consumer:						
Residential mortgage		8,579		8,579		529
Unsecured						
Home equity		4,570		4,570		281
Other secured						
		13,149		13,149		810
	\$	33,224	\$	33,224	\$	1,879
Total	\$	37,611	\$	37,611	\$	1,879
Total	Ф	37,011	φ	37,011	Ф	1,079

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of December 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

December 31, 2015	Pri	npaid ncipal llance		ecorded vestment		llowance
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	2,736	\$	2,736	\$	
Commercial real estate:						
Residential developed						
Unsecured to residential developers						
Vacant and unimproved		206		206		
Commercial development						
Residential improved		5		5		
Commercial improved						
Manufacturing and industrial						
		211		211		
Consumer:						
Residential mortgage						
Unsecured						
Home equity						
Other secured						
			_			
	\$	2,947	\$	2,947	\$	
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	4,982	\$	4,982	\$	673
Commercial and industrial	Ф	4,702	Ф	4,962	Φ	073
Commercial real estate:						
Residential developed						
Unsecured to residential developers						
Vacant and unimproved		247		247		7
Commercial development		192		192		6
Residential improved		5,254		5,254		140
Commercial improved		11,425		11,425		274
Manufacturing and industrial		240		240		9
		17,358		17,358		436
Consumer:						
Residential mortgage		8,655		8,655		533
Unsecured						
Home equity		4,808		4,808		296
Other secured						
		13,463		13,463		829
	\$	35,803	\$	35,803	\$	1,938
Total	¢	20 750	e.	29 750	e	1 029
10181	\$	38,750	\$	38,750	\$	1,938

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents information regarding average balances of impaired loans and interest recognized on impaired loans for the three month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2016	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015
Average of impaired loans during the period:		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 8,265	\$ 9,703
Commercial real estate:		
Residential developed		937
Unsecured to residential developers		
Vacant and unimproved	446	1,490
Commercial development	192	198
Residential improved	5,543	7,661
Commercial improved	9,537	16,759
Manufacturing and industrial	239	2,706
Consumer	13,140	14,784
Interest income recognized during impairment:		
Commercial and industrial	298	326
Commercial real estate	201	356
Consumer	122	137
Cash-basis interest income recognized		
Commercial and industrial	288	328
Commercial real estate	200	351
Consumer	123	140

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

Nonaccrual loans include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans. The following tables present the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

March 31, 2016	Nonaccrual	Over 90 days Accruing		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 48	\$ 31		
Commercial real estate:				
Residential developed				
Unsecured to residential developers				
Vacant and unimproved				
Commercial development	49			
Residential improved	115			
Commercial improved	148			
Manufacturing and industrial				
Consumer:	312			
Residential mortgage	2			
Unsecured	25			
Home equity	9			
Other secured				
	36			
Total	\$ 396	\$ 31		
10141	\$ 390	<u>31</u>		
<u>December 31, 2015</u>	Nonaccrual	Over 90 days Accruing		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 174	\$		
Commercial real estate:				
Residential developed	195			
Unsecured to residential developers				
Vacant and unimproved				
Commercial development	49			
Residential improved	124			
Commercial improved	157			
Manufacturing and industrial				
	525			
Consumer:				
Residential mortgage	2			
Unsecured	28			
Home equity	10	17		
Other secured				
	40	17		
Total	\$ 739	\$ 17		

- 20 -

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 by class of loans (dollars in thousands):

March 31, 2016		30-90 Days		ter Than Days	Total Past Due		Loans Not Past Due		Total
Commercial and industrial	\$	344	\$	31	\$ 375	\$	388,250	\$	388,625
Commercial real estate:									
Residential developed							13,141		13,141
Unsecured to residential developers							7,491		7,491
Vacant and unimproved							45,429		45,429
Commercial development		192		49	241		417		658
Residential improved		122		6	128		68,730		68,858
Commercial improved		52			52		294,340		294,392
Manufacturing and industrial							86,747		86,747
Handlactaring and industrial	_	366		55	421	_	516,295	_	516,716
Consumer:		300		33	421		310,293		310,710
Residential mortgage							214,104		214,104
Unsecured							577		577
Home equity							87,874		87,874
Other secured							8,288		8,288
Other secured	_	_	_		_	_			
						_	310,843	_	310,843
Total	\$	710	\$	86	\$ 796	\$	1,215,388	\$	1,216,184
		30-90		ater Than	Total		Loans Not		Total
<u>December 31, 2015</u>	_	Days		0 Days	Past Due		Past Due		
Commercial and industrial	\$	719	\$	100	\$ 819	\$	376,479	\$	377,298
Commercial real estate:									
Residential developed							10,448		10,448
Unsecured to residential developers							7,372		7,372
Vacant and unimproved							42,881		42,881
Commercial development				49	49		510		559
Residential improved		73		6	79		67,843		67,922
Commercial improved		375			375		289,276		289,651
Manufacturing and industrial						_	89,839		89,839
		448		55	503		508,169		508,672
Consumer:									
Residential mortgage							209,972		209,972
Unsecured							637		637
Home equity		32		17	49		92,667		92,716
Other secured							8,637		8,637
		32		17	49		311,913		311,962
Total	\$	1,199		172	\$ 1,371	\$	1,196,561	\$	1,197,932
	Ψ	1,177	Ψ	1/2	<u> </u>	Ψ	1,170,301	Ψ	1,171,732
		- 21 -							
		- 21 -							

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The Company had allocated \$1,879,000 and \$1,938,000 of specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. These loans may have involved the restructuring of terms to allow customers to mitigate the risk of foreclosure by meeting a lower loan payment requirement based upon their current cash flow. These may also include loans that renewed at existing contractual rates, but below market rates for comparable credit. The Company has been active at utilizing these programs and working with its customers to reduce the risk of foreclosure. For commercial loans, these modifications typically include an interest only period and, in some cases, a lowering of the interest rate on the loan. In some cases, the modification will include separating the note into two notes with the first note structured to be supported by current cash flows and collateral, and the second note made for the remaining unsecured debt. The second note is charged off immediately and collected only after the first note is paid in full. This modification type is commonly referred to as an A-B note structure. For consumer mortgage loans, the restructuring typically includes a lowering of the interest rate to provide payment and cash flow relief. For each restructuring, a comprehensive credit underwriting analysis of the borrower's financial condition and prospects of repayment under the revised terms is performed to assess whether the structure can be successful and that cash flows will be sufficient to support the restructured debt. An analysis is also performed to determine whether the restructured loan should be on accrual at the time of restructure, it will remain on accrual after the restructuring. In some cases, a nonaccrual loan may be placed on accrual at restructuring if the loan's actual payment history demonstrates it would have cash flowed under the restructured terms. After six consecutive payments under the restructured terms

In situations where there is a subsequent modification or renewal and the loan is brought to market terms, including a contractual interest rate not less than a market interest rate for new debt with similar credit risk characteristics, the TDR and impaired loan designations may be removed. In addition, the TDR designation may also be removed from loans modified under an A-B note structure. If the remaining "A" note is at a market rate at the time of restructuring (taking into account the borrower's credit risk and prevailing market conditions), the loan can be removed from TDR designation in a subsequent calendar year after six months of performance in accordance with the new terms. The market rate relative to the borrower's credit risk is determined through analysis of market pricing information gathered from peers and use of a loan pricing model. The general objective of the model is to achieve a consistent return on equity from one credit to the next, taking into consideration differences in credit risk. In the model, credits with higher risk receive a higher potential loss allocation, and therefore require a higher interest rate to achieve the target return on equity.

As with other impaired loans, an allowance for loan loss is estimated for each TDR based on the most likely source of repayment for each loan. For impaired commercial real estate loans that are collateral dependent, the allowance is computed based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, less estimated costs to sell. For impaired commercial loans where repayment is expected from cash flows from business operations, the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation. Certain groups of TDRs, such as residential mortgages, have common characteristics and for them the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation on the change in weighted rate for the pool. The allowance allocations for commercial TDRs where we have reduced the contractual interest rate are computed by measuring cash flows using the new payment terms discounted at the original contractual rate.

The following table presents information regarding troubled debt restructurings as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2016		December 3		31, 2015	
	_	Out	standing			Outstanding
	Number of	Re	corded	Number of		Recorded
	Loans	B	alance	Loans	_	Balance
Commercial and industrial	31	\$	8,522	33	\$	7,611
Commercial real estate	55		16,132	56		17,871
Consumer	123		13,385	124		13,570
	209	\$	38,039	213	\$	39,052

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents information related to accruing troubled debt restructurings as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015. The table presents the amount of accruing troubled debt restructurings that were on nonaccrual status prior to the restructuring, accruing at the time of restructuring and those that were upgraded to accruing status after receiving six consecutive monthly payments in accordance with the restructured terms as of each period reported (dollars in thousands):

		rch 31,	Dec	ember 31,
	2	016		2015
Accruing TDR - nonaccrual at restructuring	\$		\$	
Accruing TDR - accruing at restructuring		31,400		33,691
Accruing TDR - upgraded to accruing after six consecutive payments		6,319		4,784
	\$	37,719	\$	38,475

The following tables present information regarding troubled debt restructurings executed during the three month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in thousands):

Commercial and industrial	Three Months Ended March 31, 2016	Number of Loans	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Balance	Principal Writedown upon Modification \$
Commercial real estate				
Consumer		4	238	
		4	\$ 238	\$
			D. M. I'C. C	
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015	Number of Loans	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Balance	Principal Writedown upon Modification
Commercial and industrial	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015	Number of Loans	Outstanding Recorded Balance \$ 408	Writedown upon
Commercial real estate	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015	1 1	Outstanding Recorded Balance \$ 408 42	Writedown upon Modification
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015	Number of Loans 1 1 29 31	Outstanding Recorded Balance \$ 408	Writedown upon Modification

According to the accounting standards, not all loan modifications are TDRs. TDRs are modifications or renewals where the Company has granted a concession to a borrower in financial distress. The Company reviews all modifications and renewals for determination of TDR status. In some situations a borrower may be experiencing financial distress, but the Company does not provide a concession. These modifications are not considered TDRs. In other cases, the Company might provide a concession, such as a reduction in interest rate, but the borrower is not experiencing financial distress. This could be the case if the Company is matching a competitor's interest rate. These modifications would also not be considered TDRs. Finally, any renewals at existing terms for borrowers not experiencing financial distress would not be considered TDRs. As with other loans not considered TDR or impaired, allowance allocations are based on the historical based allocation for the applicable loan grade and loan class.

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The table below presents, by class, information regarding troubled debt restructured loans which had payment defaults during the three month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in thousands). Included are loans that became delinquent more than 90 days past due or transferred to nonaccrual within 12 months of restructuring.

