UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☑ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SE	CTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIE	ES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
	For the quarterly period ended	d June 30, 2017	
	OR		
☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SE	CTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIE	S EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
	For the transition period from	to	
	Commission file number:	000-25927	
MACA	TAWA BANK (Exact name of registrant as spec		N
Michigan (State or other jurisdiction of incorpor	ation or organization)	<u>38-3391</u> (I.R.S. Employer Ide	
	10753 Macatawa Drive, Holland (Address of principal executive of		
	Registrant's telephone number, including	area code: (616) 820-1444	
Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant: (1) l 12 months (or for such shorter period that the regis Yes \boxtimes No \square			
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-submit and post such files). Yes \boxtimes No \square			
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a company. See the definitions of "large accelerated Act. (Check one):			
Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated file	r ⊠ Non-accelerated filer □	Smaller reporting company \square	Emerging Growth Company □
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a s	hell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of	the Exchange Act). Yes□ No ⊠	
The number of shares outstanding of each of the is par value) were outstanding as of July 27, 2017.	ssuer's classes of common stock, as of the l	latest practicable date: 33,938,486 shares	of the Company's Common Stock (no

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on management's beliefs, assumptions, current expectations, estimates and projections about the financial services industry, the economy, and Macatawa Bank Corporation. Forward-looking statements are identifiable by words or phrases such as "outlook", "plan" or "strategy"; that an event or trend "may", "should", "will", "is likely", or is "probable" to occur or "continue", has "begun" or "is scheduled" or "on track" or that the Company or its management "anticipates", "believes", "estimates", "plans", "forecasts", "intends", "predicts", or "expects" a particular result, or is "committed", "confident", "optimistic" or has an "opinion" that an event will occur, or other words or phrases such as "ongoing", "future", "signs", "efforts", "tend", "exploring", "appearing", "until", "near term", "concern", "going forward", "focus", "starting", "initiative," "trend" and variations of such words and similar expressions. Such statements are based upon current beliefs and expectations and involve substantial risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These statements include, among others, future levels of earning assets, statements related to stabilization of our loan portfolio, trends in credit quality metrics, future capital levels and capital needs, including the impact of Basel III, real estate valuation, future levels of repossessed and foreclosed properties and nonperforming assets, future levels of losses and costs associated with the administration and disposition of repossessed and foreclosed properties and nonperforming assets, future levels of loan charge-offs, future levels of other real estate owned, future levels of provisions for loan losses and reserve recoveries, the rate of asset dispositions, future dividends, future growth and funding sources, future cost of funds, future liquidity levels, future profitability levels, future FDIC assessment levels, future net interest margin levels, building and improving our investment portfolio, diversifying our credit risk, the effects on earnings of changes in interest rates, future economic conditions, future effects of new or changed accounting standards, future loss recoveries, future balances of short-term investments, future loan demand and loan growth, future levels of mortgage banking revenue and the future level of other revenue sources. Management's determination of the provision and allowance for loan losses, the appropriate carrying value of intangible assets (including deferred tax assets) and other real estate owned, and the fair value of investment securities (including whether any impairment on any investment security is temporary or other-than-temporary and the amount of any impairment) involves judgments that are inherently forward-looking. All statements with references to future time periods are forward-looking. All of the information concerning interest rate sensitivity is forward-looking. Our ability to sell other real estate owned at its carrying value or at all, successfully implement new programs and initiatives, increase efficiencies, maintain our current levels of deposits and other sources of funding, maintain liquidity, respond to declines in collateral values and credit quality, increase loan volume, originate high quality loans, maintain or improve mortgage banking income, realize the benefit of our deferred tax assets, continue payment of dividends and improve profitability is not entirely within our control and is not assured. The future effect of changes in the real estate, financial and credit markets and the national and regional economy on the banking industry, generally, and Macatawa Bank Corporation, specifically, are also inherently uncertain. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions ("risk factors") that are difficult to predict with regard to timing, extent, likelihood and degree of occurrence. Therefore, actual results and outcomes may materially differ from what may be expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. Macatawa Bank Corporation does not undertake to update forward-looking statements to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that may arise after the date of the forward-looking statements.

Risk factors include, but are not limited to, the risk factors described in "Item 1A - Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. These and other factors are representative of the risk factors that may emerge and could cause a difference between an ultimate actual outcome and a preceding forward-looking statement.

INDEX

		Page Number
Part I.	Financial Information:	
	Item 1.	
	Consolidated Financial Statements	4
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	10
	Item 2.	
	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	38
	Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	53
	Item 4.	
	Controls and Procedures	54
Part II.	Other Information:	
	<u>Item 6.</u>	
	Exhibits	55
Signatures		56

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS As of June 30, 2017 (unaudited) and December 31, 2016 (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Cash and due from banks Federal funds sold and other short-term investments Cash and cash equivalents Securities available for sale, at fair value Securities held to maturity (fair value 2017 - \$69,816 and 2016 - \$69,849) Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock Loans held for sale, at fair value Total loans Allowance for loan losses	3	31,165 114,104 145,269 184,761 68,818	\$	27,690 62,129 89,819
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments Cash and cash equivalents Securities available for sale, at fair value Securities held to maturity (fair value 2017 - \$69,816 and 2016 - \$69,849) Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock Loans held for sale, at fair value Total loans	,	114,104 145,269 184,761	Ψ	62,129
Cash and cash equivalents Securities available for sale, at fair value Securities held to maturity (fair value 2017 - \$69,816 and 2016 - \$69,849) Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock Loans held for sale, at fair value Total loans		145,269 184,761		
Securities available for sale, at fair value Securities held to maturity (fair value 2017 - \$69,816 and 2016 - \$69,849) Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock Loans held for sale, at fair value Total loans		184,761		
Securities held to maturity (fair value 2017 - \$69,816 and 2016 - \$69,849) Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock Loans held for sale, at fair value Total loans				184,433
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock Loans held for sale, at fair value Total loans		00,010		69,378
Loans held for sale, at fair value Total loans		11.558		11.558
Total loans		3,184		2.181
	1	1,251,355		1,280,812
Allowence for loan losses	,	(16,570)		(16,962)
Net loans	1	,234,785	_	1,263,850
Premises and equipment – net		48,626		50.026
Accrued interest receivable		4,084		4,092
Bank-owned life insurance		39,781		39,274
Other real estate owned - net		7.097		12.253
Net deferred tax asset		5,869		8.863
Other assets		5,231		5,286
	5 1	1,759,063	\$	1,741,013
Total assets) I	1,/39,063	2	1,/41,013
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Deposits				
Noninterest-bearing	3	481,769	\$	501,478
Interest-bearing		978,221	-	947,246
Total deposits	1	.459,990		1,448,724
Other borrowed funds		82,785		84.173
Long-term debt		41,238		41.238
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		4,875		4,639
Total liabilities	1	,588,888	_	1,578,774
Total natifices		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1,576,774
Commitments and contingent liabilities				
Shareholders' equity				
Common stock, no par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized; 33,938,486 and 33,940,788 shares issued and outstanding at June				
30, 2017 and December 31, 2016		216,959		216,731
Retained deficit		(46,491)		(53,008)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(293)		(1,484)
Total shareholders' equity				162,239
• •	, .	170,175	Φ.	- ,
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	5 1	,759,063	\$	1,741,013

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Three and Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017			Months ded 230,		x Months Ended June 30, 2017	S	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016
Interest income	•	42.540	•	44.604			•	22.200
Loans, including fees	\$	12,540	\$	11,634	\$	24,996	\$	23,390
Securities		646		5.05		1.005		1 115
Taxable		646		565		1,285		1,115
Tax-exempt		546		441		1,084		874
FHLB Stock		121		122		244		246
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments	_	189		111		281		256
Total interest income		14,042		12,873		27,890		25,881
Interest expense								
Deposits		557		439		1,038		902
Other borrowings		358		458		740		900
Long-term debt		422		368		824		733
Total interest expense		1,337		1,265		2,602		2,535
Net interest income		12,705		11,608		25,288		23,346
Provision for loan losses		(500)		(750)		(1,000)		(850)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		13,205		12,358		26,288		24,196
Noninterest income								
Service charges and fees		1,110		1,112		2,170		2,159
Net gains on mortgage loans		476		572		904		1,060
Trust fees		833		788		1,611		1,496
ATM and debit card fees		1,338		1,257		2,539		2,443
Gain on sales of securities				10		3		99
Bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") income		243		158		481		602
Other		478		639		1,001		1,285
Total noninterest income		4,478		4,536		8,709		9,144
Noninterest expense								
Salaries and benefits		6,153		6,168		12,152		12,355
Occupancy of premises		991		901		2,017		1,883
Furniture and equipment		750		839		1,482		1,704
Legal and professional		197		188		422		347
Marketing and promotion		225		275		453		550
Data processing		731		688		1,413		1,347
FDIC assessment		134		220		270		472
Interchange and other card expense		324		308		637		594
Bond and D&O Insurance		118		131		234		263
Net losses (gains) on repossessed and foreclosed properties		(300)		258		(385)		294
Administration and disposition of problem assets		142		202		322		577
Other		1,327		1,292		2,662		2,635
Total noninterest expenses		10,792		11,470		21,679		23,021
Income before income tax		6,891		5,424		13,318		10,319
Income tax expense		2,129		1,679		4,095		3,079
Net income	\$	4,762	\$	3,745	\$	9,223	\$	7,240
	\$	0.14	\$	0.11	\$	0.27	\$	
Basic earnings per common share	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		_	0.21
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.14	\$	0.11	\$	0.27	\$	0.21
Cash dividends per common share	\$	0.04	\$	0.03	\$	0.08	\$	0.06

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME Three and Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017		hree Months Ended June 30, 2016	S	Six Months Ended June 30, 2017	_	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016
Net income	\$ 4,762	\$	3,745	\$	9,223	\$	7,240
Other comprehensive income:							
Unrealized gains (losses):							
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale	772		590		1,835		1,654
Tax effect	(270)		(206)		(642)		(579)
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale, net of tax	502		384		1,193		1,075
Less: reclassification adjustments:							
Reclassification for gains included in net income			10		3		99
Tax effect			(3)		(1)		(35)
Reclassification for gains included in net income, net of tax			7		2		64
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	 502		377		1,191		1,011
Comprehensive income	\$ 5,264	\$	4,122	\$	10,414	\$	8,251

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

(unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Stock Deficit			Retained Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	S	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance, January 1, 2016	\$	216,540	\$	(64,910)	\$ 347	\$	151,977
Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2016				7,240			7,240
Cash dividends at \$.06 per share				(2,025)			(2,025)
Repurchase of 2,824 shares for taxes withheld on vested restricted stock		(19)					(19)
Net change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of tax					1,011		1,011
Stock compensation expense		278					278
Balance, June 30, 2016	\$	216,799	\$	(59,695)	\$ 1,358	\$	158,462
D. I 1 4015	0	21 (521	0	(53,000)	0 (1.404)	0	162.220
Balance, January 1, 2017	\$	216,731	\$	(53,008)	\$ (1,484)	\$	162,239
Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2017				9,223			9,223
Cash dividends at \$.08 per share				(2,706)			(2,706)
Net change in unrealized loss on securities available for sale, net of tax					1,191		1,191
Stock compensation expense		228					228
Balance, June 30, 2017	\$	216,959	\$	(46,491)	\$ (293)	\$	170,175

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2017	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 9,223	\$ 7,240
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:	0.72	1 402
Depreciation and amortization	973	1,403
Stock compensation expense	228	278
Provision for loan losses	(1,000)	(850)
Origination of loans for sale	(33,707)	(37,891)
Proceeds from sales of loans originated for sale	33,608	40,589
Net gains on mortgage loans	(904)	(1,060)
Gain on sales of securities	(3) 85	(99)
Write-down of other real estate		555
Net gain on sales of other real estate	(470) 69	(260)
Net loss on sale of premises and equipment		(147)
Deferred income tax expense Change in accrued interest receivable and other assets	2,353 63	(147)
Earnings in bank-owned life insurance	(481)	(1,405) (602)
	()	()
Change in accrued expenses and other liabilities	236	2,182
Net cash from operating activities	10,273	9,933
Cash flows from investing activities		
Loan originations and payments, net	30,005	(13,286)
Change in interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions		20,000
Purchases of securities available for sale	(14,683)	(43,570)
Purchases of securities held to maturity	(3,000)	(1,144)
Proceeds from:		
Maturities and calls of securities	10,872	30,480
Sales of securities available for sale	5,807	9,648
Principal paydowns on securities	3,381	1,873
Sales of other real estate	5,601	3,313
Sales of premises and equipment	590	
Death benefit from bank-owned life insurance		518
Additions to premises and equipment	(568)	(501)
Net cash from investing activities	38,005	7,331
Cash flows from financing activities		
Change in deposits	11,266	(80,434)
Repayments and maturities of other borrowed funds	(21,388)	(1,329)
Proceeds from other borrowed funds	20,000	10,000
Cash dividends paid	(2,706)	(2,025)
Repurchase of shares for taxes withheld on vested restricted stock		(19)
Net cash from financing activities	7,172	(73,807)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	55,450	(56,543)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	89,819	181,476
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 145,269	\$ 124,933
Cash and Cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 143,269</u>	g 124,933

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)
Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016
(unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands)

Supplemental cash flow information	_	Six Months Ended June 30, 2017	Eix Months Ended June 30, 2016
Interest paid	\$	2,600	\$ 2,536
Income taxes paid		825	3,200
Supplemental noncash disclosures:			
Transfers from loans to other real estate		60	102
Security settlement			

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

<u>Principles of Consolidation</u>: The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Macatawa Bank Corporation ("the Company", "our", "we") and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Macatawa Bank ("the Bank"). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Macatawa Bank is a Michigan chartered bank with depository accounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Bank operates 26 full service branch offices providing a full range of commercial and consumer banking and trust services in Kent County, Ottawa County, and northern Allegan County, Michigan.

The Company owns all of the common stock of Macatawa Statutory Trust I and Macatawa Statutory Trust II. These are grantor trusts that issued trust preferred securities and are not consolidated with the Company under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis of Presentation: The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) believed necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2017 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2017. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.

<u>Use of Estimates</u>: To prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, valuation of deferred tax assets, loss contingencies, fair value of other real estate owned and fair values of financial instruments are particularly subject to change.

Allowance for Loan Losses: The allowance for loan losses (allowance) is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses inherent in our loan portfolio, increased by the provision for loan losses and recoveries, and decreased by charge-offs of loans. Management believes the allowance for loan losses balance to be adequate based on known and inherent risks in the portfolio, past loan loss experience, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions and other relevant factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged-off. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Management continues its collection efforts on previously charged-off balances and applies recoveries as additions to the allowance for loan losses.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current qualitative factors. The Company maintains a loss migration analysis that tracks loan losses and recoveries based on loan class and the loan risk grade assignment for commercial loans. At June 30, 2017, an 18 month annualized historical loss experience was used for commercial loans and a 12 month historical loss experience period was applied to residential mortgage loans and consumer loans. These historical loss percentages are adjusted (both upwards and downwards) for certain qualitative factors, including economic trends, credit quality trends, valuation trends, concentration risk, quality of loan review, changes in personnel, external factors and other considerations.

A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is believed to be probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans for which the terms have been modified and a concession has been made, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired.

