# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☑ QUARTERLY REPORT PURS	SUANT TO SECTION 13	OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES	S EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
		For the quarterly period ended S	eptember 30, 2017	
		OR		
☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURS	SUANT TO SECTION 13	OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES	S EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
		For the transition period from	to	
		Commission file number:	000-25927	
	MACATA	WA BANK	CORPORATI	ON
		(Exact name of registrant as spec		
(State or other jurisdic	Michigan tion of incorporation or o	rganization)		3391345 er Identification No.)
		10753 Macatawa Drive, Hollan (Address of principal executive of		
	Registr	ant's telephone number, including	area code: (616) 820-1444	
Indicate by checkmark whether the months (or for such shorter period the Yes ⊠ No □				schange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 ements for the past 90 days.
				active Data File required to be submitted and god that the registrant was required to submi
				reporting company, or an emerging growth mpany" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
Large accelerated filer □	Accelerated filer ⊠	Non-accelerated filer $\square$	Smaller reporting company □	Emerging Growth Company $\square$
If an emerging growth company, incaccounting standards provided pursu			the extended transition period for co	emplying with any new or revised financial
Indicate by check mark whether the	registrant is a shell comp	any (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the	ne Exchange Act). Yes □ No ⊠	
The number of shares outstanding o value) were outstanding as of Octob		ses of common stock, as of the lat	est practicable date: 33,941,203 shar	res of the Company's Common Stock (no par

#### Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on management's beliefs, assumptions, current expectations, estimates and projections about the financial services industry, the economy, and Macatawa Bank Corporation. Forward-looking statements are identifiable by words or phrases such as "outlook", "plan" or "strategy"; that an event or trend "may", "should", "will", "is likely", or is "probable" to occur or "continue", has "begun" or "is scheduled" or "on track" or that the Company or its management "anticipates", "believes", "estimates", "plans", "forecasts", "intends", "predicts", "projects", or "expects" a particular result, or is "committed", "confident", "optimistic" or has an "opinion" that an event will occur, or other words or phrases such as "ongoing", "future", "signs", "efforts", "tend", "exploring", "appearing", "until", "near term", "concern", "going forward", "focus", "starting", "initiative," "trend" and variations of such words and similar expressions. Such statements are based upon current beliefs and expectations and involve substantial risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forwardlooking statements. These statements include, among others, future levels of earning assets, statements related to stabilization of our loan portfolio, trends in credit quality metrics, future capital levels and capital needs, including the impact of Basel III, real estate valuation, future levels of repossessed and foreclosed properties and nonperforming assets, future levels of losses and costs associated with the administration and disposition of repossessed and foreclosed properties and nonperforming assets, future levels of loan charge-offs, future levels of other real estate owned, future levels of provisions for loan losses and reserve recoveries, the rate of asset dispositions, future dividends, future growth and funding sources, future cost of funds, future liquidity levels, future profitability levels, future FDIC assessment levels, future net interest margin levels, building and improving our investment portfolio, diversifying our credit risk, the effects on earnings of changes in interest rates, future economic conditions, future effects of new or changed accounting standards, future loss recoveries, future balances of short-term investments, future loan demand and loan growth, future levels of mortgage banking revenue and the future level of other revenue sources. Management's determination of the provision and allowance for loan losses, the appropriate carrying value of intangible assets (including deferred tax assets) and other real estate owned, and the fair value of investment securities (including whether any impairment on any investment security is temporary or otherthan-temporary and the amount of any impairment) involves judgments that are inherently forward-looking. All statements with references to future time periods are forwardlooking. All of the information concerning interest rate sensitivity is forward-looking. Our ability to sell other real estate owned at its carrying value or at all, successfully implement new programs and initiatives, increase efficiencies, maintain our current levels of deposits and other sources of funding, maintain liquidity, respond to declines in collateral values and credit quality, increase loan volume, originate high quality loans, maintain or improve mortgage banking income, realize the benefit of our deferred tax assets, continue payment of dividends and improve profitability is not entirely within our control and is not assured. The future effect of changes in the real estate, financial and credit markets and the national and regional economy on the banking industry, generally, and Macatawa Bank Corporation, specifically, are also inherently uncertain. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions ("risk factors") that are difficult to predict with regard to timing, extent, likelihood and degree of occurrence. Therefore, actual results and outcomes may materially differ from what may be expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. Macatawa Bank Corporation does not undertake to update forward-looking statements to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that may arise after the date of the forward-looking statements.