		Three Months Ended March 31, 2016		Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			
	Number of Loans	Outstanding Recorded Balance	Number of Loans	Outstan Recore Balan	ded		
Commercial and industrial		\$ -		\$			
Commercial real estate		-					
Consumer		-					

Credit Quality Indicators: The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of the borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes commercial loans individually and classifies these relationships by credit risk grading. The Company uses an eight point grading system, with grades 5 through 8 being considered classified, or watch, credits. All commercial loans are assigned a grade at origination, at each renewal or any amendment. When a credit is first downgraded to a watch credit (either through renewal, amendment, loan officer identification or the loan review process), an Administrative Loan Review ("ALR") is generated by the credit department and the loan officer. All watch credits have an ALR completed monthly which analyzes the collateral position and cash flow of the borrower and its guarantors. The loan officer is required to complete both a short term and long term plan to rehabilitate or exit the credit and to give monthly comments on the progress to these plans. Management meets quarterly with loan officers to discuss each of these credits in detail and to help formulate solutions where progress has stalled. When necessary, the loan officer proposes changes to the assigned loan grade as part of the ALR. Additionally, Loan Review reviews all loan grades upon origination, renewal or amendment and again as loans are selected though the loan review process. The credit will stay on the ALR until either its grade has improved to a 4 or the credit relationship is at a zero balance. The Company uses the following definitions for the risk grades:

- 1. Excellent Loans supported by extremely strong financial condition or secured by the Bank's own deposits. Minimal risk to the Bank and the probability of serious rapid financial deterioration is extremely small.
- 2. Above Average Loans supported by sound financial statements that indicate the ability to repay or borrowings secured (and margined properly) with marketable securities. Nominal risk to the Bank and probability of serious financial deterioration is highly unlikely. The overall quality of these credits is very high.
- 3. Good Quality Loans supported by satisfactory asset quality and liquidity, good debt capacity coverage, and good management in all critical positions. Loans are secured by acceptable collateral with adequate margins. There is a slight risk of deterioration if adverse market conditions prevail.
- 4. Acceptable Risk Loans carrying an acceptable risk to the Bank, which may be slightly below average quality. The borrower has limited financial strength with considerable leverage. There is some probability of deterioration if adverse market conditions prevail. These credits should be monitored closely by the Relationship Manager.
- 5. Marginally Acceptable Loans are of marginal quality with above normal risk to the Bank. The borrower shows acceptable asset quality but very little liquidity with high leverage. There is inconsistent earning performance without the ability to sustain adverse market conditions. The primary source of repayment is questionable, but the secondary source of repayment still remains an option. Very close attention by the Relationship Manager and management is needed.
- 6. Substandard Loans are inadequately protected by the net worth and paying capacity of the borrower or the collateral pledged. The primary and secondary sources of repayment are questionable. Heavy debt condition may be evident and volume and earnings deterioration may be underway. It is possible that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not immediately addressed and corrected.

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

7. Doubtful - Loans supported by weak or no financial statements, as well as the ability to repay the entire loan, are questionable. Loans in this category are normally characterized less than adequate collateral, insolvent, or extremely weak financial condition. A loan classified doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in one classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses makes collection or liquidation in full highly questionable. The possibility of loss is extremely high, however, activity may be underway to minimize the loss or maximize the recovery.

8. Loss - Loans are considered uncollectible and of little or no value as a bank asset.

As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the risk grade category of commercial loans by class of loans were as follows (dollars in thousands):

March 31, 2016	1	 2	 3	4	 5	 6		7		8		Total
Commercial and industrial	\$ 	\$ 14,597	\$ 111,894	\$ 244,231	\$ 10,713	\$ 7,142	\$	48	\$		\$	388,625
Commercial real estate:												
Residential developed			2,555	7,560	2,834	192						13,141
Unsecured to residential												
developers				7,491								7,491
Vacant and unimproved			21,700	19,362	4,367							45,429
Commercial development				417		192		49				658
Residential improved			6,217	56,078	4,681	1,767		115				68,858
Commercial improved		1,796	61,179	216,218	12,938	2,113		148				294,392
Manufacturing & industrial	 	1,427	 33,500	50,077	1,743	 						86,747
	\$ 	\$ 17,820	\$ 237,045	\$ 601,434	\$ 37,276	\$ 11,406	\$	360	\$		\$	905,341
	 						_		_		_	
December 31, 2015	 1	2	 3	4	 5	 6		7		8		Total
December 31, 2015 Commercial and industrial	\$ 1	\$ 2 8,774	\$ 3 114,451	4 \$ 242,253	\$ 5 5,235	\$ 6 6,215	\$	7	\$	8	\$	Total 377,298
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1	\$	\$		\$	\$ 	\$	7 174	\$		\$	
	\$ 1 196	\$	\$		\$	\$ 	\$		\$		\$	
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed	\$ 196	\$	\$		\$	\$ 	\$	7 174	\$		\$	
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate:	\$	\$ 8,774	\$ 114,451	\$ 242,253	\$ 5,235	\$ 6,215	\$		\$		\$	377,298
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed	\$	\$ 8,774	\$ 114,451	\$ 242,253	\$ 5,235	\$ 6,215	\$		\$		\$	377,298
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential	\$ 	\$ 8,774	\$ 2,226	\$ 242,253 5,191	\$ 5,235	\$ 6,215	\$	195	\$		\$	377,298
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers	\$ 	\$ 8,774	\$ 2,226	\$ 242,253 5,191 7,372	\$ 5,235 2,836	\$ 6,215	\$	195	\$		\$	377,298 10,448 7,372
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved	\$ 	\$ 8,774 	\$ 2,226 17,768	\$ 242,253 5,191 7,372 20,588	\$ 5,235 2,836 4,525	\$ 6,215	\$	195	\$		\$	377,298 10,448 7,372 42,881
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial development	\$ 	\$ 8,774 	\$ 2,226 17,768	\$ 242,253 5,191 7,372 20,588 318	\$ 5,235 2,836 4,525	\$ 192	\$	195 49	\$		\$	377,298 10,448 7,372 42,881 559
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial development Residential improved	\$ 	\$ 	\$ 2,226 17,768 7,191	\$ 242,253 5,191 7,372 20,588 318 54,376	\$ 5,235 2,836 4,525 4,722	\$ 6,215 192 1,509	\$	195 49 124	\$		\$	377,298 10,448 7,372 42,881 559 67,922

Commercial loans rated a 6 or worse per the Company's internal risk rating system are considered substandard, doubtful or loss. Commercial loans classified as substandard or worse were as follows at period-end (dollars in thousands):

	Ma	March 31,		cember 31,
	2016			2015
Not classified as impaired	\$	1,828	\$	1,986
Classified as impaired		9,938		8,782
Total commercial loans classified substandard or worse	\$	11,766	\$	10,768

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The Company considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For consumer loan classes, the Company also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in consumer loans based on payment activity (dollars in thousands):

March 31, 2016	Residential Mortgage		Consumer Unsecured		Home Equity		Other
Performing	\$ \$ 214,104		\$ 577		\$ 87,874		8,288
Nonperforming	 						
Total	\$ 214,104	\$	577	\$	87,874	\$	8,288
December 31, 2015	esidential Mortgage		nsumer secured		Home Equity	C	Consumer Other
December 31, 2015 Performing				\$		\$	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mortgage		secured	\$	Equity	\$	Other

NOTE 4 – OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

Other real estate owned was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Mont	Three Months Ended March 31,		Year Ended December 31,		Three oths Ended Earch 31,
		2016	2015			2015
Beginning balance	\$	28,377	\$	43,071	\$	43,071
Additions, transfers from loans		102		2,520		699
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned		(1,475)		(11,540)		(1,452)
Valuation allowance reversal upon sale		(251)		(4,748)		(447)
Gain (loss) on sales of other real estate owned		92		(926)		67
		26,845		28,377		41,938
Less: valuation allowance		(10,683)		(10,805)		(14,900)
Ending balance	\$	16,162	\$	17,572	\$	27,038

 $Activity\ in\ the\ valuation\ allowance\ was\ as\ follows\ (dollars\ in\ thousands):$

	Tillee		Tillee
	Months Ended		Months Ended
	March 31,		March 31,
	2016	_	2015
Beginning balance	\$ 10,805	\$	14,829
Additions charged to expense	129		518
Reversals upon sale	(251)) _	(447)
Ending balance	\$ 10,683	\$	14,900

NOTE 5 - FAIR VALUE

ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value include:

- Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.
- <u>Level 2</u>: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.
- <u>Level 3</u>: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

Investment Securities: The fair values of investment securities are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs). The fair values of certain securities held to maturity are determined by computing discounted cash flows using observable and unobservable market inputs (Level 3 inputs).

Loans Held for Sale: The fair value of loans held for sale is based upon binding quotes from third party investors (Level 2 inputs).

Impaired Loans: Loans identified as impaired are measured using one of three methods: the loan's observable market price, the fair value of collateral or the present value of expected future cash flows. For each period presented, no impaired loans were measured using the loan's observable market price. If an impaired loan has had a chargeoff or if the fair value of the collateral is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we establish a specific reserve and report the loan as nonrecurring Level 3. The fair value of collateral of impaired loans is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned: Other real estate owned (OREO) properties are initially recorded at fair value, less estimated costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. Adjustments to OREO are measured at fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals or realtor evaluations of the property. These appraisals and evaluations may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less estimated costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized through a valuation allowance, and the property is reported as nonrecurring Level 3.

<u>Interest Rate Swaps:</u> For interest rate swap agreements, we measure fair value utilizing pricing provided by a third-party pricing source that that uses market observable inputs, such as forecasted yield curves, and other unobservable inputs and accordingly, interest rate swap agreements are classified as Level 3.