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Commercial and commercial real estate loans with relationship balances exceeding \$500,000 and an internal risk grading of 6 or worse are evaluated for impairment. If a loan is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated and the loan is reported at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing interest rate or at the fair value of collateral, less estimated costs to sell, if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer and residential real estate loans, are collectively evaluated for impairment and they are not separately identified for impairment disclosures.

Troubled debt restructurings are also considered impaired with impairment generally measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's effective rate at inception or using the fair value of collateral, less estimated costs to sell, if repayment is expected solely from the collateral.

<u>Foreclosed Assets</u>: Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure, primarily other real estate owned, are initially recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Costs after acquisition are expensed unless they add value to the property.

Income Taxes: Income tax expense is the sum of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

We recognize a tax position as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. We recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

<u>Derivatives</u>: Certain of our commercial loan customers have entered into interest rate swap agreements directly with the Bank. At the same time the Bank enters into a swap agreement with its customer, the Bank enters into a corresponding interest rate swap agreement with a correspondent bank at terms mirroring the Bank's interest rate swap with its commercial loan customer. This is known as a back-to-back swap agreement. Under this arrangement the Bank has two freestanding interest rate swaps, both of which are carried at fair value. As the terms mirror each other, there is no income statement impact to the Bank. At June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the total notional amount of such agreements was \$43.1 million and \$48.1 million and resulted in a derivative asset with a fair value of \$395,000 and \$494,000, respectively, which were included in other liabilities.

Reclassifications: Some items in the prior period financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards: The Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") ASU No. 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting. This ASU simplifies several aspects of the accounting for employee share-based payment transactions for both public and nonpublic entities, including the following: Accounting for income taxes, classification of excess tax benefits on the statement of cash flows, forfeitures, statutory tax withholding requirements, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities and classification of employee taxes paid on the statement of cash flows when an employer withholds shares for tax-withholding purposes. The amendments are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and for interim periods within those annual periods. The impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company was not material as no stock awards vested or were exercised in the six months ended June 30, 2017, but could cause some volatility in income tax expense in future periods where stock awards vest.

FASB issued ASU No. 2017-08, Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities. This ASU changes generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") to require premiums on purchased callable debt securities to be amortized to the earliest call date. Previous GAAP allowed entities to amortize to contractual maturity or to call date. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. As the Company has consistently amortized premiums on its purchased callable debt securities, the Company has elected to early adopt this ASU effective January 1, 2017. There was no impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company.

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Newly Issued Not Yet Effective Standards: FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The amendments in this Update create a new topic in the Codification, Topic 606. In addition to superseding and replacing nearly all existing U.S. GAAP revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance, ASC 606 establishes a new control-based revenue recognition model, changes the basis for deciding when revenue is recognized over time or at a point in time, provides new and more detailed guidance on specific topics and expands and improves disclosures about revenue. In addition, ASU 2014-09 adds a new Subtopic to the Codification, ASC 340-40, Other Assets and Deferred Costs: Contracts with Customers, to provide guidance on costs related to obtaining a contract with a customer and costs incurred in fulfilling a contract with a customer that are not in the scope of another ASC Topic. The new guidance does not apply to certain contracts within the scope of other ASC Topics, such as lease contracts, insurance contracts, financing arrangements, financial instruments, guarantees other than product or service warranties, and nonmonetary exchanges between entities in the same line of business to facilitate sales to customers. The amendments are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017. This ASU may require us to change how we recognize certain recurring revenue streams within trust and investment management fees, however, we do not expect these changes to have a significant effect on our financial statements.

FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases*. The new standard establishes a right-of-use (ROU) model that requires a lessee to record a ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement. The new standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessees for capital and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. As the Company owns most of its branch locations, this ASU will apply primarily to operating leases and the impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company is not expected to be material.

FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments. This ASU provides financial statement users with more decision-useful information about the expected credit losses on financial instruments and other commitments to extend credit held by a reporting entity at each reporting date by replacing the incurred loss impairment methodology in current GAAP with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to inform credit loss estimates. The new guidance eliminates the probable initial recognition threshold and, instead, reflects an entity's current estimate of all expected credit losses. The new guidance broadens the information that an entity must consider in developing its expected credit loss estimate for assets measured either collectively or individually to include forecasted information, as well as past events and current conditions. There is no specified method for measuring expected credit losses, and an entity is allowed to apply methods that reasonably reflect its expectations of the credit loss estimate. Although an entity may still use its current systems and methods for recording the allowance for credit losses, under the new rules, the inputs used to record the allowance for credit losses generally will need to change to appropriately reflect an estimate of all expected credit losses and the use of reasonable and supportable forecasts. Additionally, credit losses on available-for-sale debt securities will now have to be presented as an allowance rather than as a write-down. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and for interim periods within those years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new ASU on its consolidated financial statements.

FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force). This ASU addresses concerns regarding diversity in practice in how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows. In particular, this ASU addresses eight specific cash flow issues in an effort to reduce this diversity in practice: (1) debt prepayment or debt extinguishment costs; (2) settlement of zero-coupon bonds; (3) contingent consideration payments made after a business combination; (4) proceeds from the settlement of insurance claims; (5) proceeds from the settlement of corporate-owned life insurance policies, including bank-owned life insurance policies; (6) distributions received from equity method investees; (7) beneficial interests in securitization transactions; and (8) separately identifiable cash flows and application of the predominance principle. The amendments are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and for interim periods within those annual periods. The impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company is not expected to be material.

NOTE 2 – SECURITIES

The amortized cost and fair value of securities at period-end were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Amortized Cost			Gross Unrealized Gains	U	Gross Inrealized Losses		Fair Value
June 30, 2017								
Available for Sale: U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$	85,385	\$	47	\$	(694)	e	84,738
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs	Ф	11,941	Ф	15	Ф	(113)	Ф	11,843
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds		39,097		696		(113)		39,659
Taxable state and municipal bonds		35,306		78		(316)		35,068
Corporate bonds and other debt securities		11,983		16		(25)		11,974
Other equity securities		1,500				(23)		1,479
Other equity securities	e.		ø.		¢.		e.	
	3	185,212	\$	852	\$	(1,303)	3	184,761
Held to Maturity								
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds	\$	68,818	\$	1,003	\$	(5)	\$	69,816
	A	mortized Cost		Gross Unrealized Gains		Gross Inrealized Losses		Fair Value
December 31, 2016 Available for Sale:	A			Unrealized		nrealized		
	A 		\$	Unrealized		nrealized	\$	
Available for Sale:		Cost		Unrealized Gains		Inrealized Losses	\$	Value
Available for Sale: U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities		85,582		Unrealized Gains 49		Inrealized Losses (1,281)	\$	Value 84,350
Available for Sale: U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities U. S. Agency MBS and CMOs		85,582 12,037		Unrealized Gains 49		(1,281) (231)	\$	84,350 11,817
Available for Sale: U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities U. S. Agency MBS and CMOs Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds		85,582 12,037 39,578		Unrealized Gains 49 11 212		(1,281) (231) (603)	\$	84,350 11,817 39,187
Available for Sale: U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities U. S. Agency MBS and CMOs Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds Taxable state and municipal bonds		85,582 12,037 39,578 34,255		Unrealized Gains 49 11 212 65		(1,281) (231) (603) (437)	\$	84,350 11,817 39,187 33,883
Available for Sale: U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities U. S. Agency MBS and CMOs Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds Taxable state and municipal bonds Corporate bonds and other debt securities		85,582 12,037 39,578 34,255 13,765		Unrealized Gains 49 11 212 65 16		(1,281) (231) (603) (437) (55)	\$	84,350 11,817 39,187 33,883 13,726
Available for Sale: U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities U. S. Agency MBS and CMOs Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds Taxable state and municipal bonds Corporate bonds and other debt securities	\$	85,582 12,037 39,578 34,255 13,765 1,500	\$	Unrealized Gains 49 11 212 65 16	\$	(1,281) (231) (603) (437) (55) (30)	\$	84,350 11,817 39,187 33,883 13,726 1,470

Proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale were \$2.4 million in the three month period ended June 30, 2017 and \$5.8 million in the six month period ended June 30, 2017 resulting in no gains or losses on sale for the three month period ended June 30, 2017 and net gains of \$3,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2017, as reported in the Consolidated Statements of Income. This resulted in reclassifications of \$3,000 (\$2,000 net of tax) from accumulated other comprehensive income to gain on sale of securities in the Consolidated Statements of Income in the six month period ended June 30, 2017. Proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale were \$230,000 in the three month period ended June 30, 2016 and \$9.6 million in the six month period ended June 30, 2016 resulting in net gains on sale of \$10,000 and \$99,000, respectively, as reported in the Consolidated Statements of Income. This resulted in reclassifications of \$10,000 (\$7,000 net of tax) and \$99,000 (\$64,000 net of tax) from accumulated other comprehensive income to gain on sale of securities in the Consolidated Statements of Income in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2016.

NOTE 2 - SECURITIES (Continued)

Contractual maturities of debt securities at June 30, 2017 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Held-to-Maturity Securities					Available-for-	Sale Securities	
	Amortized		Fair		Amortized			Fair
		Cost		Value	Cost			Value
Due in one year or less	\$	23,770	\$	23,820	\$	19,067	\$	19,062
Due from one to five years		13,837		14,310		100,966		100,532
Due from five to ten years		10,342		10,659		49,141		49,266
Due after ten years		20,869		21,027		14,538		14,422
	\$	68,818	\$	69,816	\$	183,712	\$	183,282

Securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Less than 12 Months					12 Month	r More	Total				
June 30, 2017	Fair Value			Unrealized Loss		Fair Value		Unrealized Loss		Fair Value		Unrealized Loss
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$	60,556	\$	(684)	\$	3,025	\$	(9)	\$	63,581	\$	(693)
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs		10,874		(113)						10,874		(113)
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds		8,560		(134)		385		(5)		8,945		(139)
Taxable state and municipal bonds		20,420		(308)		237		(9)		20,657		(317)
Corporate bonds and other debt securities		4,264		(21)		1,000		(4)		5,264		(25)
Other equity securities		1,479		(21)						1,479		(21)
Total temporarily impaired	\$	106,153	\$	(1,281)	\$	4,647	\$	(27)	\$	110,800	\$	(1,308)

	 Less than	Ionths		12 Month	More	Total					
	Fair	Unrealized		Fair		Unrealized		Fair			Unrealized
<u>December 31, 2016</u>	 Value		Loss		Value	_	Loss		Value	_	Loss
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$ 59,129	\$	(1,271)	\$	3,053	\$	(10)	\$	62,182	\$	(1,281)
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs	10,702		(231)						10,702		(231)
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds	49,508		(698)		1,672		(7)		51,180		(705)
Taxable state and municipal bonds	22,633		(437)						22,633		(437)
Corporate bonds and other debt securities	5,745		(50)		500		(5)		6,245		(55)
Other equity securities	1,470		(30)						1,470		(30)
Total temporarily impaired	\$ 149,187	\$	(2,717)	\$	5,225	\$	(22)	\$	154,412	\$	(2,739)

NOTE 2 - SECURITIES (Continued)

Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. Management determined that the unrealized losses for each period were attributable to changes in interest rates and not due to credit quality. As such, no OTTI charges were necessary during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

Securities with a carrying value of approximately \$2.0 million were pledged as security for public deposits, letters of credit and for other purposes required or permitted by law at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

NOTE 3 - LOANS

Portfolio loans were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 	December 31, 2016		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 435,218	\$ 449,342		
Commercial real estate:				
Residential developed	8,701	11,970		
Unsecured to residential developers	4,734	4,734		
Vacant and unimproved	38,357	40,286		
Commercial development	492	378		
Residential improved	77,047	75,348		
Commercial improved	282,884	289,478		
Manufacturing and industrial	102,325	95,787		
Total commercial real estate	514,540	517,981		
Consumer				
Residential mortgage	212,745	217,614		
Unsecured	280	396		
Home equity	81,779	88,113		
Other secured	6,793	7,366		
Total consumer	301,597	313,489		
Total loans	1,251,355	1,280,812		
Allowance for loan losses	(16,570)	(16,962)		
	<u>\$ 1,234,785</u>	\$ 1,263,850		

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

Activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment was as follows (dollars in thousands):

		mercial nd	Commercial							
Three months ended June 30, 2017	-	ıstrial		Estate		Consumer		Unallocated		Total
Beginning balance	\$	6,469	\$	6,598	\$	3,591	\$	38	\$	16,696
Charge-offs		(108)				(31)				(139)
Recoveries		41		456		16				513
Provision for loan losses		(66)		(471)		45		(8)		(500)
Ending Balance	\$	6,336	\$	6,583	\$	3,621	\$	30	\$	16,570
	a	mercial nd		mercial						
Three months ended June 30, 2016		ıstrial		Estate	_	Consumer	_	Unallocated	_	Total
Beginning balance	\$	4,945	\$	8,449	\$	3,699	\$	36	\$	17,129
Charge-offs						(36)				(36)
Recoveries Provision for loan losses		23		557		36				616
	Φ.	(8)	Φ.	(941)	Φ.	195	Φ.	4	Φ.	(750)
Ending Balance	\$	4,960	\$	8,065	\$	3,894	\$	40	\$	16,959
		mercial and	Com	mercial						
Six months ended June 30, 2017	8	mercial and ustrial		mercial Estate		Consumer		Unallocated		Total
	8	and			\$	Consumer 3.871	\$	Unallocated 43	\$	Total 16,962
Beginning balance	Ind	and ustrial	Real	Estate	\$		\$		\$	
	Ind	and ustrial 6,345	Real	Estate 6,703	\$	3,871	\$	43	\$	16,962
Beginning balance Charge-offs	Ind	6,345 (108)	Real	6,703	\$	3,871 (57)	\$	43	\$	16,962 (165)
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries	Ind	6,345 (108) 64	Real	6,703 618	\$	3,871 (57) 91	\$	43 	\$	16,962 (165) 773
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses	\$ Com	6,345 (108) 64 35	Real \$	6,703 618 (738)		3,871 (57) 91 (284)		43 (13)	\$	16,962 (165) 773 (1,000)
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses	\$ Comi	6,345 (108) 64 35 6,336	Real \$	6,703 618 (738) 6,583	\$	3,871 (57) 91 (284)	\$	43 (13)	\$	16,962 (165) 773 (1,000)
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Ending Balance	\$ Comi	and ustrial 6,345 (108) 64 35 6,336 mercial nd	Real \$	6,703 	\$	3,871 (57) 91 (284) 3,621	\$	43 (13) 30	\$	16,962 (165) 773 (1,000) 16,570
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Ending Balance Six months ended June 30, 2016	\$ Comma	and ustrial 6,345 (108) 64 35 6,336 mercial nd ustrial	Real \$	6,703 618 (738) 6,583 mercial Estate	\$	3,871 (57) 91 (284) 3,621	\$	43 (13) 30	\$	16,962 (165) 773 (1,000) 16,570
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Ending Balance Six months ended June 30, 2016 Beginning balance	\$ Comma	and ustrial 6,345 (108) 64 35 6,336 mercial nd ustrial 4,826	Real \$	6,703 618 (738) 6,583 mercial Estate 8,457	\$	3,871 (57) 91 (284) 3,621 Consumer 3,761	\$	43 (13) 30 Unallocated	\$	16,962 (165) 773 (1,000) 16,570 Total 17,081
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Ending Balance Six months ended June 30, 2016 Beginning balance Charge-offs	\$ Comma	and ustrial 6,345 (108) 64 35 6,336 mercial nd ustrial 4,826	Real \$	6,703 618 (738) 6,583 mercial Estate 8,457	\$	3,871 (57) 91 (284) 3,621 Consumer 3,761 (112)	\$	43 (13) 30 Unallocated 37	\$	16,962 (165) 773 (1,000) 16,570 Total 17,081 (112)
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Ending Balance Six months ended June 30, 2016 Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries	\$ Comma	and ustrial 6,345 (108) 64 35 6,336 mercial nd 1strial 4,826 72	Real \$	Estate 6,703 618 (738) 6,583 mercial Estate 8,457 678	\$	3,871 (57) 91 (284) 3,621 Consumer 3,761 (112) 90	\$	43 (13) 30 Unallocated 37	\$	16,962 (165) 773 (1,000) 16,570 Total 17,081 (112) 840