Risk factors include, but are not limited to, the risk factors described in "Item 1A - Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. These and other factors are representative of the risk factors that may emerge and could cause a difference between an ultimate actual outcome and a preceding forward-looking statement.

Part I.	Financial Information:	
	<u>Item 1.</u>	
	Consolidated Financial Statements	4
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	10
	Item 2.	
	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	40
	Item 3.	
	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	55
	Item 4.	
	Controls and Procedures	56
Part II.	Other Information:	
	Item 6.	
	<u>Exhibits</u>	57
<u>Signatures</u>		58

### MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
As of September 30, 2017 (unaudited) and December 31, 2016
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Se	ptember 30, 2017	De	ecember 31, 2016
SSETS	•	20.210	Φ.	27 (00
Cash and due from banks	\$	28,318	\$	27,690
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments		131,571		62,129
Cash and cash equivalents		159,889		89,819
Securities available for sale, at fair value		214,182		184,433
Securities held to maturity (fair value 2017 - \$62,854 and 2016 - \$69,849)		61,927		69,378
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock		11,558		11,558
Loans held for sale, at fair value		2,199		2,181
Total loans		1,260,037		1,280,812
Allowance for loan losses		(16,434)		(16,962
Net loans		1,243,603		1,263,850
Premises and equipment – net		46,822		50,026
Accrued interest receivable		4,532		4,092
Bank-owned life insurance		40,042		39,274
Other real estate owned - net		6,661		12,253
Net deferred tax asset		5,992		8,863
Other assets		5,639		5,286
Total assets	\$	1,803,046	\$	1,741,013
IABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Deposits				
Noninterest-bearing	\$	497,310	\$	501,478
Interest-bearing		1,008,868		947,246
Total deposits		1,506,178		1,448,724
Other borrowed funds		72,118		84,173
Long-term debt		41,238		41,238
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		10,048		4,639
Total liabilities		1,629,582		1,578,774
Commitments and contingent liabilities				
Shareholders' equity				
Common stock, no par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized; 33,941,953 and 33,940,788 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016		217,099		216,731
Retained deficit		(43,307)		(53,008
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(328)		(1,484
Total shareholders' equity		173,464		162,239
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	1,803,046	\$	1,741,013

### MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME Three and Nine Month Periods Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Three I End Septem 20	led ber 30,	Three Months Ended September 30, 2016	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016
Interest income	_				
Loans, including fees	\$	12,804	\$ 11,838	\$ 37,800	\$ 35,228
Securities					
Taxable		741	584	2,025	1,699
Tax-exempt		574	451	1,658	1,325
FHLB Stock		122	122	367	368
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments		385	127	666	383
Total interest income		14,626	13,122	42,516	39,003
Interest expense					
Deposits		732	431	1,770	1,333
Other borrowings		314	418	1,053	1,318
Long-term debt		442	371	1,267	1,104
Total interest expense		1,488	1,220	4,090	3,755
Net interest income		13,138	11,902	38,426	35,248
Provision for loan losses		(350)	(250)	(1,350)	(1,100)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		13,488	12,152	39,776	36,348
Noninterest income		15,100	12,102	33,770	20,210
Service charges and fees		1,172	1.152	3,342	3,312
Net gains on mortgage loans		369	1,175	1,273	2,235
Trust fees		801	790	2,412	2,286
ATM and debit card fees		1,324	1,272	3,863	3,715
Gain on sales of securities				3	99
Bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") income		249	146	730	748
Other		385	540	1,386	1,824
Total noninterest income		4,300	5,075	13,009	14,219
Noninterest expense		7,500	3,073	13,007	14,217
Salaries and benefits		6,211	6,166	18,363	18,521
Occupancy of premises		922	901	2,939	2,784
Furniture and equipment		797	772	2,278	2,476
Legal and professional		199	153	621	500
Marketing and promotion		226	275	678	825
Data processing		655	741	2,068	2,089
FDIC assessment		134	166	404	638
		333	334	970	927
Interchange and other card expense					
Bond and D&O Insurance		119	132	353	395
Net (gains) losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties		(190)	115	(575)	409
Administration and disposition of problem assets		113	210	435	787
Other		1,237	1,308	3,900	3,943
Total noninterest expenses		10,756	11,273	32,434	34,294
Income before income tax		7,032	5,954	20,351	16,273
Income tax expense		2,157	1,350	6,253	4,429
Net income	\$	4,875	\$ 4,604	\$ 14,098	\$ 11,844
Basic earnings per common share	\$	0.14	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.35
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.14	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.35
Cash dividends per common share	\$	0.05	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.09
	Ψ	0.03	Ψ 0.03	Ψ 0.13	Ψ 0.09

# MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME Three and Nine Month Periods Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

### (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

	Sept	ee Months Ended ember 30, 2017	En Septen	Months ded hber 30,	Nine M Endo Septemb 201	ed per 30,	Septe	e Months Ended ember 30, 2016
Net income	\$	4,875	\$	4,604	\$	14,098	\$	11,844
Other comprehensive income:								
Unrealized gains (losses):								
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale		(53)		120		1,782		1,774
Tax effect		19		(42)		(624)		(621)
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale, net of tax		(34)		78		1,158		1,153
Less: reclassification adjustments:								
Reclassification for gains included in net income						3		99
Tax effect						(1)		(35)
Reclassification for gains included in net income, net of tax						2		64
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax		(34)		78		1,156		1,089
Comprehensive income	\$	4,841	\$	4,682	\$	15,254	\$	12,933

# MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Nine Month Periods Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

		Common		Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensive		Total Shareholders'
	Stock			Deficit	Income (Loss)		Equity
Balance, January 1, 2016	\$	216,540	\$	(64,910)	\$ 347	\$	151,977
Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2016				11,844			11,844
Cash dividends at \$.09 per share				(3,042)			(3,042)
Repurchase of 4,373 shares for taxes withheld on vested restricted stock		(31)					(31)
Net change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of tax					1,089		1,089
Stock compensation expense		408					408
Balance, September 30, 2016	\$	216,917	\$	(56,108)	\$ 1,436	\$	162,245
	-					_	
Balance, January 1, 2017	\$	216,731	\$	(53,008)	\$ (1,484)	\$	162,239
Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2017				14,098			14,098
Cash dividends at \$.13 per share				(4,397)			(4,397)
Repurchase of 533 shares for taxes withheld on vested restricted stock		(5)					(5)
Issuance of 4,000 shares for stock option exercise		34					34
Net change in unrealized loss on securities available for sale, net of tax					1,156		1,156
Stock compensation expense		339					339
Balance, September 30, 2017	\$	217,099	\$	(43,307)	\$ (328)	\$	173,464

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Nine Month Periods Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016
Cash flows from operating activities	\$ 14.098	¢ 11.944
Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:	\$ 14,098	\$ 11,844
Depreciation and amortization	1.435	2.149
Stock compensation expense	339	2,149
Provision for loan losses	(1,350)	
Origination of loans for sale	(45,018)	
Proceeds from sales of loans originated for sale	46,273	79,094
Net gains on mortgage loans	(1,273)	
Gain on sales of securities	(1,273)	
Write-down of other real estate	85	774
Net gain on sales of other real estate	(660)	
Net loss on sale of premises and equipment	240	(303)
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	2,249	(167)
Change in accrued interest receivable and other assets	(794)	
Earnings in bank-owned life insurance	(734)	
Change in accrued expenses and other liabilities	4,041	1,341
	18,932	13,658
Net cash from operating activities	18,932	13,038
Cash flows from investing activities	21.525	(27, 600
Loan originations and payments, net	21,537	(37,699)
Change in interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions		20,000
Purchases of securities available for sale	(48,409)	. , ,
Purchases of securities held to maturity	(16,411)	
Purchase of bank-owned life insurance		(10,000)
Proceeds from:	2.7.7.	<b>*</b> 0.600
Maturities and calls of securities	35,763	59,680
Sales of securities available for sale	5,807	9,648
Principal paydowns on securities	4,585	3,027
Sales of other real estate	6,227	4,155
Sales of premises and equipment	1,742	
Death benefit from bank-owned life insurance	(72.4)	518
Additions to premises and equipment	(734)	
Net cash from investing activities	10,107	(45,429)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Change in deposits	57,454	(76,885)
Repayments and maturities of other borrowed funds	(32,055)	( / /
Proceeds from other borrowed funds	20,000	10,000
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	34	
Repurchase of shares for taxes withheld on vested restricted stock	(5)	
Cash dividends paid	(4,397)	(3,042)
Net cash from financing activities	41,031	(91,954)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	70,070	(123,725)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	89,819	181,476
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 159,889	\$ 57,751