NOTE 5 – FAIR VALUE (Continued)

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

Active Markets Significant Other Significat For Identical Observable Unobservation Fair Value (Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 2) March 31, 2016	ole
Assets Inputs Inputs Fair Value (Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 3)	
Fair Value (Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 3	
March 31, 2016	
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities \$ 76,973 \$ \$ 76,973 \$	
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs 11,762 11,762	
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds 36,341 36,341	
Taxable state and municipal bonds 26,434 26,434	
Corporate bonds and other debt securities 15,016 15,016	
Other equity securities 1,515 1,515	
Loans held for sale 2,259 2,259	
Interest rate swaps 1,220	,220
Interest rate swaps (1,220) (,220)
<u>December 31, 2015</u>	
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities \$ 74,392 \$ \$ 74,392 \$	
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs 13,755 13,755	
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds 33,598 33,598	
Taxable state and municipal bonds 28,763 28,763	
Corporate bonds and other debt securities 14,813 14,813	
Other equity securities 1,494 1,494	
Loans held for sale 2,776 2,776	
Interest rate swaps 790	790
Interest rate swaps (790)	(790)

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

	Fair	r Value	Active for I	l Prices in e Markets dentical (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Inobservable Inputs (Level 3)
March 31, 2016						
Impaired loans	\$	6,306	\$		\$	\$ 6,306
Other real estate owned		12,590				12,590
December 31, 2015						
Impaired loans	\$	6,573	\$		\$	\$ 6,573
Other real estate owned		13,602				13,602
	- 28 -					

NOTE 5 – FAIR VALUE (Continued)

Quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements measured on a non-recurring basis were as follows at period end (dollars in thousands):

	(Lia	asset ability) Fair 'alue	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Rar	ige (%	%)
March 31, 2016							
Impaired Loans	\$	6,306	Sales comparison approach	Adjustment for differences between comparable sales	1.0	to	19.0
			Income approach	Capitalization rate	9.5	to	11.0
Other real estate owned		12,590	Sales comparison approach Income approach	Adjustment for differences between comparable sales Capitalization rate	2.0 9.5	to to	22.5 11.0
December 31, 2015	(Lia	asset ability) Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Rar	ıge (%	<u>%) </u>
				Adjustment for differences between			
Impaired Loans	\$	6,573	Sales comparison approach	comparable sales	1.0	to	19.0
			Income approach	Capitalization rate	9.5	to	11.0
Other real estate owned		13,602	Sales comparison approach Income approach	Adjustment for differences between comparable sales Capitalization rate	4.5 9.5	to to	32.5 11.0
			- 29 -				

NOTE 5 - FAIR VALUE (Continued)

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, not previously presented, were as follows at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

	Level in	March 31, 2016			December 31, 2015			
	Fair Value Hierarchy		Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value		
Financial assets								
Cash and due from banks	Level 1	\$	22,499	22,499	\$ 29,104	29,104		
Cash equivalents	Level 2		72,104	72,104	152,372	152,372		
Interest-bearing time deposits in other financial institutions	Level 2				20,000	20,008		
Securities held to maturity	Level 3		51,303	52,485	51,856	52,837		
FHLB stock			11,558	NA	11,558	NA		
Loans, net	Level 2		1,192,749	1,190,050	1,187,423	1,177,527		
Bank owned life insurance	Level 3		28,784	28,784	28,858	28,858		
Accrued interest receivable	Level 2		3,929	3,929	3,622	3,622		
Financial liabilities								
Deposits	Level 2		(1,340,834)	(1,340,799)	(1,435,512)	(1,435,473)		
Other borrowed funds	Level 2		(94,840)	(95,917)	(96,169)	(96,465)		
Long-term debt	Level 2		(41,238)	(35,876)	(41,238)	(35,757)		
Accrued interest payable	Level 2		(273)	(273)	(273)	(273)		
Off-balance sheet credit-related items								
Loan commitments								

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows.

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, bank owned life insurance, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term borrowings and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. Security fair values are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities as discussed above. For fixed rate loans, interest-bearing time deposits in other financial institutions, or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk (including consideration of widening credit spreads). Fair value of debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off-balance sheet credit-related items is not significant.

NOTE 6 - DEPOSITS

Deposits are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	N	March 31, 2016		cember 31, 2015
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$	424,356	\$	477,032
Interest bearing demand		320,250		358,306
Savings and money market accounts		511,325		512,980
Certificates of deposit		84,903		87,194
	\$	1,340,834	\$	1,435,512

Approximately \$18.3 million and \$17.1 million in certificates of deposit were in denominations of \$250,000 or more at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

NOTE 7 - OTHER BORROWED FUNDS

Other borrowed funds include advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank and borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank.

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

At period-end, advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Advance		Weighted Average
Principal Terms	Amount	Range of Maturities	Interest Rate
March 31, 2016	<u> </u>		
Single maturity fixed rate advances	\$ 90,000	August 2016 to March 2020	1.69%
Amortizable mortgage advances	4,840	March 2018 to July 2018	3.76%
	\$ 94,840		
	Advance		Weighted Average
Principal Terms	Amount	Range of Maturities	Interest Rate
December 31, 2015	<u>'</u>		
Single maturity fixed rate advances	\$ 90,000	August 2016 to March 2020	1.69%
Amortizable mortgage advances	6,169	March 2018 to July 2018	3.78%
	\$ 96,169		

Each advance is subject to a prepayment fee if paid prior to its maturity date. Fixed rate advances are payable at maturity. Amortizable mortgage advances are fixed rate advances with scheduled repayments based upon amortization to maturity. These advances were collateralized by residential and commercial real estate loans totaling \$435,348,000 and \$440,660,000 under a blanket lien arrangement at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

NOTE 7 - OTHER BORROWED FUNDS

Scheduled repayments of FHLB advances as of March 31, 2016 were as follows (in thousands):

2016	\$ 20,6	667
2017	2,0	055
2018	52,1	
2019 2020	10,0	
2020	10,0	000
Thereafter		
	\$ 94,8	340

Federal Reserve Bank borrowings

The Company has a financing arrangement with the Federal Reserve Bank. There were no borrowings outstanding at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, and the Company had approximately \$18.2 million and \$18.5 million in unused borrowing capacity based on commercial and mortgage loans pledged to the Federal Reserve Bank totaling \$21.1 million and \$21.6 million at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

NOTE 8 - EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 are as follows (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

	 Three Months Ended March 31, 2016		ree Months Ended farch 31, 2015
Net income available to common shares	\$ 3,495	\$	2,840
Weighted average shares outstanding, including participating stock awards- Basic	33,925,113		33,866,789
Dilutive potential common shares:			
Stock options			
Stock warrants			
Weighted average shares outstanding - Diluted	 33,925,113		33,866,789
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.10	\$	0.08
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.10	\$	0.08

Stock options for 101,473 and 260,261 shares of common stock for the three month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, were not considered in computing diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive.

NOTE 9 - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Thre	ee Months	Three Months		
]	Ended		Ended	
	M	arch 31,	March 31,		
		2016		2015	
Current	\$	1,468	\$	653	
Deferred		(68)		592	
	\$	1,400	\$	1,245	

The difference between the financial statement tax expense and amount computed by applying the statutory federal tax rate to pretax income was reconciled as follows (dollars in thousands):

		e Months Inded	Three Months Ended		
	Ma	rch 31,	March 31,		
	2	2016	2015		
Statutory rate		35%	35%		
Statutory rate applied to income before taxes	\$	1,713	\$ 1,430		
Add (deduct)					
Tax-exempt interest income		(148)	(117)		
Bank-owned life insurance		(155)	(57)		
Other, net		(10)	(11)		
	\$	1,400	\$ 1,245		

The realization of deferred tax assets (net of a recorded valuation allowance) is largely dependent upon future taxable income, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and the ability to carryback losses to available tax years. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we consider positive and negative evidence, including taxable income in carry-back years, scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, expected future taxable income and tax planning strategies. No valuation allowance was necessary at March 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

NOTE 9 - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES (Continued)

The net deferred tax asset recorded included the following amounts of deferred tax assets and liabilities (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2016		December31, 2015	
Deferred tax assets				
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 5,995	\$	5,978	
Nonaccrual loan interest	830		850	
Valuation allowance on other real estate owned	3,739		3,782	
Unrealized loss on securities available for sale				
Other	704		647	
Gross deferred tax assets	 11,268		11,257	
Valuation allowance	 			
Total net deferred tax assets	11,268		11,257	
Deferred tax liabilities				
Depreciation	(1,682)		(1,739)	
Prepaid expenses	(191)		(191)	
Unrealized gain on securities available for sale	(528)		(187)	
Other	 (321)		(321)	
Gross deferred tax liabilities	(2,722)		(2,438)	
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 8,546	\$	8,819	

There were no unrecognized tax benefits at March 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015 and the Company does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase or decrease in the next twelve months. The Company is no longer subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for years before 2012.

NOTE 10 - COMMITMENTS AND OFF BALANCE-SHEET RISK

Some financial instruments are used to meet customer financing needs and to reduce exposure to interest rate changes. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These involve, to varying degrees, credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount reported in the financial statements.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the commitment, and generally have fixed expiration dates. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments to guarantee a customer's performance to a third party. Exposure to credit loss if the other party does not perform is represented by the contractual amount for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Collateral or other security is normally not obtained for these financial instruments prior to their use and many of the commitments are expected to expire without being used.

A summary of the contractual amounts of financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk was as follows at period-end (dollars in thousands):

	March 31,	December 31,	
	2016	2015	
Commitments to make loans	\$ 90,346	\$ 97,991	
Letters of credit	14,379	12,976	
Unused lines of credit	416,720	426,080	

The notional amount of commitments to fund mortgage loans to be sold into the secondary market was approximately \$17.2 million and \$19.8 million at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

NOTE 10 - COMMITMENTS AND OFF BALANCE-SHEET RISK (Continued)

At March 31, 2016, approximately 41% of the Bank's commitments to make loans were at fixed rates, offered at current market rates. The remainder of the commitments to make loans were at variable rates tied to prime or one month LIBOR and generally expire within 30 days. The majority of the unused lines of credit were at variable rates tied to prime.

NOTE 11 – CONTINGENCIES

The Company and its subsidiaries periodically become defendants in certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. As of March 31, 2016, there were no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or any of its subsidiaries are a party or which any of its properties are the subject.

NOTE 12 – SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Regulatory Capital

The Company and the Bank are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors, and the regulators can lower classifications in certain cases. Failure to meet various capital requirements can initiate regulatory action that could have a direct material effect on the financial statements.

The prompt corrective action regulations provide five categories, including well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. If a bank is only adequately capitalized, regulatory approval is required to, among other things, accept, renew or roll-over brokered deposits. If a bank is undercapitalized, capital distributions and growth and expansion are limited, and plans for capital restoration are required.

In July 2013, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC approved the final rules implementing the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's capital guidelines for U.S. banks (commonly known as Basel III). Under the final rules, which began for the Company and the Bank on January 1, 2015 and are subject to a phase-in period through January 1, 2019, minimum requirements will increase for both the quantity and quality of capital held by the Company and the Bank. The rules include a new common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio (CET1 ratio) of 4.5% and a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets, which when fully phased-in, effectively results in a minimum CET1 ratio of 7.0%. The capital conservation buffer requirement is 0.625% for 2016, increasing to 1.25% for 2017, 1.875% for 2018 and 2.50% for 2019 and thereafter. Basel III raises the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0% (which, with the capital conservation buffer, effectively results in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% when fully phased-in), effectively results in a minimum total capital to risk-weighted assets of 10.5% (with the capital conservation buffer fully phased-in), and requires a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%. Basel III also makes changes to risk weights for certain assets and off-balance-sheet exposures. Management expects that the capital ratios for the Company and the Bank under Basel III will continue to exceed the well capitalized minimum capital requirements.