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method (dollars in thousands):

June 30, 2017	Commercial and Industrial		Commercial Real Estate		Consumer		Unallocated			Total	
Allowance for loan losses:											
Ending allowance attributable to loans:											
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$	686	\$	274	\$	566	\$		\$	1,526	
Collectively evaluated for impairment		5,650		6,309		3,055		30		15,044	
Total ending allowance balance	\$	6,336	\$	6,583	\$	3,621	\$	30	\$	16,570	
Loans:											
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$	4,343	\$	9,896	\$	9,179	\$		\$	23,418	
Collectively evaluated for impairment		430,875		504,644		292,418				1,227,937	
Total ending loans balance	\$	435,218	\$	514,540	\$	301,597	\$		\$	1,251,355	
	Со	mmercial and		mmercial							
December 31, 2016 Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance attributable to loans:	<u>Ir</u>	ndustrial	Re	al Estate		onsumer	<u>Uı</u>	nallocated		Total	
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance attributable to loans: Individually reviewed for impairment	Ir	605	Re \$	368	\$	723	<u>Uı</u>		\$	1,696	
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance attributable to loans:								43	\$		
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance attributable to loans: Individually reviewed for impairment		605		368		723			\$	1,696	
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance attributable to loans: Individually reviewed for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment Total ending allowance balance Loans:		605 5,740	\$	368 6,335	\$	723 3,148	\$	43	\$ \$	1,696 15,266	
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance attributable to loans: Individually reviewed for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment Total ending allowance balance Loans: Individually reviewed for impairment		605 5,740 6,345	\$	368 6,335 6,703	\$	723 3,148 3,871	\$	43	\$ <u>\$</u> \$	1,696 15,266 16,962	
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance attributable to loans: Individually reviewed for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment Total ending allowance balance Loans:	\$ \$	605 5,740 6,345	\$	368 6,335 6,703	\$	723 3,148 3,871	\$	43 43	\$	1,696 15,266 16,962	
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance attributable to loans: Individually reviewed for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment Total ending allowance balance Loans: Individually reviewed for impairment	\$ \$	605 5,740 6,345	\$	368 6,335 6,703	\$	723 3,148 3,871	\$	43 43	\$	1,696 15,266 16,962	

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of June 30, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

June 30, 2017	Unpaid Principal Balance			ecorded vestment	Allowance Allocated	
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	1,024	\$	1,024	\$	
Commercial real estate:						
Residential developed						
Unsecured to residential developers						
Vacant and unimproved						
Commercial development						
Residential improved		5		5		
Commercial improved		1,018		1,018		
Manufacturing and industrial						
		1,023		1,023		
Consumer:						
Residential mortgage						
Unsecured						
Home equity						
Other secured						
Total with no related allowance recorded	S	2,047	\$	2,047	\$	
	<u>-</u>		<u> </u>	_,,,,	<u>-</u>	
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	3,319	\$	3,319	\$	686
Collination and management	Ψ	5,515	<u> </u>	5,515	Ψ	000
Commercial real estate:						
Residential developed		183		183		4
Unsecured to residential developers						
Vacant and unimproved		396		396		10
Commercial development		189		189		6
Residential improved		2,418		2,418		122
Commercial improved		5,499		5,499		129
Manufacturing and industrial		188		188		3
		8,873		8,873		274
Consumer:		0,075	_	0,075		271
Residential mortgage		7,364		7,364		454
Unsecured		7,304		7,304		
Home equity		1,815		1,815		112
Other secured		1,013		1,015		112
other secured		9,179		9,179		566
T . 1 . 41 . 11	0		0		Φ.	
Total with an allowance recorded	\$	21,371	\$	21,371	\$	1,526
Total	\$	23,418	\$	23,418	\$	1,526

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

With no related allowance recorded: Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial development	\$	2,298	\$	2,298	\$	
Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved	<u>\$</u>	,	\$	2,298	\$	
Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved						
Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved						
Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved						
Vacant and unimproved						
Commercial development						
Commercial development						
Residential improved		27		27		
Commercial improved		350		350		
Manufacturing and industrial						
		377		377		
Consumer:						
Residential mortgage						
Unsecured						
Home equity						
Other secured						
Total with no related allowance recorded	\$	2,675	\$	2,675	\$	
Total will no related anowance recorded	Ψ	2,075	Ψ	2,075	Ψ	
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	3,696	\$	3,696	\$	605
Commercial real estate:						
Residential developed		187		187		4
Unsecured to residential developers						
Vacant and unimproved		387		387		9
Commercial development		189		189		6
Residential improved		4,687		4,687		216
Commercial improved		5,879		5,879		128
Manufacturing and industrial		228		228		5
Manufacturing and industrial		11,557	_	11,557		368
Consumer:		11,557		11,557	_	300
Residential mortgage		7,523		7,523		464
Unsecured						
Home equity		4,203		4,203		259
Other secured						
		11,726		11,726		723
Total with an allowance recorded	\$	26,979	\$	26,979	\$	1,696
Total	\$	29,654	\$	29,654	\$	1,696

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents information regarding average balances of impaired loans and interest recognized on impaired loans for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016	Six Months Ended June 30, 2017	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016
Average of impaired loans during the period: Commercial and industrial	Ф 5.242	Φ (110	Ф 6.003	A 7.107
Commercial and industrial	\$ 5,342	\$ 6,110	\$ 6,093	\$ 7,187
Commercial real estate:				
Residential developed	183		184	
Unsecured to residential developers				
Vacant and unimproved	262	436	320	441
Commercial development	189	192	189	192
Residential improved	2,665	5,488	3,376	5,516
Commercial improved	5,995	6,817	6,077	8,177
Manufacturing and industrial	327	237	276	238
Consumer	10,812	12,842	11,153	12,991
Interest income recognized during impairment:				
Commercial and industrial	239	239	517	537
Commercial real estate	125	143	252	344
Consumer	118	116	226	238
Cash-basis interest income recognized				
Commercial and industrial	266	262	531	551
Commercial real estate	126	144	249	344
Consumer	120	113	227	235
	••			

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

Nonaccrual loans include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans. The following tables present the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

June 30, 2017	Nonaccrual	Over 90 days Accruing
Commercial and industrial	\$ 6	\$
Commercial real estate:		
Residential developed		
Unsecured to residential developers		
Vacant and unimproved		
Commercial development	49	
Residential improved	221	
Commercial improved	166	
Manufacturing and industrial		
	436	
Consumer:		
Residential mortgage	2	204
Unsecured	10	
Home equity		
Other secured	12	
	24	204
Total	\$ 466	\$ 204
December 31, 2016	Nonaccrual	Over 90 days Accruing
Commercial and industrial	<u>\$ 36</u>	\$
Commercial real estate:		
Residential developed		
Unsecured to residential developers		
Vacant and unimproved		
Commercial development	49	
Residential improved	6	
Commercial improved	128	
Manufacturing and industrial		
	183	
Consumer:		
Residential mortgage	58	
Unsecured	16	
Home equity	7	
Other secured		
	81	
Total	<u>\$ 300</u>	\$
-21-		

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 by class of loans (dollars in thousands):

June 30, 2017		30-90 Days	Greater Than 90 Days		Total Past Due		Loans Not Past Due			Total	
Commercial and industrial	\$		\$		\$		\$	435,218	\$	435,218	
Commercial real estate:											
Residential developed								8,701		8,701	
Unsecured to residential developers								4,734		4,734	
Vacant and unimproved								38,357		38,357	
Commercial development		189		49		238		254		492	
Residential improved		142		79		221		76,826		77,047	
Commercial improved		29				29		282,855		282,884	
Manufacturing and industrial								102,325		102,325	
a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		360		128		488	_	514,052		514,540	
Consumer:		200		120		.00		51.,002	_	011,010	
Residential mortgage		75		204		279		212,466		212,745	
Unsecured		11				11		269		280	
Home equity		19		12		31		81,748		81,779	
Other secured		6		12		6		6,787		6,793	
Other secured	_	111		216	_	327	_	301,270		301,597	
Total	<u></u>	471	e.		¢.	815	e.		Φ.		
Total	\$	4/1	\$	344	\$	815	\$	1,250,540	\$	1,251,355	
		30-90	(Greater Than		Total		Loans Not			
December 31, 2016		Days		90 Days		Past Due		Past Due		Total	
Commercial and industrial	\$	425	\$	28	\$	453	\$	448,889	\$	449,342	
Commercial real estate:											
Residential developed								11,970		11,970	
Unsecured to residential developers								4,734		4,734	
Vacant and unimproved								40,286		40,286	
Commercial development				49		49		329		378	
Residential improved		74		5		79		75,269		75,348	
Commercial improved		478				478		289,000		289,478	
Manufacturing and industrial								95,787		95,787	
Wandactaring and industrial	_	552	_	54	_	606	_	517,375	_	517,981	
Consumer:		332	_	34	_	000	_	317,373	_	317,961	
Residential mortgage		64		56		120		217,494		217,614	
Unsecured		04				120		396		396	
Home equity Other secured		187				187		87,926		88,113	
Other secured		81			_	81	_	7,285	_	7,366	
		332		56		388	_	313,101		313,489	
Total	<u>\$</u>	1,309	\$	138	\$	1,447	\$	1,279,365	\$	1,280,812	
		- 22 -									

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The Company had allocated \$1,526,000 and \$1,696,000 of specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. These loans may have involved the restructuring of terms to allow customers to mitigate the risk of foreclosure by meeting a lower loan payment requirement based upon their current cash flow. These may also include loans that renewed at existing contractual rates, but below market rates for comparable credit. The Company has been active at utilizing these programs and working with its customers to reduce the risk of foreclosure. For commercial loans, these modifications typically include an interest only period and, in some cases, a lowering of the interest rate on the loan. In some cases, the modification will include separating the note into two notes with the first note structured to be supported by current cash flows and collateral, and the second note made for the remaining unsecured debt. The second note is charged off immediately and collected only after the first note is paid in full. This modification type is commonly referred to as an A-B note structure. For consumer mortgage loans, the restructuring typically includes a lowering of the interest rate to provide payment and cash flow relief. For each restructuring, a comprehensive credit underwriting analysis of the borrower's financial condition and prospects of repayment under the revised terms is performed to assess whether the structure can be successful and that cash flows will be sufficient to support the restructured debt. An analysis is also performed to determine whether the restructured loan should be on accrual attents. Generally, if the loan is on accrual at the time of restructure, it will remain on accrual after the restructuring. In some cases, a nonaccrual loan may be placed on accrual at restructuring if the loan's actual payment history demonstrates it would have cash flowed under the restructured terms. After six cons

In situations where there is a subsequent modification or renewal and the loan is brought to market terms, including a contractual interest rate not less than a market interest rate for new debt with similar credit risk characteristics, the TDR and impaired loan designations may be removed. In addition, the TDR designation may also be removed from loans modified under an A-B note structure. If the remaining "A" note is at a market rate at the time of restructuring (taking into account the borrower's credit risk and prevailing market conditions), the loan can be removed from TDR designation in a subsequent calendar year after six months of performance in accordance with the new terms. The market rate relative to the borrower's credit risk is determined through analysis of market pricing information gathered from peers and use of a loan pricing model. The general objective of the model is to achieve a consistent return on equity from one credit to the next, taking into consideration differences in credit risk. In the model, credits with higher risk receive a higher potential loss allocation, and therefore require a higher interest rate to achieve the target return on equity.

As with other impaired loans, an allowance for loan loss is estimated for each TDR based on the most likely source of repayment for each loan. For impaired commercial real estate loans that are collateral dependent, the allowance is computed based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, less estimated costs to sell. For impaired commercial loans where repayment is expected from cash flows from business operations, the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation. Certain groups of TDRs, such as residential mortgages, have common characteristics and for them the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation on the change in weighted rate for the pool. The allowance allocations for commercial TDRs where we have reduced the contractual interest rate are computed by measuring cash flows using the new payment terms discounted at the original contractual rate.

The following table presents information regarding troubled debt restructurings as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	June 30	17	December	r 31	31, 2016		
		(Outstanding		Outstanding		
	Number of Recorded		Number of		Recorded		
	Loans		Balance	Loans		Balance	
Commercial and industrial	21	\$	4,343	25	\$	5,994	
Commercial real estate	40		9,896	49		11,933	
Consumer	107		9,179	116		12,059	
	168	\$	23,418	190	\$	29,986	

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents information related to accruing troubled debt restructurings as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016. The table presents the amount of accruing troubled debt restructurings that were on nonaccrual status prior to the restructuring, accruing at the time of restructuring and those that were upgraded to accruing status after receiving six consecutive monthly payments in accordance with the restructured terms as of each period reported (dollars in thousands):

		June 30,		ember 31,
	2	2017		2016
Accruing TDR - nonaccrual at restructuring	\$		\$	
Accruing TDR - accruing at restructuring		20,311		25,665
Accruing TDR - upgraded to accruing after six consecutive payments		2,787		4,172
	\$	23,098	\$	29,837

The following tables present information regarding troubled debt restructurings executed during the three month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	Th	ree Months Ended Ju 2017	me 30,	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016						
			Writedown			Writedown				
	# of	Pre-TDR	Pre-TDR Upon		Pre-TDR	Upon				
	Loans	Balance	TDR	Loans	Balance	TDR				
Commercial and industrial		\$	\$		\$	\$				
Commercial real estate	1	1,018								
Consumer	2	174		2	39					
	3	1,192	\$	2	\$ 39	\$				

The following tables present information regarding troubled debt restructurings executed during the six month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

		Six Months Ended June 30, 2017						Six Months Ended June 30, 2016						
	Writedown									Writedown				
	# of		Pre-TDR Upon		# of		Pro	e-TDR		Upon				
	Loans	_	Balance	TDR		Loans		Balance			TDR	_		
Commercial and industrial		- \$		\$				\$		\$				
Commercial real estate	1		1,018											
Consumer	2	<u> </u>	174				6		277					
	3		1,192	\$			6	\$	277	\$				

According to the accounting standards, not all loan modifications are TDRs. TDRs are modifications or renewals where the Company has granted a concession to a borrower in financial distress. The Company reviews all modifications and renewals for determination of TDR status. In some situations a borrower may be experiencing financial distress, but the Company does not provide a concession. These modifications are not considered TDRs. In other cases, the Company might provide a concession, such as a reduction in interest rate, but the borrower is not experiencing financial distress. This could be the case if the Company is matching a competitor's interest rate. These modifications would also not be considered TDRs. Finally, any renewals at existing terms for borrowers not experiencing financial distress would not be considered TDRs. As with other loans not considered TDR or impaired, allowance allocations are based on the historical based allocation for the applicable loan grade and loan class.