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)
Nine Month Periods Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016
(unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands)

		E Septe	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017		Months ded aber 30,
Supplemental cash flow information					
Interest paid		\$	3,827	\$	3,770
Income taxes paid			3,525		4,960
Supplemental noncash disclosures:					
Transfers from loans to other real estate			60		102
Security settlement			(1,368)		(1,315)
	See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.				
	0				

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

<u>Principles of Consolidation</u>: The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Macatawa Bank Corporation ("the Company", "our", "we") and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Macatawa Bank ("the Bank"). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Macatawa Bank is a Michigan chartered bank with depository accounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Bank operates 26 full service branch offices providing a full range of commercial and consumer banking and trust services in Kent County, Ottawa County, and northern Allegan County, Michigan.

The Company owns all of the common stock of Macatawa Statutory Trust I and Macatawa Statutory Trust II. These are grantor trusts that issued trust preferred securities and are not consolidated with the Company under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis of Presentation: The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) believed necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

Operating results for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2017 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2017. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.

<u>Use of Estimates</u>: To prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, valuation of deferred tax assets, loss contingencies, fair value of other real estate owned and fair values of financial instruments are particularly subject to change.

Allowance for Loan Losses: The allowance for loan losses (allowance) is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses inherent in our loan portfolio, increased by the provision for loan losses and recoveries, and decreased by charge-offs of loans. Management believes the allowance for loan losses balance to be adequate based on known and inherent risks in the portfolio, past loan loss experience, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions and other relevant factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged-off. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Management continues its collection efforts on previously charged-off balances and applies recoveries as additions to the allowance for loan losses.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current qualitative factors. The Company maintains a loss migration analysis that tracks loan losses and recoveries based on loan class and the loan risk grade assignment for commercial loans. At September 30, 2017, an 18 month annualized historical loss experience was used for commercial loans and a 12 month historical loss experience period was applied to residential mortgage loans and consumer loans. These historical loss percentages are adjusted (both upwards and downwards) for certain qualitative factors, including economic trends, credit quality trends, valuation trends, concentration risk, quality of loan review, changes in personnel, external factors and other considerations.

A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is believed to be probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans for which the terms have been modified and a concession has been made, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired.

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Commercial and commercial real estate loans with relationship balances exceeding \$500,000 and an internal risk grading of 6 or worse are evaluated for impairment. If a loan is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated and the loan is reported at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing interest rate or at the fair value of collateral, less estimated costs to sell, if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer and residential real estate loans, are collectively evaluated for impairment and they are not separately identified for impairment disclosures.

Troubled debt restructurings are also considered impaired with impairment generally measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's effective rate at inception or using the fair value of collateral, less estimated costs to sell, if repayment is expected solely from the collateral.

<u>Foreclosed Assets</u>: Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure, primarily other real estate owned, are initially recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Costs after acquisition are expensed unless they add value to the property.

Income Taxes: Income tax expense is the sum of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

We recognize a tax position as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. We recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

<u>Derivatives</u>: Certain of our commercial loan customers have entered into interest rate swap agreements directly with the Bank. At the same time the Bank enters into a swap agreement with its customer, the Bank enters into a corresponding interest rate swap agreement with a correspondent bank at terms mirroring the Bank's interest rate swap with its commercial loan customer. This is known as a back-to-back swap agreement. Under this arrangement the Bank has two freestanding interest rate swaps, both of which are carried at fair value. As the terms mirror each other, there is no income statement impact to the Bank. At September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the total notional amount of such agreements was \$42.7 million and \$48.1 million and resulted in a derivative asset with a fair value of \$351,000 and \$494,000, respectively, which were included in other liabilities.