NOTE 12 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, actual capital levels and minimum required levels were (dollars in thousands):

	Actual Amount Ratio			Minimum Required For Capital Adequacy Purposes Amount Ratio			To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Regulations Amount Ratio			
N 1 21 2016		Amount	Ratio	F	Amount	Ratio	_	Amount	Ratio	
March 31, 2016										
CET1 capital (to risk weighted assets)	¢.	154.260	11.00/	¢.	(2.279	4.50/		NT/A	NT/A	
Consolidated	\$	154,260	11.0%	\$	63,378	4.5%		N/A	N/A	
Bank		188,872	13.4		63,370	4.5	\$	91,535	6.5%	
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)		104.260	12.0		04.504			NT/A	31/4	
Consolidated		194,260	13.8		84,504	6.0		N/A	N/A	
Bank		188,872	13.4		84,494	6.0		112,658	8.0	
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)		211 206	15.0		110 (50	0.0		37/4	37/4	
Consolidated		211,396	15.0		112,672	8.0		N/A	N/A	
Bank		206,008	14.6		112,658	8.0		140,823	10.0	
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)										
Consolidated		194,260	11.7		66,489	4.0		N/A	N/A	
Bank		188,872	11.4		66,412	4.0		83,015	5.0	
December 31, 2015 CET1 capital (to risk weighted assets)										
Consolidated	\$	151,630	10.8%	\$	63,479	4.5%		N/A	N/A	
Bank		186,930	13.2		63,463	4.5	\$	91,668	6.5%	
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)										
Consolidated		191,630	13.6		84,638	6.0		N/A	N/A	
Bank		186,930	13.2		84,617	6.0		112,822	8.0	
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)										
Consolidated		208,711	14.8		112,851	8.0		N/A	N/A	
Bank		203,471	14.4		112,822	8.0		141,028	10.0	
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)										
Consolidated		191,630	11.5		66,400	4.0		N/A	N/A	
Bank		186,930	11.2		66,332	4.0		82,915	5.0	

Approximately \$40.0 million of trust preferred securities outstanding at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, qualified as Tier 1 capital. Refer to our 2015 Form 10-K for more information on the trust preferred securities.

The Bank was categorized as "well capitalized" at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Macatawa Bank Corporation is a Michigan corporation and a registered bank holding company. It wholly-owns Macatawa Bank, Macatawa Statutory Trust I and Macatawa Statutory Trust II. Macatawa Bank is a Michigan chartered bank with depository accounts insured by the FDIC. The Bank operates twenty-six branch offices and a lending and operational service facility, providing a full range of commercial and consumer banking and trust services in Kent County, Ottawa County, and northern Allegan County, Michigan. Macatawa Statutory Trusts I and II are grantor trusts and issued \$20.0 million each of pooled trust preferred securities. These trusts are not consolidated in our Consolidated Financial Statements. For further information regarding consolidation, see the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

At March 31, 2016, we had total assets of \$1.64 billion, total loans of \$1.22 billion, total deposits of \$1.34 billion and shareholders' equity of \$155.2 million. During the first quarter of 2016, we recognized net income of \$3.5 million compared to net income of \$2.8 million in the first quarter of 2015. The Bank was categorized as "well capitalized" under regulatory capital standards at March 31, 2016.

After a hiatus of over five years, we paid a dividend of \$0.02 per share in each quarter in 2014 and in the first quarter of 2015, increasing to \$0.03 per share in the second through fourth quarters of 2015 and the first quarter of 2016.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Summary: Net income for the quarter ended March 31, 2016 was \$3.5 million, compared to net income of \$2.8 million in the first quarter of 2015. Net income per common share on a diluted basis was \$0.10 for the first quarter of 2016 and \$0.08 for the first quarter of 2015.

The increase in earnings in the first quarter of 2016 compared to the first quarter of 2015 was due primarily to increased net interest income, earnings on bank owned life insurance and reduced nonperforming asset expenses, partially offset by reduced level of mortgage loan sale gains and a reduced negative provision for loan losses. Net interest income increased to \$11.7 million in the first quarter of 2016 compared to \$10.7 million in the same period in 2015. Bank owned life insurance income increased to \$444,000 in the first quarter of 2016 compared to \$163,000 in the first quarter of 2015. The provision for loan losses was a negative \$100,000 for the first quarter of 2016, compared to a negative \$1.0 million for the first quarter of 2015. We realized a lower level of income from gains on sales of residential mortgages, which decreased from \$723,000 in the first quarter of 2015 to \$487,000 in the first quarter of 2016. Nonperforming asset expenses (including administration costs and losses) were \$411,000 for the first quarter of 2016 compared to \$827,000 for the first quarter of 2015, primarily as a result of a decrease of \$10.9 million in other real estate owned. We again were in a net loan recovery position for the first quarter of 2016, with \$148,000 in net loan recoveries, compared to \$718,000 in net loan recoveries in the first quarter of 2015. Lost interest from elevated levels of nonperforming assets was approximately \$197,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to \$415,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015. Each of these items is discussed more fully below.

Net Interest Income: Net interest income totaled \$11.7 million for the first quarter of 2016 and \$10.7 million for the first quarter of 2015.

Net interest income was positively impacted in the first quarter of 2016 by an increase in average earning assets of \$123.5 million compared to the first quarter of 2015. Our average yield on earning assets for the first quarter of 2016 decreased 4 basis points compared to the same period in 2015 from 3.46% to 3.42 %. Average interest earning assets totaled \$1.54 billion for the first quarter of 2016 compared to \$1.42 billion for the first quarter of 2015. The net interest margin was 3.09% for the first quarter of 2016 compared to 3.07% for the first quarter of 2015. An increase of \$23.7 million in average securities between periods and an increase of \$82.3 million in loans mitigated the impact of reduction in average loan yield from 3.91% in the first quarter of 2015 to 3.87% in the first quarter of 2016. The late 2015 increase in federal funds rate had a net positive impact on our net interest margin position as more loans repriced at the higher rate than our funding sources.

Margin continued to be dampened by the impact of our elevated levels of nonperforming assets, including other real estate owned. However as we continue to further reduce these levels, our margin is expected to continue to benefit. We are encouraged by the increase in higher yielding average earning assets in the first quarter of 2016 and expect these balances to continue to increase in 2016, which should positively affect net interest income.

The cost of funds decreased 6 basis points to 0.47% in the first quarter of 2016 from 0.53% in the same period in 2015. A decrease in the rates paid on our savings and money market accounts in response to declining market rates within the current rate environment caused a reduction in our cost of funds. Also contributing to the reduction was a shift in our deposit mix from higher costing time deposits to lower costing demand and savings accounts. Partially offsetting this was the full quarter effect of a \$10.0 million FHLB advance taken out on March 27, 2015.

The following table shows an analysis of net interest margin for the three month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in thousands):

]	For the three months en	ded March 31,			
				2016		,		2015	
	_	Average Balance		Interest Earned or Paid	Average Yield or Cost	Average Balance		Interest Earned or Paid	Average Yield or Cost
Assets									
Taxable securities	\$	129,541	\$	550	1.69% \$	119,711	\$	501	1.67%
Tax-exempt securities (1)		84,635		433	3.20	70,770		344	3.14
Commercial loans (2)		891,238		8,892	3.95	818,201		8,147	3.98
Residential mortgage loans		213,063		1,884	3.54	195,680		1,771	3.62
Consumer loans		99,667		980	3.95	108,012		1,045	3.92
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		11,558		124	4.24	11,238		117	4.15
Federal funds sold and other short-term									
investments		109,464		145	0.53	92,031		86	0.38
Total interest earning assets (1)		1,539,166		13,008	3.42	1,415,643		12,011	3.46
Noninterest earning assets:									
Cash and due from banks		25,031				24,269			
Other		99,393				110,465			
Total assets	\$	1,663,590			\$	1,550,377			
<u>Liabilities</u> Deposits:									
Interest bearing demand	\$	334,726	\$	85	0.10% \$	324,848	\$	97	0.12%
Savings and money market accounts		513,243		238	0.19	464,359		216	0.19
Time deposits		90,278		140	0.62	121,119		306	1.02
Borrowings:									
Other borrowed funds		95,921		442	1.83	88,437		415	1.88
Long-term debt		41,238		365	3.51	41,238		325	3.16
Total interest bearing liabilities		1,075,406		1,270	0.47	1,040,001		1,359	0.53
Noninterest bearing liabilities:									
Noninterest bearing demand accounts		427,634				360,901			
Other noninterest bearing liabilities		6,306				5,413			
Shareholders' equity		154,244				144,062			
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	1,663,590			\$	1,550,377			
Net interest income			_				_		
The merest meone			\$	11,738			\$	10,652	
Net interest spread (1)					2.95%				2.93%
Net interest margin (1)					3.09%				3.07%
Ratio of average interest earning assets to					2.05 /0				2.3770
average interest bearing liabilities		143.12%	, O			136.12%	ó		

⁽¹⁾ Yield adjusted to fully tax equivalent.

⁽²⁾ Includes average nonaccrual loans of approximately \$426,000 and \$11.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015.

Provision for Loan Losses: The provision for loan losses for the first quarter of 2016 was a negative \$100,000 compared to a negative \$1.0 million for the first quarter of 2015. The negative provision for loan losses for both periods was caused by stabilizing real estate values on problem credits, continued improvement in asset quality metrics and net loan recoveries of \$148,000 in the first quarter of 2016 and \$718,000 in the first quarter of 2015. At March 31, 2016, we had experienced net loan recoveries in ten of the past twelve quarters, and in each of the past five quarters.

Gross loan recoveries were \$224,000 for the first quarter of 2016 and \$796,000 for the same period in 2015. In the first quarter of 2016, we had \$76,000 in charge-offs, compared to \$78,000 in the first quarter of 2015. We continue to experience positive results from our collection efforts as evidenced by our net loan recoveries. While we expect our collection efforts to produce further recoveries, they may not continue at the same level we have experienced the past several quarters.