Payment defaults on TDRs have been minimal and during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, the balance of loans that became delinquent by more than 90 days past due or that were transferred to nonaccrual within 12 months of restructuring were not material.

NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

Credit Quality Indicators: The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of the borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes commercial loans individually and classifies these relationships by credit risk grading. The Company uses an eight point grading system, with grades 5 through 8 being considered classified, or watch, credits. All commercial loans are assigned a grade at origination, at each renewal or any amendment. When a credit is first downgraded to a watch credit (either through renewal, amendment, loan officer identification or the loan review process), an Administrative Loan Review ("ALR") is generated by the credit department and the loan officer. All watch credits have an ALR completed monthly which analyzes the collateral position and cash flow of the borrower and its guarantors. The loan officer is required to complete both a short term and long term plan to rehabilitate or exit the credit and to give monthly comments on the progress to these plans. Management meets quarterly with loan officers to discuss each of these credits in detail and to help formulate solutions where progress has stalled. When necessary, the loan officer proposes changes to the assigned loan grade as part of the ALR. Additionally, Loan Review reviews all loan grades upon origination, renewal or amendment and again as loans are selected though the loan review process. The credit will stay on the ALR until either its grade has improved to a 4 or the credit relationship is at a zero balance. The Company uses the following definitions for the risk grades:

- 1. Excellent Loans supported by extremely strong financial condition or secured by the Bank's own deposits. Minimal risk to the Bank and the probability of serious rapid financial deterioration is extremely small.
- 2. Above Average Loans supported by sound financial statements that indicate the ability to repay or borrowings secured (and margined properly) with marketable securities. Nominal risk to the Bank and probability of serious financial deterioration is highly unlikely. The overall quality of these credits is very high.
- 3. Good Quality Loans supported by satisfactory asset quality and liquidity, good debt capacity coverage, and good management in all critical positions. Loans are secured by acceptable collateral with adequate margins. There is a slight risk of deterioration if adverse market conditions prevail.
- 4. Acceptable Risk Loans carrying an acceptable risk to the Bank, which may be slightly below average quality. The borrower has limited financial strength with considerable leverage. There is some probability of deterioration if adverse market conditions prevail. These credits should be monitored closely by the Relationship Manager.
- 5. Marginally Acceptable Loans are of marginal quality with above normal risk to the Bank. The borrower shows acceptable asset quality but very little liquidity with high leverage. There is inconsistent earning performance without the ability to sustain adverse market conditions. The primary source of repayment is questionable, but the secondary source of repayment still remains an option. Very close attention by the Relationship Manager and management is needed.
- 6. Substandard Loans are inadequately protected by the net worth and paying capacity of the borrower or the collateral pledged. The primary and secondary sources of repayment are questionable. Heavy debt condition may be evident and volume and earnings deterioration may be underway. It is possible that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not immediately addressed and corrected.
- 7. Doubtful Loans supported by weak or no financial statements, as well as the ability to repay the entire loan, are questionable. Loans in this category are normally characterized less than adequate collateral, insolvent, or extremely weak financial condition. A loan classified doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in one classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses makes collection or liquidation in full highly questionable. The possibility of loss is extremely high, however, activity may be underway to minimize the loss or maximize the recovery.
- $\underline{8.\ Loss}$ Loans are considered uncollectible and of little or no value as a bank asset.

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

As of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the risk grade category of commercial loans by class of loans were as follows (dollars in thousands):

June 30, 2017	1	 2	3	 4	5	 6	 7	 8	 Total
Commercial and industrial	\$ 	\$ 17,376	\$ 118,507	\$ 284,659	\$ 11,321	\$ 3,349	\$ 6	\$ 	\$ 435,218
Commercial real estate:									
Residential developed			1,252	6,614	835				8,701
Unsecured to residential									
developers				4,734					4,734
Vacant and unimproved			15,826	19,012	3,519				38,357
Commercial development			116	138		189	49		492
Residential improved			6,180	67,695	1,628	1,323	221		77,047
Commercial improved		1,392	62,819	210,873	5,916	1,718	166		282,884
Manufacturing & industrial	 	 1,386	44,673	 53,468	 2,249	549		 	 102,325
	\$ 	\$ 20,154	\$ 249,373	\$ 647,193	\$ 25,468	\$ 7,128	\$ 442	\$ 	\$ 949,758
		 	 _						
December 31, 2016	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
December 31, 2016 Commercial and industrial	\$ 1	\$ 2 27,619	\$ 3 118,243	\$ 4 282,527	\$ 5 14,610	\$ 6 6,307	\$ 7 36	\$ 8	\$ Total 449,342
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1	\$ 	\$	\$ 	\$	\$	\$ 7 36	\$	\$
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate:	\$ 1	\$ 	\$ 118,243	\$ 282,527	\$ 14,610	\$	\$ 7 36	\$	\$ 449,342
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed	\$ 	\$ 	\$	\$ 	\$	\$	\$ 36	\$	\$
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential	\$	\$ 27,619	\$ 118,243	\$ 282,527 8,786	\$ 14,610	\$ 6,307	\$	\$ 	\$ 449,342 11,970
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers	\$	\$ 27,619	\$ 2,328	\$ 282,527 8,786 4,734	\$ 14,610 856	\$ 6,307	\$	\$ 	\$ 449,342 11,970 4,734
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved	\$ 	\$ 27,619	\$ 2,328	\$ 282,527 8,786 4,734 19,028	\$ 14,610	\$ 6,307 	\$ 	\$ 	\$ 449,342 11,970 4,734 40,286
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial development	\$ 	\$ 27,619	\$ 2,328 17,672	\$ 282,527 8,786 4,734 19,028 140	\$ 856 3,586	\$ 6,307 189	\$ 49	\$ 	\$ 449,342 11,970 4,734 40,286 378
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial development Residential improved	\$ 	\$ 27,619 	\$ 2,328 17,672 7,100	\$ 282,527 8,786 4,734 19,028 140 63,957	\$ 3,586 2,628	\$ 6,307 189 1,657	\$ 49 6	\$ 	\$ 11,970 4,734 40,286 378 75,348
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial development Residential improved Commercial improved	\$ 	\$ 27,619 2,433	\$ 2,328 17,672 7,100 66,259	\$ 282,527 8,786 4,734 19,028 140 63,957 210,449	\$ 856 3,586 2,628 9,084	\$ 6,307 189 1,657 1,125	\$ 49	\$ 	\$ 449,342 11,970 4,734 40,286 378 75,348 289,478
Commercial and industrial Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial development Residential improved	\$ 	\$ 27,619 	\$ 2,328 17,672 7,100	\$ 282,527 8,786 4,734 19,028 140 63,957	\$ 3,586 2,628	\$ 6,307 189 1,657	\$ 49 6	\$ 	\$ 11,970 4,734 40,286 378 75,348

Commercial loans rated a 6 or worse per the Company's internal risk rating system are considered substandard, doubtful or loss. Commercial loans classified as substandard or worse were as follows at period-end (dollars in thousands):

	June 30,	December 31,		
	 2017		2016	
Not classified as impaired	\$ 1,593	\$	2,608	
Classified as impaired	 5,977		7,498	
Total commercial loans classified substandard or worse	\$ 7,570	\$	10,106	

NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The Company considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For consumer loan classes, the Company also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in consumer loans based on payment activity (dollars in thousands):

D - - ! d - - 4! - 1

June 30, 2017		esidential Iortgage		onsumer nsecured	Home Equity	(Other
	10.	Torigage	U.		 Equity		
Performing	\$	212,541	\$	280	\$ 81,767	\$	6,793
Nonperforming		204			 12		
Total	\$	212,745	\$	280	\$ 81,779	\$	6,793
					 _		
	Re	esidential	C	onsumer	Home	(Consumer
December 31, 2016		esidential Iortgage		onsumer nsecured	 Home Equity		Consumer Other
December 31, 2016 Performing					\$	\$	
		Iortgage		nsecured	\$ Equity	\$	Other
Performing		fortgage 217,558		nsecured 396	\$ Equity 88,113	\$	Other 7,366

NOTE 4 – OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

Other real estate owned was as follows (dollars in thousands):

		Six		Year		Six
	Mont	hs Ended	Ended		Mo	onths Ended
	Ju	June 30,		December 31,		June 30,
	2	2017		2016		2016
Beginning balance	\$	22,864	\$	28,377	\$	28,377
Additions, transfers from loans		60		339		102
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned		(5,601)		(5,339)		(3,313)
Valuation allowance reversal upon sale		(6,395)		(1,158)		(334)
Gain on sales of other real estate owned		470		645		260
		11,398		22,864		25,092
Less: valuation allowance		(4,301)		(10,611)		(11,026)
Ending balance	\$	7,097	\$	12,253	\$	14,066

Activity in the valuation allowance was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Jι	Six ths Ended one 30, 2017	Six nths Ended June 30, 2016
Beginning balance	\$	10,611	\$ 10,805
Additions charged to expense		85	555
Reversals upon sale		(6,395)	 (334)
Ending balance	\$	4,301	\$ 11,026

NOTE 5 - FAIR VALUE

ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value include:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

<u>Level 2</u>: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

<u>Level 3</u>: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

ubbet of fluority.

<u>Investment Securities:</u> The fair values of investment securities are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs). The fair values of certain securities held to maturity are determined by computing discounted cash flows using observable and unobservable market inputs (Level 3 inputs).

Loans Held for Sale: The fair value of loans held for sale is based upon binding quotes from third party investors (Level 2 inputs).

Impaired Loans: Loans identified as impaired are measured using one of three methods: the loan's observable market price, the fair value of collateral or the present value of expected future cash flows. For each period presented, no impaired loans were measured using the loan's observable market price. If an impaired loan has had a chargeoff or if the fair value of the collateral is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we establish a specific reserve and report the loan as nonrecurring Level 3. The fair value of collateral of impaired loans is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned: Other real estate owned (OREO) properties are initially recorded at fair value, less estimated costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. Adjustments to OREO are measured at fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals or realtor evaluations of the property. These appraisals and evaluations may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less estimated costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized through a valuation allowance, and the property is reported as nonrecurring Level 3.

Interest Rate Swaps: For interest rate swap agreements, we measure fair value utilizing pricing provided by a third-party pricing source that that uses market observable inputs, such as forecasted yield curves, and other unobservable inputs and accordingly, interest rate swap agreements are classified as Level 3.

NOTE 5 – FAIR VALUE (Continued)

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

		(Quoted Prices in				
			Active Markets	Sig	gnificant Other		Significant
			for Identical		Observable		Unobservable
	Fair		Assets	Inputs			Inputs
	 Value		(Level 1)		(Level 2)		(Level 3)
<u>June 30, 2017</u>							
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$ 84,738	\$		\$	84,738	\$	
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs	11,843				11,843		
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds	39,659				39,659		
Taxable state and municipal bonds	35,068				35,068		
Corporate bonds and other debt securities	11,974				11,974		
Other equity securities	1,479				1,479		
Loans held for sale	3,184				3,184		
Interest rate swaps	395						395
Interest rate swaps	(395)						(395)
<u>December 31, 2016</u>							
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$ 84,350	\$		\$	84,350	\$	
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs	11,817				11,817		
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds	39,187				39,187		
Taxable state and municipal bonds	33,883				33,883		
Corporate bonds and other debt securities	13,726				13,726		
Other equity securities	1,470				1,470		
Loans held for sale	2,181				2,181		
Interest rate swaps	494						494
Interest rate swaps	(494)						(494)

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

June 30, 2017		Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		S	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)		Significant Jnobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Impaired loans	\$	3,052	\$		\$		\$	3,052
Other real estate owned		4,811						4,811
<u>December 31, 2016</u>								
Impaired loans	\$	3,436	\$		\$		\$	3,436
Other real estate owned		9,542						9,542
	• 0							
	- 29 -							

NOTE 5 – FAIR VALUE (Continued)

Quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements measured on a non-recurring basis was as follows at period end (dollars in thousands):

	Asset Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range (%)
June 30, 2017				
			Adjustment for differences	
Impaired Loans	\$ 3,052	Sales comparison approach	between comparable sales	5.0 to 15.0
		Income approach	Capitalization rate	9.5 to 11.0
			Adjustment for differences	
Other real estate owned	4,811	Sales comparison approach	between comparable sales	3.0 to 23.0
		Income approach	Capitalization rate	9.5 to 11.0
December 31, 2016	Asset Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range (%)
			Adjustment for differences	
Impaired Loans	\$ 3,436	Sales comparison approach	between comparable sales	1.0 to 35.0
		Income approach	Capitalization rate	9.5 to 11.5
			Adjustment for differences	
Other real estate owned	9,542	Sales comparison approach	between comparable sales	2.0 to 32.5
		Income approach	Capitalization rate	9.5 to 11.5
	_ 3	30 -		

NOTE 5 – FAIR VALUE (Continued)

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, not previously presented, were as follows at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	Level in	June 30	7	December 31, 2016				
	Fair Value Hierarchy	arrying Amount		Fair Value		Carrying Amount		Fair Value
Financial assets								
Cash and due from banks	Level 1	\$ 31,165	\$	31,165	\$	27,690	\$	27,690
Cash equivalents	Level 2	114,104		114,104		62,129		62,129
Securities held to maturity	Level 3	68,818		69,816		69,378		69,849
FHLB stock		11,558		NA		11,558		NA
Loans, net	Level 2	1,237,837		1,234,678		1,260,414		1,247,842
Bank owned life insurance	Level 3	39,781		39,781		39,274		39,274
Accrued interest receivable	Level 2	4,084		4,084		4,092		4,092
Financial liabilities								
Deposits	Level 2	(1,459,990)		(1,459,895)		(1,448,724)		(1,448,692)
Other borrowed funds	Level 2	(82,785)		(82,623)		(84,173)		(84,051)
Long-term debt	Level 2	(41,238)		(36,440)		(41,238)		(36,112)
Accrued interest payable	Level 2	(283)		(283)		(282)		(282)
Off-balance sheet credit-related items								
Loan commitments								

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows.

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, bank owned life insurance, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term borrowings and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. Security fair values are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities as discussed above. For fixed rate loans, interest-bearing time deposits in other financial institutions, or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk (including consideration of widening credit spreads). Fair value of debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off-balance sheet credit-related items is not significant.

NOTE 6 - DEPOSITS

Deposits are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	June 30,		cember 31,
	 2017		2016
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 481,769	\$	501,478
Interest bearing demand	342,551		340,715
Savings and money market accounts	557,922		532,853
Certificates of deposit	 77,748		73,678
	\$ 1,459,990	\$	1,448,724

Time deposits that exceed the FDIC insurance limit of \$250,000 were approximately \$19.2 million at June 30, 2017 and \$17.4 million at December 31, 2016.

NOTE 7 - OTHER BORROWED FUNDS

Other borrowed funds include advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank and borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank.