Reclassifications: Some items in the prior period financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards: The Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") ASU No. 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting. This ASU simplifies several aspects of the accounting for employee share-based payment transactions for both public and nonpublic entities, including the following: Accounting for income taxes, classification of excess tax benefits on the statement of cash flows, forfeitures, statutory tax withholding requirements, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities and classification of employee taxes paid on the statement of cash flows when an employer withholds shares for tax-withholding purposes. The amendments are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and for interim periods within those annual periods. The impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company was not material.

FASB issued ASU No. 2017-08, Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities. This ASU changes generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") to require premiums on purchased callable debt securities to be amortized to the earliest call date. Previous GAAP allowed entities to amortize to contractual maturity or to call date. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. As the Company has consistently amortized premiums on its purchased callable debt securities to the earliest call date, the Company has elected to early adopt this ASU effective January 1, 2017. There was no impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company.

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Newly Issued Not Yet Effective Standards: FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The amendments in this Update create a new topic in the Codification, Topic 606. In addition to superseding and replacing nearly all existing U.S. GAAP revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance, ASC 606 establishes a new control-based revenue recognition model, changes the basis for deciding when revenue is recognized over time or at a point in time, provides new and more detailed guidance on specific topics and expands and improves disclosures about revenue. In addition, ASU 2014-09 adds a new Subtopic to the Codification, ASC 340-40, Other Assets and Deferred Costs: Contracts with Customers, to provide guidance on costs related to obtaining a contract with a customer and costs incurred in fulfilling a contract with a customer that are not in the scope of another ASC Topic. The new guidance does not apply to certain contracts within the scope of other ASC Topics, such as lease contracts, insurance contracts, financing arrangements, financial instruments, guarantees other than product or service warranties, and nonmonetary exchanges between entities in the same line of business to facilitate sales to customers. The amendments are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017. This ASU may require the Company to change how it recognizes certain recurring revenue streams within trust and investment management fees and interchange income. Certain fees are currently recognized annually or semi-annually and may need to be accrued monthly under the new standard. The timing of revenue recognition is expected to change nominally. The total annual revenue for such fees amounts to less than \$60,000. Financial disclosures relative to revenue will be expanded as a result of this ASU.

FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases. The new standard establishes a right-of-use (ROU) model that requires a lessee to record a ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement. The new standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessees for capital and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. As the Company owns most of its branch locations, this ASU will apply primarily to operating leases and the impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company is not expected to be material.

FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments. This ASU provides financial statement users with more decision-useful information about the expected credit losses on financial instruments and other commitments to extend credit held by a reporting entity at each reporting date by replacing the incurred loss impairment methodology in current GAAP with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to inform credit loss estimates. The new guidance eliminates the probable initial recognition threshold and, instead, reflects an entity's current estimate of all expected credit losses. The new guidance broadens the information that an entity must consider in developing its expected credit loss estimate for assets measured either collectively or individually to include forecasted information, as well as past events and current conditions. There is no specified method for measuring expected credit losses, and an entity is allowed to apply methods that reasonably reflect its expectations of the credit loss estimate. Although an entity may still use its current systems and methods for recording the allowance for credit losses, under the new rules, the inputs used to record the allowance for credit losses are generally will need to change to appropriately reflect an estimate of all expected credit losses and the use of reasonable and supportable forecasts. Additionally, credit losses on available-for-sale debt securities will now have to be presented as an allowance rather than as a write-down. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and for interim periods within those years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new ASU on its consolidated financial statements.

FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force). This ASU addresses concerns regarding diversity in practice in how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows. In particular, this ASU addresses eight specific cash flow issues in an effort to reduce this diversity in practice: (1) debt prepayment or debt extinguishment costs; (2) settlement of zero-coupon bonds; (3) contingent consideration payments made after a business combination; (4) proceeds from the settlement of insurance claims; (5) proceeds from the settlement of corporate-owned life insurance policies, including bank-owned life insurance policies; (6) distributions received from equity method investees; (7) beneficial interests in securitization transactions; and (8) separately identifiable cash flows and application of the predominance principle. The amendments are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and for interim periods within those annual periods. The impact of adoption of this ASU by the Company is not expected to be material.

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

FASB issued ASU 2017-12, Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities. This ASU simplifies and expands the eligible hedging strategies for financial and nonfinancial risks by more closely aligning hedge accounting with a company's risk management activities, and also simplifies the application of Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, through targeted improvements in key practice areas. This includes expanding the list of items eligible to be hedged and amending the methods used to measure the effectiveness of hedging relationships. In addition, the ASU prescribes how hedging results should be presented and requires incremental disclosures. These changes are intended to allow preparers more flexibility and to enhance the transparency of how hedging results are presented and disclosed. Further, the ASU provides partial relief on the timing of certain aspects of hedge documentation and eliminates the requirement to recognize hedge ineffectiveness separately in earnings in the current period. The ASU is effective for years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those years. The Company does not expect the impact of adoption of this ASU to be material.

#### **NOTE 2 – SECURITIES**

The amortized cost and fair value of securities at period-end were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Amortized Cost		Gross Unrealized Gains		Gross Unrealized Losses			Fair Value
<u>September 30, 2017</u>								
Available for Sale:								
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$	98,386	\$	58	\$	(709)	\$	97,735
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs		20,281		13		(161)		20,133
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds		41,255		677		(133)		41,799
Taxable state and municipal bonds		43,100		89		(315)		42,874
Corporate bonds and other debt securities		10,165		16		(20)		10,161
Other equity securities		1,500				(20)		1,480
	\$	214,687	\$	853	\$	(1,358)	\$	214,182
Held to Maturity			_		=		_	
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds	\$	61,927	\$	927	\$		\$	62,854
December 31, 2016		Amortized Cost		Gross Unrealized Gains	_	Gross Unrealized Losses		Fair Value
December 31, 2016 Available for Sale:			_	Unrealized	_	Unrealized	_	
	\$		\$	Unrealized	\$	Unrealized	\$	
Available for Sale:		Cost	\$	Unrealized Gains	\$	Unrealized Losses	\$	Value
Available for Sale:  U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities		Cost 85,582	\$	Unrealized Gains	\$	Unrealized Losses (1,281)	\$	Value 84,350
Available for Sale:  U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities  U. S. Agency MBS and CMOs		85,582 12,037	\$	Unrealized Gains 49	\$	Unrealized Losses (1,281) (231)	\$	84,350 11,817
Available for Sale:  U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities  U. S. Agency MBS and CMOs  Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds		85,582 12,037 39,578	\$	Unrealized Gains  49 11 212	\$	Unrealized Losses (1,281) (231) (603)	\$	84,350 11,817 39,187
Available for Sale:  U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities  U. S. Agency MBS and CMOs  Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds  Taxable state and municipal bonds		85,582 12,037 39,578 34,255	\$	Unrealized Gains  49 11 212 65	\$	Unrealized Losses (1,281) (231) (603) (437)	\$	84,350 11,817 39,187 33,883
Available for Sale:  U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities  U. S. Agency MBS and CMOs  Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds  Taxable state and municipal bonds  Corporate bonds and other debt securities		85,582 12,037 39,578 34,255 13,765	\$	Unrealized Gains  49 11 212 65 16	\$	Unrealized Losses (1,281) (231) (603) (437) (55)	\$	84,350 11,817 39,187 33,883 13,726
Available for Sale:  U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities  U. S. Agency MBS and CMOs  Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds  Taxable state and municipal bonds  Corporate bonds and other debt securities		85,582 12,037 39,578 34,255 13,765 1,500		Unrealized Gains  49 11 212 65 16		(1,281) (231) (603) (437) (55) (30)		84,350 11,817 39,187 33,883 13,726 1,470