We have also experienced a decline in the pace of commercial loans migrating to a worse loan grade, which receive higher allocations in our loan loss reserve, as more fully discussed under the heading "Allowance for Loan Losses" below. In addition to experiencing fewer downgrades of credits, we continue to see an increase in the quality of some credits resulting in an improved loan grade. Over the past two years, we have experienced improvements in our overall weighted average loan grade. We believe efforts that began in late 2009 and in early 2010 to improve loan administration and loan risk management practices as well as improving market conditions have had a significant impact, ultimately allowing for the reduction in the level of the allowance for loan losses since then.

The amounts of loan loss provision in both the most recent quarter and comparable prior year period were the result of establishing our allowance for loan losses at levels believed necessary based upon our methodology for determining the adequacy of the allowance. The sustained lower level of quarterly net charge-offs over the past several quarters had a significant effect on the historical loss component of our methodology. More information about our allowance for loan losses and our methodology for establishing its level may be found under the heading "Allowance for Loan Losses" below.

Noninterest Income: Noninterest income for the three month period ended March 31, 2016 increased to \$4.6 million compared to \$4.3 million for the same period in 2015. The components of noninterest income are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Th	Three Months		hree Months
		Ended		Ended
	1	March 31,		March 31,
		2016		2015
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	\$	1,047	\$	1,001
Net gains on mortgage loans		487		723
Trust fees		708		734
Gain as sales of securities		89		83
ATM and debit card fees		1,186		1,110
Bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") income		444		163
Investment services fees		304		283
Other income		343		198
Total noninterest income	\$	4,608	\$	4,295

Net gains on mortgage loans were down \$236,000 in the first quarter of 2016 compared to the first quarter of 2015 as a result of our strategic decision to retain a higher percentage of current production in portfolio and partially due to an overall lower level of volume. The mortgage loans retained in portfolio are typically loans that conform to secondary market requirements and have a term of fifteen years or less. Mortgage loans originated for sale in the first quarter of 2016 were \$18.9 million, compared to \$23.4 million in the first quarter of 2015. Mortgage loans originated for portfolio in the first quarter of 2016 were \$14.1 million, compared to \$18.0 million in the first quarter of 2015. BOLI income was up \$281,000 in the first quarter of 2016 due to distribution of a death claim on a covered former employee. Trust fees and investment service fees were down in the first quarter of 2016 due to investment market volatility in the quarter, particularly at the beginning of the year.

Noninterest Expense: Noninterest expense decreased to \$11.6 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2016, from \$11.9 million for the same period in 2015. The components of noninterest expense are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
		arch 31,	March 31, 2015	
		2016		
Salaries and benefits	\$	6,187	\$	6,182
Occupancy of premises		982		972
Furniture and equipment		865		783
Legal and professional		159		239
Marketing and promotion		275		305
Data processing		659		577
FDIC assessment		251		281
Interchange and other card expense		286		276
Bond and D&O insurance		132		145
Administration and disposition of problem assets		411		827
Outside services		370		394
Other noninterest expense		974		881
Total noninterest expense	\$	11,551	\$	11,862

Many categories of noninterest expense had reductions compared to the first quarter of 2015 due to our ongoing efforts to manage expenses and scale our operations. Our largest component of noninterest expense, salaries and benefits, increased slightly in the first quarter of 2016 from the first quarter of 2015. This increase is largely due to a higher level of costs associated with medical insurance, which was up \$100,000 compared to the first quarter of 2015. We had 338 full-time equivalent employees at March 31, 2016 compared to 351 at March 31, 2015.

Costs associated with administration and disposition of problem assets remain elevated, but have decreased significantly over the past few years. These expenses include legal costs, repossessed and foreclosed property administration expense and losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties. Repossessed and foreclosed property administration expense includes survey and appraisal, property maintenance and management and other disposition and carrying costs. Losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties include both net gains and losses on the sale of properties and unrealized losses from value declines for outstanding properties. We experienced decreases in two of these three expense categories in the first quarter of 2016 compared to the same period in the prior year.

These costs are itemized in the following table (in thousands):

	Three	Three Months		ree Months	
	E	Ended		Ended	
	Ma	March 31,		March 31,	
	2	2016		2015	
Legal and professional – nonperforming assets	\$	71	\$	89	
Repossessed and foreclosed property administration		304		287	
Net losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties		36		451	
Total	\$	411	\$	827	

While the overall costs associated with nonperforming assets remain elevated in the first quarter of 2016, they are down significantly from recent year levels and from the prior year. These costs were driven by valuation writedowns and losses on sales of individual properties. We are encouraged that the overall holding costs continue to decline as we continue to decrease the level of our other real estate owned. Other real estate owned decreased from \$27.0 million at March 31, 2015 to \$16.2 million at March 31, 2016. As our level of problem loans and other real estate owned decreases, we believe we will experience more reductions in these costs going forward.

Losses on repossessed assets and foreclosed properties for the three month period ended March 31, 2016, decreased \$415,000 from the same period in 2015. This decrease was primarily due to a lower level of writedowns of other real estate properties in the first quarter of 2016. In the first quarter of 2015, such writedowns totaled \$519,000, compared to \$128,000 in the first quarter of 2016. Also contributing to the decrease was an increase of \$25,000 in net gains on sales of other real estate owned. In the first quarter of 2015, we recognized net gains totaling \$67,000 on such sales, compared to \$92,000 in the first quarter of 2016.

Federal Income Tax Expense: We recorded \$1,400,000 in federal income tax expense for the three month period ended March 31, 2016 compared to \$1,245,000 in the same period in 2015. At December 31, 2012 and since that time, we have concluded that a valuation allowance on our deferred tax asset was not required. As a result, the financial results for the first quarter of 2016 reflect federal income tax expense, at an effective tax rate of 28.61% compared to 30.48% for first quarter of 2015. The effective tax rate decreased in the first quarter of 2016 due to the elevated level of earnings on bank owned life insurance resulting from payment of a death benefit.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Summary: Since the economic recession in 2008 and 2009, we had been focused on improving our loan portfolio, reducing exposure in higher loan concentration types, building our investment portfolio, and improving our financial condition through diversification of credit risk, improved capital ratios, and reduced reliance on non-core funding. We experienced positive results in each of these areas over the past several years. With the success in strengthening our financial condition, we have turned our focus more recently to achieving high quality loan portfolio growth.

Total assets were \$1.640 billion at March 31, 2016, a decrease of \$89.7 million from \$1.730 billion at December 31, 2015. This change reflected increases of \$18.3 million in our loan portfolio and \$1.2 million in securities available for sale, offset by decreases of \$86.9 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$1.4 million in other real estate owned. Total deposits decreased by \$94.5 million and other borrowed funds decreased by \$1.3 million at March 31, 2016 compared to December 31, 2015.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Our cash and cash equivalents, which include federal funds sold and short-term investments, were \$94.6 million at March 31, 2016 compared to \$181.5 million at December 31, 2015. The \$86.9 million decrease was primarily the result of a lower level of deposit balances at March 31, 2016 by some of our larger commercial customers. We expect declines in our balances of short term investments as loan demand continues to increase and seasonal deposits return to more normal levels.

Interest-bearing Time Deposits with Other Financial Institutions: We opened a time deposit account with our primary correspondent bank totaling \$20.0 million in the first quarter of 2014 which matured in February 2016. This time deposit provided a higher interest rate than federal funds sold or other short-term investments.

Securities: Securities available for sale were \$168.0 million at March 31, 2016 compared to \$166.8 million at December 31, 2015. The balance at March 31, 2016 primarily consisted of U.S. agency securities, agency mortgage backed securities and various municipal investments. Our held to maturity portfolio decreased from \$51.9 million at December 31, 2015 to \$51.3 million at March 31, 2016. Our held to maturity portfolio is comprised of state and municipal bonds.

Portfolio Loans and Asset Quality: Total portfolio loans increased by \$18.3 million in the first quarter of 2016 and were \$1.22 billion at March 31, 2016 compared to \$1.20 billion at December 31, 2015. During the first quarter of 2016, our commercial portfolio increased by \$19.4 million, while our consumer portfolio decreased by \$5.2 million and our residential mortgage portfolio increased by \$4.1 million. We have been focusing efforts to increase our consumer and residential mortgage portfolio segments to further diversify our credit risk.

The volume of residential mortgage loans originated for sale in the first quarter of 2016 decreased \$4.5 compared to the same period in 2015 due to the mortgage rate environment and our decision to hold a higher percentage of current production in portfolio. Residential mortgage loans originated for sale were \$18.9 million in the first quarter of 2016 compared to \$23.4 million in the first quarter of 2015. Mortgage loans originated for portfolio in the first quarter of 2016 were \$14.1 million, compared to \$18.0 million in the first quarter of 2015.

Our commercial loan portfolio balances declined in recent years leading up to 2014 reflecting the continued soft economic conditions in west Michigan and our interest in improving the quality of our loan portfolio through reducing our exposure to these generally higher credit risk assets. We focused our efforts on reducing our exposure to residential land development loans, diversifying our commercial loan portfolio and improving asset quality. We believe our loan portfolio has stabilized and we experienced year over year growth in commercial loan balances for the first time in many years in 2014, increasing \$71.8 million from December 31, 2013 and increasing another \$67.8 million in 2015. We built on this further in the first quarter of 2016, with growth of \$19.4 million in our commercial loan portfolio. This first quarter growth took place in our commercial and industrial portfolio, which grew by \$11.3 million and in our commercial real estate loans, which grew by \$8.1 million in the same period. We plan to continue measured, high quality loan portfolio growth throughout 2016.

Commercial and commercial real estate loans remained our largest loan segment and accounted for approximately 74% of the total loan portfolio at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015. Residential mortgage and consumer loans comprised approximately 26% of total loans at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

A further breakdown of the composition of the loan portfolio is shown in the table below (in thousands):

	March 3	31, 2016	December 31, 2015			
	Balance	Percent of Total Loans	Balance	Percent of Total Loans		
Commercial real estate: (1)	·					
Residential developed	\$ 13,141	1.1% \$	5 10,448	0.9%		
Unsecured to residential developers	7,491	0.6	7,372	0.6		
Vacant and unimproved	45,429	3.7	42,881	3.6		
Commercial development	658	0.1	559			
Residential improved	68,858	5.7	67,922	5.7		
Commercial improved	294,392	24.2	289,651	24.2		
Manufacturing and industrial	86,747	7.1	89,839	7.5		
Total commercial real estate	516,716	42.5	508,672	42.5		
Commercial and industrial	388,625	32.0%	377,298	31.5%		
Total commercial	905,341	74.5	885,970	74.0		
Consumer						
Residential mortgage	214,104	17.6	209,972	17.5		
Unsecured	577		637	0.1		
Home equity	87,874	7.2	92,716	7.7		
Other secured	8,288	0.7	8,637	0.7		
Total consumer	310,843	25.5	311,962	26.0		
Total loans	\$ 1,216,184	100.0%	1,197,932	100.0%		

(1) Includes both owner occupied and non-owner occupied commercial real estate.