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

At period-end, advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Advance		Weighted Average
Principal Terms	Amount	Range of Maturities	Interest Rate
June 30, 2017	,		
Single maturity fixed rate advances	\$ 80,000	February 2018 to April 2021	1.60%
Amortizable mortgage advances	 2,785	March 2018 to July 2018	3.75%
	\$ 82,785		
	Advance		Weighted Average
Principal Terms	 Amount	Range of Maturities	Interest Rate
December 31, 2016	 		
Single maturity fixed rate advances	\$ 80,000	February 2018 to April 2021	1.60%
Amortizable mortgage advances	 4,173	March 2018 to July 2018	3.78%
	\$ 84,173		

Each advance is subject to a prepayment fee if paid prior to its maturity date. Fixed rate advances are payable at maturity. Amortizable mortgage advances are fixed rate advances with scheduled repayments based upon amortization to maturity. These advances were collateralized by residential and commercial real estate loans totaling \$442.9 million and \$425.0 million under a blanket lien arrangement at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

NOTE 7 - OTHER BORROWED FUNDS

Scheduled repayments of FHLB advances as of June 30, 2017 were as follows (in thousands):

2017	\$ 667
2018	52,118
2019	10,000
2020 2021	10,000
2021	10,000
Thereafter	
	\$ 82,785

Federal Reserve Bank borrowings

The Company has a financing arrangement with the Federal Reserve Bank. There were no borrowings outstanding at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, and the Company had approximately \$13.1 million and \$18.1 million in unused borrowing capacity based on commercial and mortgage loans pledged to the Federal Reserve Bank totaling \$15.7 million and \$20.7 million at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

NOTE 8 - EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 are as follows (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017	Ended Ended Ended June 30, June 30, June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30, 2016	
Net income available to common shares	\$ 4,762	\$ 3,745	\$ 9,223	\$ 7,240	
Weighted average shares outstanding, including participating stock awards- Basic	33,942,318	33,922,506	33,941,668	33,923,810	
Dilutive potential common shares:					
Stock options	5,809		6,703		
Stock warrants					
Weighted average shares outstanding - Diluted	33,948,127	33,922,506	33,948,371	33,923,810	
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.21	
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.21	

Stock options for 100,896 shares of common stock for both the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2016, were not considered in computing diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive. There were no antidilutive shares of common stock in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2017.

NOTE 9 - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017		Three Months Ended June 30, 2016		Six Months Ended June 30, 2017		Six Months Ended June 30, 2016	
Current	\$	(62)	\$	1,757	\$	1,742	\$	3,226
Deferred		2,191		(78)		2,353		(147)
	\$	2,129	\$	1,679	\$	4,095	\$	3,079

The difference between the financial statement tax expense and amount computed by applying the statutory federal tax rate to pretax income was reconciled as follows (dollars in thousands):

	E Ju	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017 Three Months Ended June 30, June 30, 2016		Ended June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30, 2017		Six Months Ended June 30, 2016	
Statutory rate		35%		35%		35%		35%
Statutory rate applied to income before taxes	\$	2,412	\$	1,899	\$	4,661	\$	3,612
Deduct								
Tax-exempt interest income		(186)		(149)		(369)		(297)
Bank-owned life insurance		(85)		(56)		(168)		(211)
Other, net		(12)		(15)		(29)		(25)
	\$	2,129	\$	1,679	\$	4,095	\$	3,079

The realization of deferred tax assets (net of a recorded valuation allowance) is largely dependent upon future taxable income, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and the ability to carryback losses to available tax years. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we consider positive and negative evidence, including taxable income in carry-back years, scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, expected future taxable income and tax planning strategies. No valuation allowance was necessary at June 30, 2017 or December 31, 2016.

NOTE 9 - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES (Continued)

The net deferred tax asset recorded included the following amounts of deferred tax assets and liabilities (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2017		ember 31, 2016
Deferred tax assets			
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 5,800	\$	5,937
Nonaccrual loan interest	620		718
Valuation allowance on other real estate owned	1,505		3,714
Unrealized loss on securities available for sale	158		799
Other	 255		176
Gross deferred tax assets	 8,338		11,344
Valuation allowance	 		
Total net deferred tax assets	8,338		11,344
Deferred tax liabilities			
Depreciation	(1,669)		(1,705)
Prepaid expenses	(399)		(399)
Unrealized gain on securities available for sale			
Other	 (401)		(377)
Gross deferred tax liabilities	(2,469)		(2,481)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 5,869	\$	8,863

There were no unrecognized tax benefits at June 30, 2017 or December 31, 2016 and the Company does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase or decrease in the next twelve months. The Company is no longer subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for years before 2013.

NOTE 10 - COMMITMENTS AND OFF BALANCE-SHEET RISK

Some financial instruments are used to meet customer financing needs and to reduce exposure to interest rate changes. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These involve, to varying degrees, credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount reported in the financial statements.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the commitment, and generally have fixed expiration dates. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments to guarantee a customer's performance to a third party. Exposure to credit loss if the other party does not perform is represented by the contractual amount for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Collateral or other security is normally not obtained for these financial instruments prior to their use and many of the commitments are expected to expire without being used.

A summary of the contractual amounts of financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk was as follows at period-end (dollars in thousands):

	J	June 30,		ember 31,		
	2017			2016		
Commitments to make loans	\$	129,918	\$	90,293		
Letters of credit		12,450		13,823		
Unused lines of credit		465,621		437,435		

The notional amount of commitments to fund mortgage loans to be sold into the secondary market was approximately \$10.6 million and \$19.8 million at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

NOTE 10 - COMMITMENTS AND OFF BALANCE-SHEET RISK (Continued)

At June 30, 2017, approximately 36.3% of the Bank's commitments to make loans were at fixed rates, offered at current market rates. The remainder of the commitments to make loans were at variable rates tied to prime or one month LIBOR and generally expire within 30 days. The majority of the unused lines of credit were at variable rates tied to prime.

NOTE 11 - CONTINGENCIES

The Company and its subsidiaries periodically become defendants in certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. As of June 30, 2017, there were no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or any of its subsidiaries are a party or which any of its properties are the subject.

NOTE 12 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Regulatory Capital

The Company and the Bank are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors, and the regulators can lower classifications in certain cases. Failure to meet various capital requirements can initiate regulatory action that could have a direct material effect on the financial statements.

The prompt corrective action regulations provide five categories, including well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. If a bank is only adequately capitalized, regulatory approval is required to, among other things, accept, renew or roll-over brokered deposits. If a bank is undercapitalized, capital distributions and growth and expansion are limited, and plans for capital restoration are required.

In July 2013, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC approved the final rules implementing the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's capital guidelines for U.S. banks (commonly known as Basel III). Under the final rules, which began for the Company and the Bank on January 1, 2015 and are subject to a phase-in period through January 1, 2019, minimum requirements will increase for both the quantity and quality of capital held by the Company and the Bank. The rules include a new common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio (CET1 ratio) of 4.5% and a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets, which when fully phased-in, effectively results in a minimum CET1 ratio of 7.0%. Basel III raises the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0% (which, with the capital conservation buffer, effectively results in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% when fully phased-in), which effectively results in a minimum total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 10.5% (with the capital conservation buffer fully phased-in), and requires a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%. Basel III also makes changes to risk weights for certain assets and off-balance-sheet exposures.

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

NOTE 12 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

At June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, actual capital levels and minimum required levels were (dollars in thousands):

			Minim		Minimum		To Be Capitalize	d Under
			Capit		Adequacy		Prompt Co	
		Actual		acy	Capital E		Action Reg	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
June 30, 2017								
CET1 capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Consolidated	\$ 170,426	11.6% \$,	4.5%		5.8%	N/A	N/A
Bank	204,469	13.9	66,228	4.5	84,626	5.8	\$ 95,664	6.5%
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Consolidated	210,426	14.3	88,135	6.0	106,497	7.3	N/A	N/A
Bank	204,469	13.9	88,304	6.0	106,702	7.3	117,740	8.0
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Consolidated	226,996	15.5	117,153	8.0	135,876	9.3	N/A	N/A
Bank	221,039	15.0	117,739	8.0	136,137	9.3	147,175	10.0
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)								
Consolidated	210,426	12.2	68,961	4.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bank	204,469	11.9	68,898	4.0	N/A	N/A	86,122	5.0
<u>December 31, 2016</u>								
CET1 capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Consolidated	\$ 163,663	11.0% \$	66,743	4.5%	\$ 76,013	5.1%	N/A	N/A
Bank	197,972	13.4	66,737	4.5	76,006	5.1	\$ 96,398	6.5%
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Consolidated	203,663	13.7	88,991	6.0	98,261	6.6	N/A	N/A
Bank	197,972	13.4	88,983	6.0	98,252	6.6	118,644	8.0
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Consolidated	220,625	14.9	118,655	8.0	127,925	8.6	N/A	N/A
Bank	214,934	14.5	118,644	8.0	127,913	8.6	148,305	10.0
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)								
Consolidated	203,663	12.0	67,810	4.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bank	197,972	11.7	67,742	4.0	N/A	N/A	84,677	5.0

Approximately \$40.0 million of trust preferred securities outstanding at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, qualified as Tier 1 capital. Refer to our 2016 Form 10-K for more information on the trust preferred securities.

The Bank was categorized as "well capitalized" at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Index

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Macatawa Bank Corporation is a Michigan corporation and a registered bank holding company. It wholly-owns Macatawa Bank, Macatawa Statutory Trust I and Macatawa Statutory Trust II. Macatawa Bank is a Michigan chartered bank with depository accounts insured by the FDIC. The Bank operates twenty-six branch offices and a lending and operational service facility, providing a full range of commercial and consumer banking and trust services in Kent County, Ottawa County, and northern Allegan County, Michigan. Macatawa Statutory Trusts I and II are grantor trusts and issued \$20.0 million each of pooled trust preferred securities. These trusts are not consolidated in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

At June 30, 2017, we had total assets of \$1.76 billion, total loans of \$1.25 billion, total deposits of \$1.46 billion and shareholders' equity of \$170.2 million. During the second quarter of 2017, we recognized net income of \$4.8 million compared to net income of \$3.7 million in the second quarter of 2016. For the six months ended June 30, 2017, we recognized net income of \$9.2 million compared to \$7.2 million for the same period in 2016. The Bank was categorized as "well capitalized" under regulatory capital standards at June 30, 2017.

We paid a dividend of \$0.03 per share in each quarter of 2016. We increased the dividend to \$0.04 per share in the first and second quarters of 2017.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Summary: Net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2017 was \$4.8 million, compared to net income of \$3.7 million in the second quarter of 2016. Net income per common share on a diluted basis was \$0.14 for the second quarter of 2017 and \$0.11 for the second quarter of 2016. For the six months ended June 30, 2017, net income was \$9.2 million, compared to \$7.2 million for the same period in 2016. Net income per share on a diluted basis for the six months ended June 30, 2017 was \$0.27 compared to \$0.21 for the same period in 2016.

The increase in earnings in the second quarter of 2017 compared to the second quarter of 2016 was due primarily to increased net interest income and reduced nonperforming asset expenses. Net interest income increased to \$12.7 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$11.6 million in the same period in 2016. The provision for loan losses was a negative \$500,000 for the second quarter of 2017, compared to a negative \$750,000 for the second quarter of 2016. Nonperforming asset expenses (including administration costs and losses) were a negative \$158,000 for the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$460,000 for the second quarter of 2016, primarily as a result of a decrease of \$469,000 in writedowns of other real estate owned. We again were in a net loan recovery position for the second quarter of 2017, with \$374,000 in net loan recoveries, compared to \$580,000 in net loan recoveries in the second quarter of 2016.

The increase in earnings for the six month period ended June 30, 2017 compared to the same period of 2016, was due primarily to increased net interest income and reduced nonperforming asset expenses. Net interest income increased to \$25.3 million in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$23.3 million in the same period in 2016. Nonperforming asset expenses (including administration costs and losses) were a negative \$63,000 for the first six months of 2017 compared to \$871,000 for the first six months of 2016, primarily as a result of a net gains on other real estate owned of \$385,000 for the first six months of 2017 compared to net losses of \$294,000 for the same period in 2016. The provision for loan losses was a negative \$1.0 million for the first six months of 2017, compared to a negative \$850,000 for the first six months of 2016. We again were in a net loan recovery position for the first half of 2017, with \$608,000 in net loan recoveries, compared to \$728,000 in net loan recoveries in the first half of 2016. Each of these items is discussed more fully below.

Net Interest Income: Net interest income totaled \$12.7 million for the second quarter of 2017 and \$11.6 million for the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, net interest income was \$25.3 million compared to \$23.3 million for the same period in 2016.

Net interest income was positively impacted in the second quarter of 2017 by an increase in average earning assets of \$63.3 million compared to the second quarter of 2016. Our average yield on earning assets for the second quarter of 2017 increased 17 basis points compared to the same period in 2016 from 3.41% to 3.58%. Average interest earning assets totaled \$1.59 billion for the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$1.53 billion for the second quarter of 2016. The net interest margin was 3.24% for the second quarter of 2016 average securities between periods and an increase of \$48.5 million in average securities between periods and an increase of \$48.5 million in average loans were the primary drivers of the increase. Yield on commercial loans increased from 3.86% for the second quarter of 2016 to 4.02% for the second quarter of 2017. Yield on residential mortgage loans decreased from 3.49% for the second quarter of 2016 to 3.47% for the second quarter of 2017, while yields on consumer loans increased from 3.99% for the second quarter of 2016 to 4.17% for the second quarter of 2017. The December 2016 and March 2017 increases in the federal funds rate had a net positive impact on our net interest margin position as more loans repriced at the higher rate than our funding sources.

Average interest earning assets increased to \$1.59 billion for the first six months of 2017, compared to \$1.54 billion for the first six months of 2016. Our average yield on earning assets increased 17 basis points for the first half of 2017 in comparison to the same period in 2016. Our net interest margin was 3.25% for the first six months of 2017 compared to 3.09% for the same period in 2016. Net interest margin for the first six months of 2017 benefitted from the December 2016 and March 2017 increases in the federal funds rate. The commercial loan yield in the first six months of 2017 was also positively impacted by the complete payoff of a loan that had been on nonaccrual, resulting in the realization of \$267,000 in interest income that had been deferred.

The cost of funds increased to 0.49% and 0.48% in the three and six month periods of 2017 from 0.47% in the same periods of 2016. Increases in the rates paid on our savings and money market accounts in response to the December 2016 and March 2017 federal funds rate increases caused the slight increase in our cost of funds.

The following table shows an analysis of net interest margin for the three month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

		For the three months crided Julie 30,											
				2017				2016					
		Average Balance		Interest Earned or Paid	Average Yield or Cost	Average Balance		Interest Earned or Paid	Average Yield or Cost				
<u>Assets</u>													
Taxable securities	\$	145,596	\$	646	1.77% \$	132,431	\$	565	1.71%				
Tax-exempt securities (1)		106,495		545	3.21	84,468		441	3.37				
Commercial loans (2)		956,815		9,730	4.02	899,336		8,768	3.86				
Residential mortgage loans		214,857		1,863	3.47	219,301		1,917	3.49				
Consumer loans		91,157		948	4.17	95,722		949	3.99				
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		11,558		121	4.15	11,558		122	4.18				
Federal funds sold and other short-term													
investments		68,371		189	1.09	88,719		111	0.49				
Total interest earning assets (1)		1,594,849	·	14,042	3.58	1,531,535	·	12,873	3.41				
Noninterest earning assets:													
Cash and due from banks		30,499				26,537							
Other		98,227				96,253							
Total assets	\$	1,723,575			\$	1,654,325							
Liabilities													
Deposits:													
Interest bearing demand	\$	325,429	\$	68	0.08% \$	325,432	\$	77	0.10%				
Savings and money market accounts	Ф	557,075	φ	350	0.08 / 6 \$	509,098	Ф	231	0.10 %				
Time deposits		79,085		139	0.70	85,585		131	0.62				
Borrowings:		77,003		137	0.70	65,565		131	0.02				
Other borrowed funds		87,894		358	1.61	102,642		458	1.77				
Long-term debt		41,238		422	4.05	41,238		368	3.53				
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			_	1,337	0.49		_	1,265	0.47				
Total interest bearing liabilities		1,090,721		1,337	0.49	1,063,995		1,203	0.47				
Noninterest bearing liabilities:													
Noninterest bearing demand accounts		458,186				426,588							
Other noninterest bearing liabilities		6,427				7,078							
Shareholders' equity		168,241				156,664							
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	1,723,575			\$	1,654,325							
Net interest income			e.	12,705			\$	11,608					
net interest income			3	12,703			3	11,008					
Net interest spread (1)					3.09%				2.94%				
Net interest margin (1)					3.24%				3.08%				
Ratio of average interest earning assets to													
average interest bearing liabilities		146.22%	ó			143.94%	Ó						

For the three months ended June 30,

⁽¹⁾ Yields are presented on a tax equivalent basis using a 35% tax rate.

⁽²⁾ Includes loan fees of \$157,000 and \$114,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016. Includes average nonaccrual loans of approximately \$592,000 and \$367,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

The following table shows an analysis of net interest margin for the six month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

		For the six months ended June 30,												
				2017				2016						
		Average Balance		Interest Earned or Paid	Average Yield or Cost	Average Balance		Interest Earned or Paid	Average Yield or Cost					
Assets Taxable securities	\$	146.612	\$	1.285	1.75% \$	130,986	\$	1.115	1.70%					
Tax-exempt securities (1)	Þ	107,546	Ф	1,283	3.18	84,551	Ф	874	3.28					
Commercial loans (2)		956,484		19,387	4.03	895,287		17,660	3.90					
Residential mortgage loans		216,050		3,743	3.47	216,182		3,801	3.51					
Consumer loans		92,263		1,865	4.08	97,695		1,929	3.97					
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		11,558		245	4.21	11,558		246	4.21					
Federal funds sold and other short-term		11,000		2.0		11,000		2.0						
investments		56,832		281	0.98	99,092		256	0.51					
Total interest earning assets (1)		1,587,345	_	27,890	3.58	1,535,351		25,881	3.41					
Noninterest earning assets:														
Cash and due from banks		28,387				25,784								
Other		99,424				97,823								
Total assets	\$	1,715,156			\$									
Liabilities														
Deposits:														
Interest bearing demand	\$	323,231	\$	138	0.08% \$	330,079	\$	162	0.10%					
Savings and money market accounts		553,404		641	0.23	511,171		469	0.19					
Time deposits		78,529		259	0.67	87,931		271	0.62					
Borrowings:														
Other borrowed funds		93,427		740	1.57	99,282		900	1.79					
Long-term debt		41,238		824	3.98	41,238		733	3.52					
Total interest bearing liabilities		1,089,829		2,602	0.48	1,069,701		2,535	0.47					
Noninterest bearing liabilities:														
Noninterest bearing demand accounts		453,583				427,111								
Other noninterest bearing liabilities		5,454				6,692								
Shareholders' equity		166,290				155,454								
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	1,715,156			<u>\$</u>	1,658,958								
Net interest income			\$	25,288			\$	23,346						
Net interest spread (1)					3.10%		_		2.94%					
Net interest margin					3.25%				3.09%					
Ratio of average interest earning assets to average interest bearing liabilities		145.65%	,			143.53%	,							
		145.65%	0			145.53%	0							

⁽¹⁾

Yields are presented on a tax equivalent basis using a 35% tax rate. Includes loan fees of \$366,000 and \$358,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016. Includes average nonaccrual loans of approximately \$488,000 and (2) \$476,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

Provision for Loan Losses: The provision for loan losses for the second quarter of 2017 was a negative \$500,000 compared to a negative \$750,000 for the second quarter of 2016. The negative provisions for loan losses for each period were the result of continued stabilization of real estate values on problem credits, continued improvement in asset quality metrics and net loan recoveries of \$374,000 in the second quarter of 2017 and \$580,000 in the second quarter of 2016. At June 30, 2017, we had experienced net loan recoveries in twelve of the past thirteen quarters, and in each of the past ten quarters. The provision for loan losses for the first half of 2017 was a negative \$1.0 million compared to a negative \$850,000 for the same period in 2016.

Gross loan recoveries were \$513,000 for the second quarter of 2017 and \$616,000 for the same period in 2016. In the second quarter of 2017, we had \$139,000 in charge-offs, compared to \$36,000 in the second quarter of 2016. For the six months ended June 30, 2017, we experienced gross loan recoveries of \$773,000 compared to \$840,000 for the same period in 2016. Loan charge-offs were \$165,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2017 compared to \$112,000 for the same period in 2016. We continue to experience positive results from our collection efforts as evidenced by our net loan recoveries. While we expect our collection efforts to produce further recoveries, they may not continue at the same level we have experienced the past several quarters.

The amounts of loan loss provision in both the most recent quarter and comparable prior year period were the result of establishing our allowance for loan losses at levels believed necessary based upon our methodology for determining the adequacy of the allowance. The sustained lower level of quarterly net charge-offs over the past several quarters had a significant effect on the historical loss component of our methodology. More information about our allowance for loan losses and our methodology for establishing its level may be found under the heading "Allowance for Loan Losses" below.

Noninterest Income: Noninterest income for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2017 were \$4.5 million and \$8.7 million compared to \$4.5 million and \$9.1 million for the same periods in 2016. The components of noninterest income are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	J	ee Months Ended une 30, 2017	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016		Six Months Ended June 30, 2017		Six Months Ended June 30, 2016	
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	\$	1,110	\$	1,112	\$	2,170	\$	2,159
Net gains on mortgage loans		476		572		904		1,060
Trust fees		833		788		1,611		1,496
Gain as sales of securities				10		3		99
ATM and debit card fees		1,338		1,257		2,539		2,443
Bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") income		243		158		481		602
Investment services fees		250		270		466		573
Other income		228		369		535		712
Total noninterest income	\$	4,478	\$	4,536	\$	8,709	\$	9,144

Net gains on mortgage loans were down \$96,000 in the second quarter of 2017 compared to the second quarter of 2016 as a result of an overall lower level of volume. Mortgage loans originated for sale in the second quarter of 2017 were \$16.7 million, compared to \$19.0 million in the second quarter of 2016. Mortgage loans originated for portfolio in the second quarter of 2017 were \$12.1 million, compared to \$23.1 million in the second quarter of 2016. ATM and debit card fees were up in the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 due to higher volume of usage by our customers. Mortgage loans originated for sale for the first six months of 2017 were \$33.7 million, down from \$37.9 million in the first six months of 2016. BOLI income in the first six months of 2016 included \$290,000 in net benefits from the distribution of a death claim on a covered former employee. Trust fees were up in the first six months of 2017 due to investment market value changes and growth in trust assets.

Noninterest Expense: Noninterest expense decreased to \$10.8 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2017, from \$11.5 million for the same period in 2016. Noninterest expense decreased to \$21.7 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2017 compared to \$23.0 million for the same period in 2016. The components of noninterest expense are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017			hree Months Ended June 30, 2016	 Six Months Ended June 30, 2017	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016	
Salaries and benefits	\$	6,153	\$	6,168	\$ 12,152	\$	12,355
Occupancy of premises		991		901	2,017		1,883
Furniture and equipment		750		839	1,482		1,704
Legal and professional		197		188	422		347
Marketing and promotion		225		275	453		550
Data processing		731		688	1,413		1,347
FDIC assessment		134		220	270		472
Interchange and other card expense		324		308	637		594
Bond and D&O insurance		118		131	234		263
Net (gains) losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties		(300)		258	(385)		294
Administration and disposition of problem assets		142		202	322		577
Outside services		408		389	857		758
Other noninterest expense		919		903	1,805		1,877
Total noninterest expense	\$	10,792	\$	11,470	\$ 21,679	\$	23,021

Most categories of noninterest expense were relatively flat or had reductions compared to the second quarter of 2016 due to our ongoing efforts to manage expenses and scale our operations. Our largest component of noninterest expense, salaries and benefits, decreased by \$15,000 in the second quarter of 2017 from the second quarter of 2016. This decrease is largely due to a lower level of costs associated with employee benefits, particularly medical insurance, which were down \$28,000 compared to the second quarter of 2017 due to a lower level of claims. Variable based compensation was down \$84,000 compared to the second quarter of 2016 and was down \$159,000 for the first six months of 2017 compared to the same period in 2016 due to lower mortgage production and brokerage volume. We had 344 full-time equivalent employees at June 30, 2017 compared to 343 at June 30, 2016.

Occupancy expenses were up \$90,000 in the second quarter of 2017 and were up \$134,000 for the first six months of 2017 compared to the same periods in 2016 due to higher maintenance costs incurred associated with certain branch facilities.

Our FDIC assessment costs decreased by \$86,000 in the second quarter of 2017 compared to the same period in 2016 and by \$202,000 for the first six months of 2017 due primarily to positive changes in our assessment rates. These costs have been trending down for the past few years and we believe the rate has stabilized and future expense fluctuations will likely be dependent on changes in our asset size.

Costs associated with administration and disposition of problem assets have decreased significantly over the past several years. These expenses include legal costs, repossessed and foreclosed property administration expense and losses on repossessed and foreclosed property administration expense includes survey and appraisal, property maintenance and management and other disposition and carrying costs. Losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties include both net gains and losses on the sale of properties and unrealized losses from value declines for outstanding properties. We experienced decreases in each of these three expense categories in the second quarter of 2017 and the first six month of 2017 compared to the same periods in the prior year.

These costs are itemized in the following table (in thousands):

	e Months Ended	T	hree Months Ended	;	Six Months Ended	Six Months Ended
	ne 30, 2017		June 30, 2016		June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016
Legal and professional – nonperforming assets	\$ 18	\$	29	\$	35	\$ 99
Repossessed and foreclosed property administration	124		173		287	478
Net (gains) losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties	 (300)		258		(385)	294
Total	\$ (158)	\$	460	\$	(63)	\$ 871

As problem loans move through the collection process, the costs associated with nonperforming assets remained elevated, but have decreased significantly over the past several years. Other real estate owned decreased from \$14.1 million at June 30, 2016 to \$7.1 million at June 30, 2017. During the second quarter of 2017, we sold our largest individual other real estate owned property (carry value of \$3.4 million) for a net gain of \$68,000. This property was responsible for a significant portion of our nonperforming asset expense, including maintenance, property taxes and utility costs. With the reductions in other real estate owned properties, we believe we will experience more reductions in these costs going forward.

Losses on repossessed assets and foreclosed properties for the three month period ended June 30, 2017 decreased \$558,000 from the same period in 2016. For the first six months of 2017, these expenses decreased \$679,000 from the same period in 2016. These decreases were primarily due to a lower level of writedowns of other real estate properties in these periods. In the second quarter of 2016, valuation writedowns totaled \$426,000, due primarily to a writedown on our largest other real estate owned property. As discussed above, this property was sold in the second quarter of 2017. Also contributing to the decrease was an increase of \$153,000 in net gains on sales of other real estate owned. In the first six months of 2017, we recognized net gains totaling \$470,000 on such sales, compared to \$260,000 for the same period in 2016.

Federal Income Tax Expense: We recorded \$2.1 million and \$4.1 million in federal income tax expense for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2017 compared to \$1.7 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, in the same periods in 2016. Our effective tax rate for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2017 was 30.90% and 30.75%, compared to 30.96% and 29.83%, respectively, for the same periods in 2016.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Total assets were \$1.76 billion at June 30, 2017, an increase of \$18.1 million from \$1.74 billion at December 31, 2016. This change reflected increases of \$55.5 million in cash and cash equivalents offset by decreases of \$29.5 million in our loan portfolio and \$3.1 million in other assets. Total deposits increased by \$11.3 million and other borrowed funds decreased by \$1.4 million at June 30, 2017 compared to December 31, 2016.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Our cash and cash equivalents, which include federal funds sold and short-term investments, were \$145.3 million at June 30, 2017 compared to \$89.8 million at December 31, 2016. The increase in these balances related primarily to the decrease in our total loans and increase in total deposits in the same period.

Securities: Securities available for sale were \$184.8 million at June 30, 2017 compared to \$184.4 million at December 31, 2016. The balance at June 30, 2017 primarily consisted of U.S. agency securities, agency mortgage backed securities and various municipal investments. Our held to maturity portfolio decreased from \$69.4 million at December 31, 2016 to \$68.8 million at June 30, 2017. Our held to maturity portfolio is comprised of state and municipal bonds.

Portfolio Loans and Asset Quality: Total portfolio loans decreased by \$29.5 million in the first six months of 2017 and were \$1.25 billion at June 30, 2017 compared to \$1.28 billion at December 31, 2016. During the first six months of 2017, our commercial portfolio decreased by \$17.6 million, while our consumer portfolio decreased by \$7.0 million and our residential mortgage portfolio decreased by \$4.9 million. The decrease in the commercial portfolio is seasonal and we expect balances to increase throughout the remainder of 2017. In addition, we have been focusing efforts to increase our consumer and residential mortgage portfolio segments to further diversify our credit risk.

The volume of residential mortgage loans originated for sale in the first six months of 2017 decreased \$4.2 million compared to the same period in 2016 due to a higher interest rate environment. Residential mortgage loans originated for sale were \$33.7 million in the first six months of 2017 compared to \$37.9 million in the first six months of 2016. Mortgage loans originated for portfolio in the first six months of 2017 were \$12.1 million, compared to \$37.2 million in the first six months of 2016. Mortgage loans originated for portfolio are typically loans that conform to secondary market requirements and have a term of fifteen years or less.

Overall, the commercial loan portfolio decreased \$17.6 million in the first six months of 2017. Our commercial and industrial portfolio decreased by \$14.1 million and our commercial real estate loans decreased by \$3.5 million. Considering our pipeline of commercial credits at June 30, 2017, we expect to achieve measured, high quality loan portfolio growth throughout the remainder of 2017.

Commercial and commercial real estate loans remained our largest loan segment and accounted for approximately 76% of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016. Residential mortgage and consumer loans comprised approximately 24% of total loans at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

A further breakdown of the composition of the loan portfolio is shown in the table below (in thousands):

	June 3	0, 2017	December 31, 2016			
	Balance	Percent of Total Loans	Balance	Percent of Total Loans		
Commercial real estate: (1)	<u></u>					
Residential developed	\$ 8,701	0.7%	11,970	0.9%		
Unsecured to residential developers	4,734	0.4	4,734	0.4		
Vacant and unimproved	38,357	3.1	40,286	3.1		
Commercial development	492		378			
Residential improved	77,047	6.2	75,348	5.9		
Commercial improved	282,884	22.6	289,478	22.6		
Manufacturing and industrial	102,325	8.2	95,787	7.5		
Total commercial real estate	514,540	41.2	517,981	40.4		
Commercial and industrial	435,218	34.8	449,342	35.1		
Total commercial	949,758	76.0	967,323	75.5		
Consumer						
Residential mortgage	212,745	17.0	217,614	17.0		
Unsecured	280		396			
Home equity	81,779	6.5	88,113	6.9		
Other secured	6,793	0.5	7,366	0.6		
Total consumer	301,597	24.0	313,489	24.5		
Total loans	\$ 1,251,355	100.0%	1,280,812	100.0%		

(1) Includes both owner occupied and non-owner occupied commercial real estate.