Commercial real estate loans accounted for approximately 42% of the total loan portfolio at March 31, 2016 and consisted primarily of loans to business owners and developers of owner and non-owner occupied commercial properties and loans to developers of single and multi-family residential properties. In the table above, we show our commercial real estate portfolio by loans secured by residential and commercial real estate, and by stage of development. Improved loans are generally secured by properties that are under construction or completed and placed in use. Development loans are secured by properties that are in the process of development or fully developed. Vacant and unimproved loans are secured by raw land for which development has not yet begun and agricultural land.

Total commercial real estate loans increased \$8.0 million since December 31, 2015. Our commercial and industrial loan portfolio increased by \$11.3 million to \$388.6 million at March 31, 2016 and represented 32% of our commercial portfolio.

Our consumer residential mortgage loan portfolio, which also includes residential construction loans made to individual homeowners, comprised approximately 18% of portfolio loans at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015. We expect to continue to retain in our loan portfolio certain types of residential mortgage loans (primarily high quality, low loan to value loans) in an effort to continue to diversify our credit risk and deploy our excess liquidity. A large portion of our residential mortgage loan production continues to be sold on the secondary market with servicing released.

The volume of residential mortgage loans originated for sale during the first quarter of 2016 decreased from the first quarter of 2015 as a result of interest rate conditions and our decision to increase the percentage of current production to be held in portfolio. We are also experiencing a shift in production to financing new home purchases versus refinancings. We have not yet had to repurchase any residential mortgage loans sold to historical purchasers; however, due to market conditions many banks are being required to repurchase loans resulting from actual or alleged failure to strictly conform to the investor's purchase criteria.

Our portfolio of other consumer loans includes loans secured by personal property and home equity fixed term and line of credit loans. Consumer loans decreased by \$5.2 million to \$96.7 million at March 31, 2016 from \$102.0 million at December 31, 2015, due primarily to a decrease in home equity loans. Consumer loans comprised approximately 8% of our portfolio loans at March 31, 2016 and 9% at December 31, 2015.

The following table shows our loan origination activity for portfolio loans during the first three months of 2016 and 2015, broken out by loan type and also shows average originated loan size (dollars in thousands):

	 Three Mo	onths Ended March 3	1, 2016	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015					
	ortfolio ginations	Percent of Total Originations	Average Loan Siz		Portfolio Originations	Percent of Total Originations	Average Loan Size		
Commercial real estate:	 8				5118	8			
Residential developed	\$ 5,227	6.8%	\$	871	\$ 484	0.7%	\$ 97		
Unsecured to residential developers									
Vacant and unimproved					1,051	1.6	175		
Commercial development	2,200	2.9	2	2,200					
Residential improved	28,113	36.8		541	12,414	19.3	226		
Commercial improved	9,802	12.8		700	6,573	10.2	299		
Manufacturing and industrial	 778	1.0		389	1,584	2.5	317		
Total commercial real estate	 46,120	60.3		615	22,106	34.3	238		
Commercial and industrial	8,553	11.2		231	16,743	26.0	266		
Total commercial	54,673	71.5		488	38,849	60.3	249		
Consumer									
Residential mortgage	14,086	18.4		181	17,748	27.5	183		
Unsecured	12			12	28		7		
Home equity	7,155	9.4		86	5,959	9.3	67		
Other secured	 525	0.7		21	1,847	2.9	39		
Total consumer	21,778	28.5		116	25,582	39.7	108		
Total loans	\$ 76,451	100.0%		255	\$ 64,431	100.0%	164		

The following table shows a breakout of our commercial loan activity during the first three months of 2016 and 2015 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months		Three Months		
	Ended		Ended		
	March 31,		March 31,		
	2016		2015		
Commercial loans originated	\$ 54,673	\$	38,849		
Repayments of commercial loans	(43,347)	(40,378)		
Change in undistributed - available credit	8,045		12,926		
Commercial and business lending	\$ 19,371	\$	11,397		

Our loan portfolio is reviewed regularly by our senior management, our loan officers, and an internal loan review team that is independent of our loan originators and credit administration. An administrative loan committee consisting of senior management and seasoned lending and collections personnel meets monthly to manage our internal watch list and proactively manage high risk loans.

When reasonable doubt exists concerning collectability of interest or principal of one of our loans, the loan is placed in nonaccrual status. Any interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed and charged against current earnings.

Nonperforming assets are comprised of nonperforming loans, foreclosed assets and repossessed assets. At March 31, 2016, nonperforming assets totaled \$16.6 million compared to \$18.3 million at December 31, 2015. Additions to other real estate owned in the first quarter of 2016 were \$102,000, compared to \$699,000 in the first quarter of 2015. At March 31, 2016, there were no loans in redemption, so we expect there to be fewer additions to other real estate owned in 2016 than there were in 2015. Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties were \$1.5 million in the first quarter of 2016, resulting in a net realized gain on sale of \$92,000. Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties were \$1.5 million in the first quarter of 2015 resulting in a net realized gain on sale of \$67,000.

We expect the level of sales of foreclosed properties to be higher in the second quarter of 2016 than experienced in the first quarter of 2016.

Nonperforming loans include loans on nonaccrual status and loans delinquent more than 90 days but still accruing. As of March 31, 2016, nonperforming loans totaled \$427,000, or 0.04% of total portfolio loans, compared to \$756,000, or 0.06% of total portfolio loans, at December 31, 2015.

Nonperforming loans at March 31, 2016 consisted of \$343,000 of commercial real estate loans secured by various types of non-residential real estate, \$48,000 of commercial and industrial loans, and \$36,000 of consumer and residential mortgage loans.

Foreclosed and repossessed assets include assets acquired in settlement of loans. Foreclosed assets totaled \$16.2 million at March 31, 2016 and \$17.6 million at December 31, 2015. Of this balance at March 31, 2016, there were 47 commercial real estate properties totaling approximately \$15.6 million. The remaining balance was comprised of eight residential properties totaling approximately \$572,000. One commercial real estate property comprised \$4.0 million, or 24% of total other real estate owned at March 31, 2016. All properties acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure are initially transferred at their fair value less estimated costs to sell and then evaluated monthly for impairment after transfer using a lower of cost or market approach. Updated property valuations are obtained at least annually on all foreclosed assets.

At March 31, 2016, our foreclosed asset portfolio had a weighted average age held in portfolio of 4.32 years. Below is a breakout of our foreclosed asset portfolio at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 by property type and the percentages the property has been written down since taken into our possession and the combined writedown percentage, including losses taken when the property was loan collateral (dollars in thousands):

			March 31, 2016		December 31, 2015					
Foreclosed Asset Property Type	(Carrying Value	Foreclosed Asset Writedown	Combined Writedown (Loan and Foreclosed Asset)	Carrying Value at Carrying Value	Foreclosed Asset Writedown	Combined Writedown (Loan and Foreclosed Asset)			
Single Family	\$	297	%	13.0%	\$ 736	%	13.2%			
Residential Lot		275	34.6	59.7	277	34.0	59.4			
Multi-Family										
Vacant Land		3,846	44.6	55.8	3,887	44.0	55.4			
Residential Development		3,711	30.5	69.8	3,859	28.7	68.7			
Commercial Office		962	26.6	45.4	1,002	25.4	44.1			
Commercial Industrial										
Commercial Improved		7,071	41.3	44.2	7,811	40.1	44.1			
	\$	16,162	38.7	55.6	\$ 17,572	37.0	54.2			

The following table shows the composition and amount of our nonperforming assets (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2016	December 31, 2015
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 396	\$ 739
Loans 90 days or more delinquent and still accruing	31	17
Total nonperforming loans (NPLs)	427	756
Foreclosed assets	16,162	17,572
Repossessed assets		
Total nonperforming assets (NPAs)	16,589	18,328
NPLs to total loans	0.04%	0.06%
NPAs to total assets	1.01%	1.06%

The following table shows the composition and amount of our troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

		March 31, 2016							December 31, 2015			
	Cor	nmercial	С	onsumer		Total	C	ommercial	C	onsumer		Total
Performing TDRs	\$	24,343	\$	13,376	\$	37,719	\$	24,932	\$	13,543	\$	38,475
Nonperforming TDRs (1)		311		9		320		550		27		577
Total TDRs	\$	24,654	\$	13,385	\$	38,039	\$	25,482	\$	13,570	\$	39,052

(1) Included in nonperforming asset table above

We had a total of \$38.0 million and \$39.1 million of loans whose terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. These loans may have involved the restructuring of terms to allow customers to mitigate the risk of foreclosure by meeting a lower loan payment requirement based upon their current cash flow. These may also include loans that renewed at existing contractual rates, but below market rates for comparable credit. For each restructuring, a comprehensive credit underwriting analysis of the borrower's financial condition and prospects of repayment under the revised terms is performed to assess whether the structure can be successful and that cash flows will be sufficient to support the restructured debt. An analysis is also performed to determine whether the restructured loan should be on accrual status. Generally, if the loan is on accrual at the time of restructure, it will remain on accrual after the restructuring. In some cases, a nonaccrual loan may be placed on accrual at restructuring if the loan's actual payment history demonstrates it would have cash flowed under the restructured terms. After six consecutive payments under the restructured terms, a nonaccrual restructured loan is reviewed for possible upgrade to accruing status. In situations where there is a subsequent modification or renewal and the loan is brought to market terms, including a contractual interest rate not less than a market interest rate for new debt with similar credit risk characteristics, the TDR and impaired designations may be removed.

As with other impaired loans, an allowance for loan loss is estimated for each TDR based on the most likely source of repayment for each loan. For impaired commercial real estate loans that are collateral dependent, the allowance is computed based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, less estimated costs to sell. For impaired commercial loans where repayment is expected from cash flows from business operations, the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation. Certain groups of TDRs, such as residential mortgages, have common characteristics and for them the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation on the change in weighted rate for the pool. The allowance allocations for commercial TDRs where we have reduced the contractual interest rate are computed by measuring cash flows using the new payment terms discounted at the original contractual rate.

Allowance for loan losses: The allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was \$17.1 million. The balance of the allowance for loan losses represented 1.41% of total portfolio loans at March 31, 2016 compared to 1.43% of total portfolio loans at December 31, 2015. The allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loan coverage ratio increased from 2259.39% at December 31, 2015 to 4011.48% at March 31, 2016.