Commercial real estate loans accounted for approximately 41% of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2017 and consisted primarily of loans to business owners and developers of owner and non-owner occupied commercial properties and loans to developers of single and multi-family residential properties. In the table above, we show our commercial real estate portfolio by loans secured by residential and commercial real estate, and by stage of development. Improved loans are generally secured by properties that are under construction or completed and placed in use. Development loans are secured by properties that are in the process of development or fully developed. Vacant and unimproved loans are secured by raw land for which development has not yet begun and agricultural land.

Our consumer residential mortgage loan portfolio, which also includes residential construction loans made to individual homeowners, comprised approximately 17% of portfolio loans at both June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016. We expect to continue to retain in our loan portfolio certain types of residential mortgage loans (primarily high quality, low loan-to-value loans) in an effort to continue to diversify our credit risk and deploy our excess liquidity. A large portion of our residential mortgage loan production continues to be sold on the secondary market with servicing released.

The volume of residential mortgage loans originated for sale during the first six months of 2017 decreased from the first six months of 2016 as a result of interest rate conditions. We are also experiencing a shift in production to financing new home purchases versus refinancings.

Our portfolio of other consumer loans includes loans secured by personal property and home equity fixed term and line of credit loans. Consumer loans decreased by \$7.0 million to \$88.9 million at June 30, 2017 from \$95.9 million at December 31, 2016, due primarily to a decrease in home equity loans. Consumer loans comprised approximately 7% of our portfolio loans at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

The following table shows our loan origination activity for portfolio loans during the first six months of 2017 and 2016, broken out by loan type and also shows average originated loan size (dollars in thousands):

		Six m	onths ended June 30, 2	2017	Six months ended June 30, 2016					
			Percent of		Percent of					
		ortfolio	Total	Average	Portfolio	Total	Average			
	Ori	ginations	Originations	Loan Size	Originations	Originations	Loan Size			
Commercial real estate:										
Residential developed	\$	1,494	1.1%	\$ 498	\$ 5,227	3.4% \$	871			
Unsecured to residential developers										
Vacant and unimproved		1,663	1.2	238	221	0.2	110			
Commercial development		125	0.1	125	2,200	1.5	2,200			
Residential improved		22,673	16.5	246	37,174	24.4	409			
Commercial improved		15,144	11.0	891	21,382	14.0	1,018			
Manufacturing and industrial		5,700	4.1	814	10,956	7.2	996			
Total commercial real estate		46,799	34.0	368	77,160	50.7	584			
Commercial and industrial		46,992	34.2	712	18,088	11.9	266			
Total commercial		93,791	68.2	486	95,248	62.6	476			
Consumer										
Residential mortgage		21,274	15.5	239	37,201	24.4	201			
Unsecured					12		12			
Home equity		21,177	15.4	84	18,496	12.1	80			
Other secured		1,235	0.9	16	1,316	0.9	20			
Total consumer		43,686	31.8	104	57,025	37.4	118			
Total loans	\$	137,477	100.0%	224	\$ 152,273	100.0%	223			

The following table shows a breakout of our commercial loan activity during the first six months of 2017 and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	Six Months		Six Months
	Ended		Ended
	June 30,		June 30,
	2017		2016
Commercial loans originated	\$ 93,79	1 \$	95,248
Repayments of commercial loans	(88,69	0)	(79,989)
Change in undistributed - available credit	(22,66	<u>6</u>) _	(6,796)
Net increase/(decrease) in total commercial loans	\$ (17,56	5) \$	8,463

Our loan portfolio is reviewed regularly by our senior management, our loan officers, and an internal loan review team that is independent of our loan originators and credit administration. An administrative loan committee consisting of senior management and seasoned lending and collections personnel meets monthly to manage our internal watch list and proactively manage high risk loans.

When reasonable doubt exists concerning collectability of interest or principal of one of our loans, the loan is placed in nonaccrual status. Any interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed and charged against current earnings.

Nonperforming assets are comprised of nonperforming loans, foreclosed assets and repossessed assets. At June 30, 2017, nonperforming assets totaled \$7.8 million compared to \$12.6 million at December 31, 2016. Additions to other real estate owned in the first six months of 2017 were \$60,000, compared to \$102,000 in the first six months of 2016. At June 30, 2017, there were no loans in redemption, so we expect there to be few additions to other real estate owned in 2017. Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties were \$5.6 million in the first six months of 2017, resulting in a net realized gain on sale of \$470,000. We sold our largest individual foreclosed property in the second quarter of 2017. Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties were \$3.3 million in the first six months of 2016 resulting in a net realized gain on sale of \$260,000. Based upon purchase agreements in place at June 30, 2017 and the sale of our largest individual property in the second quarter of 2017, we expect the level of sales of foreclosed properties to be lower in the second half of 2017 than experienced in the first half of 2017.

Nonperforming loans include loans on nonaccrual status and loans delinquent more than 90 days but still accruing. As of June 30, 2017, nonperforming loans totaled \$670,000, or 0.05% of total portfolio loans, compared to \$300,000, or 0.02% of total portfolio loans, at December 31, 2016.

Nonperforming loans at June 30, 2017 consisted of \$436,000 of commercial real estate loans, \$6,000 of commercial and industrial loans, and \$228,000 of consumer and residential mortgage loans.

Foreclosed and repossessed assets include assets acquired in settlement of loans. Foreclosed assets totaled \$7.1 million at June 30, 2017 and \$12.3 million at December 31, 2016. Of this balance at June 30, 2017, there were 25 commercial real estate properties totaling approximately \$6.9 million. The remaining balance was comprised of 5 residential properties totaling approximately \$166,000. All properties acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure are initially transferred at their fair value less estimated costs to sell and then evaluated monthly for impairment after transfer using a lower of cost or market approach. Updated property valuations are obtained at least annually on all foreclosed assets.

At June 30, 2017, our foreclosed asset portfolio had a weighted average age held in portfolio of 5.44 years. Below is a breakout of our foreclosed asset portfolio at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 by property type and the percentages the property has been written down since taken into our possession and the combined writedown percentage, including losses taken when the property was loan collateral (dollars in thousands):

		June 30, 2017		December 31, 2016					
	Carrying	Foreclosed Asset	Combined Writedown (Loan and Foreclosed	Carrying Value at Carrying	Foreclosed Asset	Combined Writedown (Loan and Foreclosed			
Foreclosed Asset Property Type	 Value	Writedown	Asset)	Value	Writedown	Asset)			
Single Family	\$ 56	%	%	\$ 136	%	20.3%			
Residential Lot	120	54.0	74.9	438	30.1	48.0			
Multi-Family									
Vacant Land	2,487	44.3	51.1	3,096	47.2	58.3			
Residential Development	2,260	35.7	75.7	2,570	36.2	74.2			
Commercial Office	128	64.7	66.3	240	49.3	51.1			
Commercial Industrial									
Commercial Improved	 2,046	9.4	29.2	5,773	48.7	51.2			
	\$ 7,097	35.0	60.9	\$ 12,253	45.2	60.1			

The following table shows the composition and amount of our nonperforming assets (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 466	\$ 300
Loans 90 days or more delinquent and still accruing	204	
Total nonperforming loans (NPLs)	670	300
Foreclosed assets	7,097	12,253
Repossessed assets		
Total nonperforming assets (NPAs)	\$ 7,767	\$ 12,553
NPLs to total loans	0.05%	6 0.02%
NPAs to total assets	0.44%	6 0.72%

The following table shows the composition and amount of our troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

		June 30, 2017						December 31, 2016				
	Con	nmercial	C	onsumer		Total	Co	mmercial	C	onsumer		Total
Performing TDRs	\$	13,920	\$	9,179	\$	23,099	\$	17,786	\$	12,051	\$	29,837
Nonperforming TDRs (1)		319				319		141		8		149
Total TDRs	\$	14,239	\$	9,179	\$	23,418	\$	17,927	\$	12,059	\$	29,986

(1) Included in nonperforming asset table above

We had a total of \$23.4 million and \$30.0 million of loans whose terms have been modified in TDRs as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. These loans may have involved the restructuring of terms to allow customers to mitigate the risk of foreclosure by meeting a lower loan payment requirement based upon their current cash flow. These may also include loans that renewed at existing contractual rates, but below market rates for comparable credit. For each restructuring, a comprehensive credit underwriting analysis of the borrower's financial condition and prospects of repayment under the revised terms is performed to assess whether the structure can be successful and that cash flows will be sufficient to support the restructured debt. An analysis is also performed to determine whether the restructured loan should be on accrual status. Generally, if the loan is on accrual at the time of restructure, it will remain on accrual after the restructuring. In some cases, a nonaccrual loan may be placed on accrual at restructuring if the loan's actual payment history demonstrates it would have cash flowed under the restructured terms. After six consecutive payments under the restructured terms, a nonaccrual restructured loan is reviewed for possible upgrade to accruing status. In situations where there is a subsequent modification or renewal and the loan is brought to market terms, including a contractual interest rate not less than a market interest rate for new debt with similar credit risk characteristics, the TDR and impaired designations may be removed. Total TDRs decreased by \$6.6 million from December 31, 2016 to June 30, 2017. Of this decrease, \$2.4 million related to a consumer property that was sold during the period and the remainder of the decrease was primarily due to paydowns on commercial TDRs.

As with other impaired loans, an allowance for loan loss is estimated for each TDR based on the most likely source of repayment for each loan. For impaired commercial real estate loans that are collateral dependent, the allowance is computed based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, less estimated costs to sell. For impaired commercial loans where repayment is expected from cash flows from business operations, the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation. Certain groups of TDRs, such as residential mortgages, have common characteristics and for them the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation on the change in weighted rate for the pool. The allowance allocations for commercial TDRs where we have reduced the contractual interest rate are computed by measuring cash flows using the new payment terms discounted at the original contractual rate.

Allowance for loan losses: The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2017 was \$16.6 million, a decrease of \$392,000 from \$17.0 million at December 31, 2016. The balance of the allowance for loan losses represented 1.32% of total portfolio loans at both June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016. The allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loan coverage ratio decreased from 5,654% at December 31, 2016 to 2,473% at June 30, 2017.

The table below shows the changes in these metrics over the past five quarters:

	rter Ended une 30,	•	arter Ended March 31,	Quarter Ended December 31,		Quarter Ended September 30,	Q	Quarter Ended June 30,
(Dollars in millions)	 2017		2017	2016	_	2016		2016
Commercial loans	\$ 949.8	\$	962.1	\$ 967	.3	\$ 923.2	\$	894.4
Nonperforming loans	0.7		0.4	C	.3	0.2		0.3
Other real estate owned and repo assets	7.1		12.1	12	.3	13.1		14.1
Total nonperforming assets	7.8		12.5	12	.6	13.3		14.4
Net charge-offs (recoveries)	(0.4)		(0.2)	(1	.2)	(0.1)		(0.6)
Total delinguencies	0.8		0.9	1	.4	0.3		1.0

As discussed earlier, we have had net loan recoveries in twelve of the last thirteen quarters and in each of the last ten quarters. Our total delinquencies have continued to be negligible and were \$815,000 at June 30, 2017 and \$1.4 million at December 31, 2016. Our delinquency percentage at June 30, 2017 was just 0.07%, well below the Bank's peers.

These factors all impact our necessary level of allowance for loan losses and our provision for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses decreased \$392,000 in the first six months of 2017. We recorded a negative provision for loan losses of \$1.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017 compared to a negative \$850,000 for the same period of 2016. Net loan recoveries were \$608,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2017, compared to net recoveries of \$728,000 for the same period in 2016. The ratio of net charge-offs to average loans was (0.10)% on an annualized basis for the first six months of 2017, compared to (0.12)% for the first six months of 2016.

We are encouraged by the reduced level of charge-offs over recent quarters. We do, however, recognize that future charge-offs and resulting provisions for loan losses are expected to be impacted by the timing and extent of changes in the overall economy and the real estate markets. We believe we have seen some stabilization in economic conditions and real estate markets. However, we expect it to take additional time for sustained improvement in the economy and real estate markets in order to further reduce our impaired loans.

Our allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level believed appropriate based upon our assessment of the probable estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Our methodology for measuring the appropriate level of allowance and related provision for loan losses relies on several key elements, which include specific allowances for loans considered impaired, general allowance for commercial loans not considered impaired based upon applying our loan rating system, and general allocations based on historical trends for homogeneous loan groups with similar risk characteristics.

Overall, impaired loans declined by \$6.2 million to \$23.4 million at June 30, 2017 compared to \$29.7 million at December 31, 2016. The specific allowance for impaired loans decreased \$170,000 to \$1.5 million at June 30, 2017, compared to \$1.7 million at December 31, 2016. The specific allowance for impaired loans represented 6.5% of total impaired loans at June 30, 2017 and 5.7% at December 31, 2016. The overall balance of impaired loans remained elevated partially due to an accounting rule (ASU 2011-02) adopted in 2011 that requires us to identify classified loans that renew at existing contractual rates as TDRs if the contractual rate is less than market rates for similar loans at the time of renewal.

The general allowance allocated to commercial loans that were not considered to be impaired was based upon the internal risk grade of such loans. We use a loan rating method based upon an eight point system. Loans are stratified between real estate secured and non real estate secured. The real estate secured portfolio is further stratified by the type of real estate. Each stratified portfolio is assigned a loss allocation factor. A higher numerical grade assigned to a loan category generally results in a greater allocation percentage. Changes in risk grade of loans affect the amount of the allowance allocation.

The determination of our loss factors is based upon our actual loss history by loan grade and adjusted for significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the analysis date. We use a rolling 18 month actual net chargeoff history as the base for our computation. Over the past few years, the 18 month period computations have reflected sizeable decreases in net chargeoff experience. We addressed this volatility in the qualitative factor considerations applied in our allowance for loan losses computation. Adjustments to the qualitative factors also involved consideration of different loss periods for the Bank, including 12, 24, 36, 48 and 60 month periods. We also considered the extended period of improved asset quality in assessing the overall qualitative component. Considering the change in our qualitative factors and our commercial loan portfolio balances, the general allowance allocated to commercial loans was \$12.0 million at June 30, 2017 and \$12.1 million at December 31, 2016. This resulted in a general reserve percentage allocated at June 30, 2017 of 1.28% of commercial loans, an increase from 1.27% at December 31, 2016. The qualitative component of our allowance allocated to commercial loans was \$11.9 million at June 30, 2017 (down from \$12.4 million at December 31, 2016).

Groups of homogeneous loans, such as residential real estate and open- and closed-end consumer loans, receive allowance allocations based on loan type. A rolling 12 month (four quarter) historical loss experience period was applied to residential mortgage and consumer loan portfolios. As with commercial loans that are not considered impaired, the determination of the allowance allocation percentage is based principally on our historical loss experience. These allocations are adjusted for consideration of general economic and business conditions, credit quality and delinquency trends, collateral values, and recent loss experience for these similar pools of loans. The homogeneous loan allowance was \$3.1 million at both June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

The allowance allocations are not intended to imply limitations on usage of the allowance for loan losses. The entire allowance for loan losses is available for any loan losses without regard to loan type.