The table below shows the changes in these metrics over the past five quarters:

(in williams)	Quarter Ended March 31, 2016		•	ecember 31,	 Quarter Ended September 30,		Quarter Ended June 30,		Quarter Ended March 31,
(in millions)		2016		2015	 2015		2015		2015
Commercial loans	\$	905.3	\$	886.0	\$ 882.1	\$	824.6	\$	829.6
Nonperforming loans		0.4		0.8	4.2		3.7		9.8
Other real estate owned and repo assets		16.2		17.6	25.7		26.3		27.1
Total nonperforming assets		16.6		18.3	29.9		30.0		36.9
Net charge-offs (recoveries)		(0.1)		(1.6)	(0.3)				(0.7)
Total delinquencies		0.8		1.4	2.9		1.9		2.5

Nonperforming loans continually declined since the first quarter of 2010 to \$427,000 at March 31, 2016. As discussed earlier, we have had net loan recoveries in several quarters over the last two years and in each of the last five quarters. Our total delinquencies have continued to stabilize and were \$796,000 at March 31, 2016 and \$1.4 million at December 31, 2015. Our delinquency percentage at March 31, 2016 was 0.07%, a new quarterly low in Company history and well below the Bank's peers.

These factors all impact our necessary level of allowance for loan losses and our provision for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses increased \$78,000 in the first quarter of 2016. We recorded a negative provision for loan losses of \$100,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to a negative \$1.0 million for the same period of 2015. Net loan recoveries were \$148,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2016, compared to net recoveries of \$718,000 for the same period in 2015. The ratio of net charge-offs to average loans was (0.05)% on an annualized basis for the first quarter of 2016, compared to (0.21%) for the fourth quarter of 2015 and (0.26)% for the first quarter of 2015.

We are encouraged by the reduced level of charge-offs over recent quarters. We do, however, recognize that future charge-offs and resulting provisions for loan losses are expected to be impacted by the timing and extent of changes in the overall economy and the real estate markets. We believe we have seen some stabilization in economic conditions and real estate markets. However, we expect it to take additional time for sustained improvement in the economy and real estate markets in order to further reduce our impaired loans.

Our allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level believed appropriate based upon our monthly assessment of the probable estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Our methodology for measuring the appropriate level of allowance and related provision for loan losses relies on several key elements, which include specific allowances for loans considered impaired, general allowance for commercial loans not considered impaired based upon applying our loan rating system, and general allocations based on historical trends for homogeneous loan groups with similar risk characteristics.

Overall, impaired loans were stable at \$37.6 million at March 31, 2016 compared to \$38.8 million at December 31, 2015. The specific allowance for impaired loans decreased \$59,000 to \$1.88 million, compared to \$1.94 million at December 31, 2015. The specific allowance for impaired loans represented 5.0% of total impaired loans at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015. The overall balance of impaired loans remained elevated partially due to an accounting rule (ASU 2011-02) adopted in 2011 that requires us to identify classified loans that renew at existing contractual rates as troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") if the contractual rate is less than market rates for similar loans at the time of renewal.

The general allowance allocated to commercial loans that were not considered to be impaired was based upon the internal risk grade of such loans. We use a loan rating method based upon an eight point system. Loans are stratified between real estate secured and non real estate secured. The real estate secured portfolio is further stratified by the type of real estate. Each stratified portfolio is assigned a loss allocation factor. A higher numerical grade assigned to a loan category generally results in a greater allocation percentage. Changes in risk grade of loans affect the amount of the allowance allocation.

The determination of our loss factors is based upon our actual loss history by loan grade and adjusted for significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the analysis date. We use a rolling 18 month actual net chargeoff history as the base for our computation. Over the past two years, the 18 month period computations have reflected sizeable decreases in net chargeoff experience. We addressed this volatility in the qualitative factor considerations applied in our allowance for loan losses computation. Adjustments to the qualitative factors also involved consideration of different loss periods for the Bank, including 12, 24, 36 and 48 month periods. Considering the change in our qualitative factors and our commercial loan portfolio balances, the general allowance allocated to commercial loans increased to \$12.2 million at March 31, 2016 compared to \$12.2 million at December 31, 2015. This resulted in a general reserve percentage allocated at March 31, 2016 of 1.40% of commercial loans, a slight decrease from 1.41% at December 31, 2015. The qualitative component of our allowance allocated to commercial loans was \$12.3 million at March 31, 2016 (up from \$12.2 million at December 31, 2015).

Groups of homogeneous loans, such as residential real estate and open- and closed-end consumer loans, receive allowance allocations based on loan type. A rolling 12 month (four quarter) historical loss experience period was applied to residential mortgage and consumer loan portfolios. As with commercial loans that are not considered impaired, the determination of the allowance allocation percentage is based principally on our historical loss experience. These allocations are adjusted for consideration of general economic and business conditions, credit quality and delinquency trends, collateral values, and recent loss experience for these similar pools of loans. The homogeneous loan allowance was \$2.9 million at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

The allowance allocations are not intended to imply limitations on usage of the allowance for loan losses. The entire allowance for loan losses is available for any loan losses without regard to loan type.

Premises and Equipment: Premises and equipment totaled \$50.9 million at March 31, 2016, down \$512,000 from \$51.5 million at December 31, 2015 as depreciation more than offset capital additions of current facilities and equipment during the first quarter of 2016.

Deposits and Other Borrowings: Total deposits decreased \$94.7 million to \$1.34 billion at March 31, 2016, as compared to \$1.44 billion at December 31, 2015. Non-interest checking account balances decreased \$52.7 million during the first quarter of 2016. Interest bearing demand account balances decreased \$38.1 million and savings and money market account balances decreased \$1.6 million in the first quarter of 2016. We also decreased higher costing certificates of deposits by \$2.3 million in the first quarter of 2016. Most of the decrease in demand deposits and money market deposits in the first quarter of 2016 was due to the seasonal year end increase in deposits from many of our municipal and business customers. We believe our success in maintaining the balances of personal and business checking and savings accounts was primarily attributable to our focus on quality customer service, the desire of customers to deal with a local bank, the convenience of our maturing branch network and the breadth and depth of our sophisticated product line.

Noninterest bearing demand accounts comprised 32% of total deposits at March 31, 2016 and 33% at December 31, 2015. These balances typically increase at year end for many of our commercial customers, then decline in the first quarter. Because of the generally low rates paid on interest bearing account alternatives, many of our business customers chose to keep their balances in these more liquid noninterest bearing demand account types. Interest bearing demand, including money market and savings accounts, comprised 62% of total deposits at March 31, 2016 and 61% at December 31, 2015. Time accounts as a percentage of total deposits were 6% at March 31, 2016 and 6% at December 31, 2015.

Borrowed funds totaled \$136.1 million at March 31, 2016, including \$94.8 million of Federal Home Loan Bank advances and \$41.2 million in long-term debt associated with trust preferred securities. Borrowed funds totaled \$137.4 million at December 31, 2015, including \$96.2 million of Federal Home Loan Bank advances and \$41.2 million in long-term debt associated with trust preferred securities. Borrowed funds decreased by \$1.3 million in the first quarter of 2016 due to an annual payment on an amortizing Federal Home Loan Bank advance.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Total shareholders' equity of \$155.2 million at March 31, 2016 increased \$3.2 million from \$152.0 million at December 31, 2015. The increase was primarily a result of net income of \$3.5 million earned in the first quarter of 2016 and an increase of \$633,000 in accumulated other comprehensive income, partially offset by the payment of \$1.0 million in cash dividends to shareholders on February 26, 2016. The Bank was categorized as "well capitalized" at March 31, 2016.

In July 2013, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC approved the final rules implementing the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's capital guidelines for U.S. banks (commonly known as Basel III). Under the final rules, which began for the Company and the Bank on January 1, 2015 and are subject to a phase-in period through January 1, 2019, minimum requirements will increase for both the quantity and quality of capital held by the Company and the Bank. The rules include a new common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio (CET1 ratio) of 4.5% and a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets, which when fully phased-in, effectively results in a minimum CET1 ratio of 7.0%. Basel III raises the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0% (which, weighted assets ratio of 10.5% (with the capital conservation buffer, effectively results in a minimum total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 10.5% (with the capital conservation buffer fully phased-in), and requires a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%. Basel III also makes changes to risk weights for certain assets and off-balance-sheet exposures. We expect that the capital ratios for the Company and the Bank under Basel III will continue to exceed the well capitalized minimum capital requirements.

The following table shows our regulatory capital ratios (on a consolidated basis) for the past several quarters:

	March 31, 2016	Dec 31, 2015	Sept 30, 2015	June 30, 2015	March 31, 2015
Total capital to risk weighted assets	15.0%	14.8%	14.6%	15.1%	15.0%
Common Equity Tier 1 to risk weighted assets	11.1	10.8	10.5	10.9	10.7
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets	13.8	13.6	13.4	13.8	13.7
Tier 1 capital to average assets	11.7	11.5	11.3	11.7	11.9

Approximately \$40.0 million of trust preferred securities outstanding at March 31, 2016 qualified as Tier 1 capital.

LIQUIDITY

Liquidity of Macatawa Bank: The liquidity of a financial institution reflects its ability to manage a variety of sources and uses of funds. Our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows categorize these sources and uses into operating, investing and financing activities. We primarily focus on developing access to a variety of borrowing sources to supplement our deposit gathering activities and provide funds for our investment and loan portfolios. Our sources of liquidity include our borrowing capacity with the FRB's discount window, the Federal Home Loan Bank, federal funds purchased lines of credit and other secured borrowing sources with our correspondent banks, loan payments by our borrowers, maturity and sales of our securities available for sale, growth of our deposits, federal funds sold and other short-term investments, and the various capital resources discussed above.

Liquidity management involves the ability to meet the cash flow requirements of our customers. Our customers may be either borrowers with credit needs or depositors wanting to withdraw funds. Our liquidity management involves periodic monitoring of our assets considered to be liquid and illiquid, and our funding sources considered to be core and non-core and short-term (less than 12 months) and long-term. We have established parameters that monitor, among other items, our level of liquid assets to short-term liabilities, our level of non-core funding reliance and our level of available borrowing capacity. We maintain a diversified wholesale funding structure and actively manage our maturing wholesale sources to reduce the risk to liquidity shortages. We have also developed a contingency funding plan to stress test our liquidity requirements arising from certain events that may trigger liquidity shortages, such as rapid loan growth in excess of normal growth levels or the loss of deposits and other funding sources under extreme circumstances.

We have actively pursued initiatives to maintain a strong liquidity position. The Bank reduced its reliance on non-core funding sources, including brokered deposits, and focused on achieving a non-core funding dependency ratio below its peer group average. We have had no brokered deposits on our balance sheet since December 2011. We also reduced other borrowed funds by \$56.8 million in 2012, \$1.8 million in 2013 and \$1.9 million in 2014. We increased other borrowed funds by \$8.1 million in 2015. We continue to maintain significant on-balance sheet liquidity. At March 31, 2016, the Bank held \$72.1 million of federal funds sold and other short-term investments. In addition, the Bank has available borrowing capacity from correspondent banks of approximately \$249.6 million as of March 31, 2016.

In the normal course of business, we enter into certain contractual obligations, including obligations which are considered in our overall liquidity management. The table below summarizes our significant contractual obligations at March 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	Le	ess than					N	Iore than
		l year	1-3 years		3-5 years		5 years	
Long term debt	\$		\$		\$		\$	41,238
Time deposit maturities		58,451		21,940		4,512		
Other borrowed funds		22,055		62,785		10,000		
Operating lease obligations		230		459		299		
Total	\$	80,736	\$	85,184	\$	14,811	\$	41,238

In addition to normal loan funding, we also maintain liquidity to meet customer financing needs through unused lines of credit, unfunded loan commitments and standby letters of credit. The level and fluctuation of these commitments is also considered in our overall liquidity management. At March 31, 2016, we had a total of \$416.7 million in unused lines of credit, \$90.3 million in unfunded loan commitments and \$14.4 million in standby letters of credit.

Liquidity of Holding Company: The primary sources of liquidity for the Company are dividends from the Bank, existing cash resources and the capital markets if the need to raise additional capital arises. Banking regulations and the laws of the State of Michigan in which our Bank is chartered limit the amount of dividends the Bank may declare and pay to the Company in any calendar year. Under the state law limitations, the Bank is restricted from paying dividends to the Company in excess of retained earnings. In 2014, the Company resumed payment of quarterly cash dividends to Company shareholders. In 2014, the Bank paid dividends to the Company totaling \$4.1 million. In the same period, the Company paid dividends to its shareholders totaling \$5.1 million. In the same period, the Company paid dividends to the Company totaling \$5.1 million. In the same period, the Company paid dividends to its shareholders totaling \$3.7 million. The Company retained the remaining balance for general corporate purposes. On February 25, 2016, the Bank paid a dividend of \$1.5 million to the Company in anticipation of the common share cash dividend of \$0.03 per share paid on February 26, 2016 to shareholders of record on February 12, 2016. The cash distributed for this cash dividend payment totaled \$1.0 million. The Company retained the remaining balance for general corporate purposes.

At March 31, 2016, the Bank had a retained earnings balance of \$28.3 million.

During 2015 and 2014, the Company received payments from the Bank totaling \$3.2 million and \$4.0 million, representing the Bank's intercompany tax liability for the 2015 and 2014 tax years, respectively in accordance with the Company's tax allocation agreement.

The Company has the right to defer interest payments for 20 consecutive quarters on its trust preferred securities if necessary for liquidity purposes. During the deferral period, the Company may not declare or pay any dividends on its common stock or make any payment on any outstanding debt obligations that rank equally with or junior to the trust preferred securities.

The Company's cash balance at March 31, 2016 was \$4.8 million. The Company believes that it has sufficient liquidity to meet its cash flow obligations.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES:

To prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, other real estate owned valuation, loss contingencies and income taxes are deemed critical due to the required level of management judgment and the use of estimates, making them particularly subject to change.

Our methodology for determining the allowance for loan losses and the related provision for loan losses is described above in the "Allowance for Loan Losses" discussion. This area of accounting requires significant judgment due to the number of factors which can influence the collectability of a loan. Unanticipated changes in these factors could significantly change the level of the allowance for loan losses and the related provision for loan losses. Although, based upon our internal analysis, and in our judgment, we believe that we have provided an adequate allowance for loan losses, there can be no assurance that our analysis has properly identified all of the probable losses in our loan portfolio. As a result, we could record future provisions for loan losses that may be significantly different than the levels that we recorded in the first quarter of 2016.

Assets acquired through or instead of foreclosure, primarily other real estate owned, are initially recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. New real estate appraisals are generally obtained at the time of foreclosure and are used to establish fair value. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Estimating the initial and ongoing fair value of these properties involves a number of factors and judgments including holding time, costs to complete, holding costs, discount rate, absorption and other factors.

Loss contingencies are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. This, too, is an accounting area that involves significant judgment. Although, based upon our judgment, internal analysis, and consultations with legal counsel we believe that we have properly accounted for loss contingencies, future changes in the status of such contingencies could result in a significant change in the level of contingent liabilities and a related impact to operating earnings.

Our accounting for income taxes involves the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities primarily associated with differences in the timing of the recognition of revenues and expenses for financial reporting and tax purposes. At March 31, 2016, we had gross deferred tax assets of \$11.3 million, gross deferred tax liabilities of \$2.7 million resulting in a net deferred tax asset of \$8.5 million. Accounting standards require that companies assess whether a valuation allowance should be established against their deferred tax assets based on the consideration of all available evidence using a "more likely than not" standard. From mid 2009 through the end of 2012, we had maintained a full valuation allowance on our net deferred tax asset. At December 31, 2012, we considered all reasonably available positive and negative evidence and determined that with completing our eleventh consecutive profitable quarter, continued significant improvement in asset quality measures for the third straight year, the termination of our previous regulatory orders and our moving to a cumulative income position in the most recent three year period, that it was "more likely than not" that we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets and, as such, the full \$18.9 million valuation allowance was reversed as of December 31, 2012. With the positive results in the first quarter of 2016, we again concluded at March 31, 2016 that no valuation allowance on our net deferred tax asset was required. Changes in tax laws, changes in tax rates, changes in ownership and our future level of earnings can impact the ultimate realization of our net deferred tax asset.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Our primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk and, to a lesser extent, liquidity risk. All of our transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars with no specific foreign exchange exposure. Macatawa Bank has only limited agricultural-related loan assets, and therefore has no significant exposure to changes in commodity prices.

Our balance sheet has sensitivity, in various categories of assets and liabilities, to changes in prevailing rates in the U.S. for prime rate, mortgage rates, U.S. Treasury rates and various money market indexes. Our asset/liability management process aids us in providing liquidity while maintaining a balance between interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

We utilize a simulation model as our primary tool to assess the direction and magnitude of variations in net interest income and the economic value of equity ("EVE") resulting from potential changes in market interest rates. Key assumptions in the model include contractual cash flows and maturities of interest-sensitive assets and interest-sensitive liabilities, prepayment speeds on certain assets, and changes in market conditions impacting loan and deposit pricing. We also include pricing floors on discretionary priced liability products which limit how low various checking and savings products could go under declining interest rates. These floors reflect our pricing philosophy in response to changing interest rates.

We forecast the next twelve months of net interest income under an assumed environment of gradual changes in market interest rates under various scenarios. The resulting change in net interest income is an indication of the sensitivity of our earnings to directional changes in market interest rates. The simulation also measures the change in EVE, or the net present value of our assets and liabilities, under an immediate shift, or shock, in interest rates under various scenarios, as calculated by discounting the estimated future cash flows using market-based discount rates.

The following table shows the impact of changes in interest rates on net interest income over the next twelve months and EVE based on our balance sheet as of March 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	E	conomic				
	Value of		Percent	Net Interest	Percent	
Interest Rate Scenario	Equity		Change	Income	Change	
Interest rates up 200 basis points	\$	185,077	(1.31)%	\$ 49,294	3.08%	
Interest rates up 100 basis points		187,573	0.02	48,569	1.57	
No change		187,593		47,819		
Interest rates down 100 basis points		172,949	(7.78)	46,392	(2.98)	
Interest rates down 200 basis points		172,949	(7.78)	46,136	(3.52)	

If interest rates were to increase, this analysis suggests that we are positioned for an improvement in net interest income over the next twelve months.

We also forecast the impact of immediate and parallel interest rate shocks on net interest income under various scenarios to measure the sensitivity of our earnings under extreme conditions.

The quarterly simulation analysis is monitored against acceptable interest rate risk parameters by the Asset/Liability Committee and reported to the Board of Directors.

In addition to changes in interest rates, the level of future net interest income is also dependent on a number of other variables, including: the growth, composition and absolute levels of loans, deposits, and other earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities; economic and competitive conditions; potential changes in lending, investing and deposit gathering strategies; and client preferences.

Item 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e), as of March 31, 2016, the end of the period covered by this report.

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, as the Company's are designed to do, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating whether the benefits of the controls and procedures that the Company adopts outweigh their costs.

Our CEO and CFO, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report, have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms.

(b) <u>Changes in Internal Controls.</u> During the period covered by this report, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 6. Exhibits.

3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation. Previously filed with the Commission on April 28, 2011 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form
	10-Q, Exhibit 3.1. Here incorporated by reference.
3.2	Bylaws. Previously filed with the Commission on February 19, 2015 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended
	December 31, 2014, Exhibit 3.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation. Exhibit 3.1 is here incorporated by reference.
4.2	Bylaws. Exhibit 3.2 is here incorporated by reference.
4.3	Long-Term Debt. The registrant has outstanding long-term debt which at the time of this report does not exceed 10% of the registrant's total consolidated
	assets. The registrant agrees to furnish copies of the agreements defining the rights of holders of such long-term debt to the SEC upon request.
10.1	Change in Control Agreement with Ronald L. Haan. Previously filed with the Commission as an exhibit to Macatawa Bank Corporation's Form 8-K
	current report dated June 22, 2015. Here incorporated by reference.
10.2	Change in Control Agreement with Jon W. Swets. Previously filed with the Commission as an exhibit to Macatawa Bank Corporation's Form 8-K
	current report dated June 22, 2015. Here incorporated by reference.
<u>31.1</u>	Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
<u>31.2</u>	Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
<u>32.1</u>	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION

/s/ Ronald L. Haan Ronald L. Haan Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Jon W. Swets

Jon W. Swets Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: April 28, 2016

EXHIBIT 31.1

I, Ronald L. Haan, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016 of Macatawa Bank Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared; and
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: April 28, 2016

/s/ Ronald L. Haan

Ronald L. Haan Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

EXHIBIT 31.2

I, Jon W. Swets, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016 of Macatawa Bank Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared; and
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: April 28, 2016

/s/ Jon W. Swets
Jon W. Swets
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT 32.1

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, each of the undersigned hereby certifies in his capacity as an officer of Macatawa Bank Corporation (the "Company") that the Quarterly Report of the Company on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition of the Company at the end of such period and the results of operations of the Company for such period.

/s/ Ronald L. Haan
Ronald L. Haan
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Jon W. Swets

Jon W. Swets Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: April 28, 2016