Premises and Equipment: Premises and equipment totaled \$48.6 million at June 30, 2017, down \$1.4 million from \$50.0 million at December 31, 2016. During the second quarter of 2017 we sold a property in Grand Rapids that had been held for future branch expansion for \$590,000, recognizing a net loss on sale of \$69,000.

Deposits and Other Borrowings: Total deposits increased \$11.3 million to \$1.46 billion at June 30, 2017, as compared to \$1.45 billion at December 31, 2016. Non-interest checking account balances decreased \$19.7 million during the six months of 2017. Interest bearing demand account balances increased \$1.8 million and savings and money market account balances increased \$25.1 million in the first six months of 2017. Certificates of deposits increased by \$4.0 million in the first six months of 2017. We believe our success in maintaining the balances of personal and business checking and savings accounts was primarily attributable to our focus on quality customer service, the desire of customers to deal with a local bank, the convenience of our branch network and the breadth and depth of our sophisticated product line.

Noninterest bearing demand accounts comprised 33% of total deposits at June 30, 2017 and 35% at December 31, 2016. These balances typically increase at year end for many of our commercial customers, then decline in the first quarter. Because of the generally low rates paid on interest bearing account alternatives, many of our business customers chose to keep their balances in these more liquid noninterest bearing demand account types. Interest bearing demand, including money market and savings accounts, comprised 62% of total deposits at June 30, 2017 and 60% at December 31, 2016. Time accounts as a percentage of total deposits were 5% at both June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Borrowed funds totaled \$124.0 million at June 30, 2017, including \$82.8 million of Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances and \$41.2 million in long-term debt associated with trust preferred securities. Borrowed funds totaled \$125.4 million at December 31, 2016, including \$84.2 million of FHLB advances and \$41.2 million in long-term debt associated with trust preferred securities. Borrowed funds decreased by \$1.4 million in the first six months of 2017 due to an annual payment on an amortizing FHLB advance.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Total shareholders' equity of \$170.2 million at June 30, 2017 increased \$7.9 million from \$162.2 million at December 31, 2016. The increase was primarily a result of net income of \$9.2 million earned in the first six months of 2017 and an increase of \$1.2 million in accumulated other comprehensive income, partially offset by the payment of \$2.7 million in cash dividends to shareholders. The Bank was categorized as "well capitalized" at June 30, 2017.

In July 2013, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC approved the final rules implementing the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's capital guidelines for U.S. banks (commonly known as Basel III). Under the final rules, which began for the Company and the Bank on January 1, 2015 and are subject to a phase-in period through January 1, 2019, minimum requirements will increase for both the quantity and quality of capital held by the Company and the Bank. The rules include a new common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio (CET1 ratio) of 4.5% and a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets, which when fully phased-in, effectively results in a minimum CET1 ratio of 7.0%. Basel III raises the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0% (which, with the capital conservation buffer, effectively results in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% when fully phased-in), effectively results in a minimum total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 10.5% (with the capital conservation buffer fully phased-in), and requires a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%. Basel III also makes changes to risk weights for certain assets and off-balance-sheet exposures. We expect that the capital ratios for the Company and the Bank under Basel III will continue to exceed the well capitalized minimum capital requirements.

The following table shows our regulatory capital ratios (on a consolidated basis) for the past several quarters:

	June 30,	March 31,	Dec 31,	Sept 30,	June 30,
Macatawa Bank Corporation	2017	2017	2016	2016	2016
Total capital to risk weighted assets	15.5%	15.1%	14.9%	15.2%	15.2%
Common Equity Tier 1 to risk weighted assets	11.6	11.3	11.0	11.3	11.1
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets	14.3	14.0	13.7	14.1	14.0
Tier 1 capital to average assets	12.2	12.1	12.0	12.0	11.9

Approximately \$40.0 million of trust preferred securities outstanding at June 30, 2017 qualified as Tier 1 capital.

LIQUIDITY

Liquidity of Macatawa Bank: The liquidity of a financial institution reflects its ability to manage a variety of sources and uses of funds. Our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows categorize these sources and uses into operating, investing and financing activities. We primarily focus on developing access to a variety of borrowing sources to supplement our deposit gathering activities and provide funds for our investment and loan portfolios. Our sources of liquidity include our borrowing capacity with the FRB's discount window, the Federal Home Loan Bank, federal funds purchased lines of credit and other secured borrowing sources with our correspondent banks, loan payments by our borrowers, maturity and sales of our securities available for sale, growth of our deposits, federal funds sold and other short-term investments, and the various capital resources discussed above

Liquidity management involves the ability to meet the cash flow requirements of our customers. Our customers may be either borrowers with credit needs or depositors wanting to withdraw funds. Our liquidity management involves periodic monitoring of our assets considered to be liquid and illiquid, and our funding sources considered to be core and non-core and short-term (less than 12 months) and long-term. We have established parameters that monitor, among other items, our level of liquid assets to short-term liabilities, our level of non-core funding reliance and our level of available borrowing capacity. We maintain a diversified wholesale funding structure and actively manage our maturing wholesale sources to reduce the risk to liquidity shortages. We have also developed a contingency funding plan to stress test our liquidity requirements arising from certain events that may trigger liquidity shortages, such as rapid loan growth in excess of normal growth levels or the loss of deposits and other funding sources under extreme circumstances.

We have actively pursued initiatives to maintain a strong liquidity position. The Bank has reduced its reliance on non-core funding sources, including brokered deposits, and focused on achieving a non-core funding dependency ratio below its peer group average. We have had no brokered deposits on our balance sheet since December 2011. We continue to maintain significant on-balance sheet liquidity. At June 30, 2017, the Bank held \$114.1 million of federal funds sold and other short-term investments. In addition, the Bank had available borrowing capacity from correspondent banks of approximately \$292.8 million as of June 30, 2017.

In the normal course of business, we enter into certain contractual obligations, including obligations which are considered in our overall liquidity management. The table below summarizes our significant contractual obligations at June 30, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

	Less than				More than
	1 year		1-3 years	3-5 years	5 years
Long term debt	\$		\$	\$ 	\$ 41,238
Time deposit maturities	40,	411	35,418	1,919	
Other borrowed funds	32,	118	40,667	10,000	
Operating lease obligations		247	399	 32	
Total	\$ 72,	776	\$ 76,484	\$ 11,951	\$ 41,238

In addition to normal loan funding, we also maintain liquidity to meet customer financing needs through unused lines of credit, unfunded loan commitments and standby letters of credit. The level and fluctuation of these commitments is also considered in our overall liquidity management. At June 30, 2017, we had a total of \$465.6 million in unused lines of credit, \$129.9 million in unfunded loan commitments and \$12.5 million in standby letters of credit.

Liquidity of Holding Company: The primary sources of liquidity for the Company are dividends from the Bank, existing cash resources and the capital markets if the need to raise additional capital arises. Banking regulations and the laws of the State of Michigan in which our Bank is chartered limit the amount of dividends the Bank may declare and pay to the Company in any calendar year. Under the state law limitations, the Bank is restricted from paying dividends to the Company in excess of retained earnings. In 2016, the Bank paid dividends to the Company totaling \$6.2 million. In the same period, the Company paid dividends to its shareholders totaling \$4.0 million. On February 27, 2017, the Bank paid a dividend totaling \$1.8 million to the Company in anticipation of the common share cash dividend of \$0.04 per share paid on February 28, 2017 to shareholders of record on February 13, 2017. The cash distributed for this cash dividend payment totaled \$1.4 million. On May 30, 2017, the Bank paid a dividend totaling \$1.9 million to the Company in anticipation of the common share cash dividend of \$0.04 per share paid on May 30, 2017 to shareholders of record on May 15, 2017. The cash distributed for this cash dividend payment totaled \$1.4 million. The Company retained the remaining balance in each period for general corporate purposes. At June 30, 2017, the Bank had a retained earnings balance of \$43.4 million.

During 2016, the Company received payments from the Bank totaling \$7.1 million, representing the Bank's intercompany tax liability for the 2016 tax year, in accordance with the Company's tax allocation agreement. During the first six months of 2017, the Company received payments from the Bank totaling \$1.0 million, representing the Bank's intercompany tax liability for the first six months of 2017.

The Company has the right to defer interest payments for 20 consecutive quarters on its trust preferred securities if necessary for liquidity purposes. During the deferral period, the Company may not declare or pay any dividends on its common stock or make any payment on any outstanding debt obligations that rank equally with or junior to the trust preferred securities.

The Company's cash balance at June 30, 2017 was \$5.6 million. The Company believes that it has sufficient liquidity to meet its cash flow obligations.

Index

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES:

To prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, other real estate owned valuation, loss contingencies and income taxes are deemed critical due to the required level of management judgment and the use of estimates, making them particularly subject to change.

Our methodology for determining the allowance for loan losses and the related provision for loan losses is described above in the "Allowance for Loan Losses" discussion. This area of accounting requires significant judgment due to the number of factors which can influence the collectability of a loan. Unanticipated changes in these factors could significantly change the level of the allowance for loan losses and the related provision for loan losses. Although, based upon our internal analysis, and in our judgment, we believe that we have provided an adequate allowance for loan losses, there can be no assurance that our analysis has properly identified all of the probable losses in our loan portfolio. As a result, we could record future provisions for loan losses that may be significantly different than the levels that we recorded in the first six months of 2017.

Assets acquired through or instead of foreclosure, primarily other real estate owned, are initially recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. New real estate appraisals are generally obtained at the time of foreclosure and are used to establish fair value. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Estimating the initial and ongoing fair value of these properties involves a number of factors and judgments including holding time, costs to complete, holding costs, discount rate, absorption and other factors.

Loss contingencies are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. This, too, is an accounting area that involves significant judgment. Although, based upon our judgment, internal analysis, and consultations with legal counsel we believe that we have properly accounted for loss contingencies, future changes in the status of such contingencies could result in a significant change in the level of contingent liabilities and a related impact to operating earnings.

Our accounting for income taxes involves the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities primarily associated with differences in the timing of the recognition of revenues and expenses for financial reporting and tax purposes. At June 30, 2017, we had gross deferred tax assets of \$8.3 million, gross deferred tax liabilities of \$2.4 million resulting in a net deferred tax asset of \$5.9 million. Accounting standards require that companies assess whether a valuation allowance should be established against their deferred tax assets based on the consideration of all available evidence using a "more likely than not" standard. Each reporting period we consider all reasonably available positive and negative evidence and determine whether it is "more likely than not" that we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets. With the positive results in the first six months of 2017, we concluded at June 30, 2017 that no valuation allowance on our net deferred tax asset was required. Changes in tax laws, changes in tax rates, changes in ownership and our future level of earnings can impact the ultimate realization of our net deferred tax asset.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Our primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk and, to a lesser extent, liquidity risk. All of our transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars with no specific foreign exchange exposure. Macatawa Bank has only limited agricultural-related loan assets, and therefore has no significant exposure to changes in commodity prices.

Our balance sheet has sensitivity, in various categories of assets and liabilities, to changes in prevailing rates in the U.S. for prime rate, mortgage rates, U.S. Treasury rates and various money market indexes. Our asset/liability management process aids us in providing liquidity while maintaining a balance between interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

We utilize a simulation model as our primary tool to assess the direction and magnitude of variations in net interest income and the economic value of equity ("EVE") resulting from potential changes in market interest rates. Key assumptions in the model include contractual cash flows and maturities of interest-sensitive assets and interest-sensitive liabilities, prepayment speeds on certain assets, and changes in market conditions impacting loan and deposit pricing. We also include pricing floors on discretionary priced liability products which limit how low various checking and savings products could go under declining interest rates. These floors reflect our pricing philosophy in response to changing interest rates.

We forecast the next twelve months of net interest income under an assumed environment of gradual changes in market interest rates under various scenarios. The resulting change in net interest income is an indication of the sensitivity of our earnings to directional changes in market interest rates. The simulation also measures the change in EVE, or the net present value of our assets and liabilities, under an immediate shift, or shock, in interest rates under various scenarios, as calculated by discounting the estimated future cash flows using market-based discount rates.

The following table shows the impact of changes in interest rates on net interest income over the next twelve months and EVE based on our balance sheet as of June 30, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

	E	Economic			
		Value of	Percent	Net Interest	Percent
Interest Rate Scenario		Equity	Change	Income	Change
Interest rates up 200 basis points	\$	224,375	(0.68)%	\$ 55,191	4.86%
Interest rates up 100 basis points		226,145	0.10	53,891	2.39
No change		225,917		52,633	
Interest rates down 100 basis points		206,632	(8.54)	50,468	(4.11)
Interest rates down 200 basis points		199,903	(11.51)	48,749	(7.38)

If interest rates were to increase, this analysis suggests that we are positioned for an improvement in net interest income over the next twelve months.

We also forecast the impact of immediate and parallel interest rate shocks on net interest income under various scenarios to measure the sensitivity of our earnings under extreme conditions.

The quarterly simulation analysis is monitored against acceptable interest rate risk parameters by the Asset/Liability Committee and reported to the Board of Directors.

In addition to changes in interest rates, the level of future net interest income is also dependent on a number of other variables, including: the growth, composition and absolute levels of loans, deposits, and other earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities; economic and competitive conditions; potential changes in lending, investing and deposit gathering strategies; and client preferences.

Item 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) as of June 30, 2017, the end of the period covered by this report.

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, as the Company's are designed to do, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating whether the benefits of the controls and procedures that the Company adopts outweigh their costs.

Our CEO and CFO, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report, have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms.

(b) <u>Changes in Internal Controls.</u> During the period covered by this report, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 6. EXHIBITS.

3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation. Previously filed with the Commission on April 28, 2011 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-
	Q, Exhibit 3.1. Here incorporated by reference.
3.2	Bylaws, Previously filed with the Commission on February 19, 2015 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended
	December 31, 2014, Exhibit 3.1. Here incorporated by reference.
4.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation. Exhibit 3.1 is here incorporated by reference.
4.2	Bylaws. Exhibit 3.2 is here incorporated by reference.
4.3	Long-Term Debt. The registrant has outstanding long-term debt which at the time of this report does not exceed 10% of the registrant's total consolidated
	assets. The registrant agrees to furnish copies of the agreements defining the rights of holders of such long-term debt to the SEC upon request.
10.1	Change in control agreements between Macatawa Bank Corporation and its Chief Operating Officer. Previously filed with the Commission on Form 8-K on
	February 1, 2017, Exhibit 10.1. Here incorporated by reference.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
<u>31.2</u>	Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION

/s/ Ronald L. Haan
Ronald L. Haan
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Jon W. Swets
Jon W. Swets

Jon W. Swets Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: July 27, 2017

EXHIBIT 31.1

I, Ronald L. Haan, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2017 of Macatawa Bank Corporation;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared; and
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: July 27, 2017

/s/ Ronald L. Haan Ronald L. Haan Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

EXHIBIT 31.2

I, Jon W. Swets, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2017 of Macatawa Bank Corporation;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared; and
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: July 27, 2017

/s/ Jon W. Swets
Jon W. Swets
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT 32.1

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, each of the undersigned hereby certifies in his capacity as an officer of Macatawa Bank Corporation (the "Company") that the Quarterly Report of the Company on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2017 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition of the Company at the end of such period and the results of operations of the Company for such period.

/s/ Ronald L. Haan Ronald L. Haan Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

> /s/ Jon W. Swets Jon W. Swets Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: July 27, 2017