There were no sale of securities available for sale in the three month periods ended September 30, 2017 and 2016. Proceeds from the sale of securities were \$5.8 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2017 resulting in net gains of \$3,000, as reported in the Consolidated Statements of Income. This resulted in reclassifications of \$3,000 (\$2,000 net of tax) from accumulated other comprehensive income to gain on sale of securities in the Consolidated Statements of Income in the nine month period ended September 30, 2017. Proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale were \$9.6 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2016 resulting in net gains on sale of \$99,000 as reported in the Consolidated Statements of Income. This resulted in reclassifications of \$99,000 (\$64,000 net of tax) from accumulated other comprehensive income to gain on sale of securities in the Consolidated Statements of Income in the nine month period ended September 30, 2016.

#### NOTE 2 - SECURITIES (Continued)

Contractual maturities of debt securities at September 30, 2017 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Held-to-Maturity Securities					Available-for-	Sale	Securities
	1	Amortized		Fair		Amortized		Fair
		Cost		Value		Cost		Value
Due in one year or less	\$	14,012	\$	14,019	\$	18,486	\$	18,493
Due from one to five years		13,622		14,055		115,857		115,387
Due from five to ten years		10,687		11,019		55,693		55,805
Due after ten years		23,606		23,761		23,151		23,017
	\$	61,927	\$	62,854	\$	213,187	\$	212,702

Securities with unrealized losses at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Less than 12 Months					12 Month	is o	r More	Total			
		Fair	Unrealized		Fair		Unrealized		Fair			Unrealized
<u>September 30, 2017</u>		Value		Loss		Value		Loss		Value		Loss
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$	60,225	\$	(472)	\$	15,497	\$	(237)	\$	75,722	\$	(709)
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs		16,883		(129)		1,087		(32)		17,970		(161)
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds		7,428		(79)		2,124		(54)		9,552		(133)
Taxable state and municipal bonds		20,469		(239)		3,199		(76)		23,668		(315)
Corporate bonds and other debt securities		4,269		(9)		1,507		(11)		5,776		(20)
Other equity securities		1,480		(20)						1,480		(20)
Total temporarily impaired	\$	110,754	\$	(948)	\$	23,414	\$	(410)	\$	134,168	\$	(1,358)

	Less than	Months	12 Months or More					Total				
	Fair	Fair Unrealized		Fair		Unrealized		Fair		Unrealized		
<u>December 31, 2016</u>	Value		Loss		Value		Loss		Value		Loss	
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$ 59,129	\$	(1,271)	\$	3,053	\$	(10)	\$	62,182	\$	(1,281)	
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs	10,702		(231)						10,702		(231)	
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds	49,508		(698)		1,672		(7)		51,180		(705)	
Taxable state and municipal bonds	22,633		(437)						22,633		(437)	
Corporate bonds and other debt securities	5,745		(50)		500		(5)		6,245		(55)	
Other equity securities	1,470		(30)						1,470		(30)	
Total temporarily impaired	\$ 149,187	\$	(2,717)	\$	5,225	\$	(22)	\$	154,412	\$	(2,739)	

#### NOTE 2 - SECURITIES (Continued)

Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. Management determined that the unrealized losses for each period were attributable to changes in interest rates and not due to credit quality. As such, no OTTI charges were necessary during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2017 and 2016.

Securities with a carrying value of approximately \$2.0 million were pledged as security for public deposits, letters of credit and for other purposes required or permitted by law at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

#### **NOTE 3 – LOANS**

Portfolio loans were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
Commercial and industrial	\$ 418,838	\$ 449,342
Commercial real estate:		
Residential developed	9,077	11,970
Unsecured to residential developers	2,410	4,734
Vacant and unimproved	38,677	40,286
Commercial development	486	378
Residential improved	83,441	75,348
Commercial improved	295,924	289,478
Manufacturing and industrial	100,347	95,787
Total commercial real estate	530,362	517,981
Consumer		
Residential mortgage	221,829	217,614
Unsecured	254	396
Home equity	82,296	88,113
Other secured	6,458	7,366
Total consumer	310,837	313,489
Total loans	1,260,037	1,280,812
Allowance for loan losses	(16,434)	(16,962)
	\$ 1,243,603	\$ 1,263,850

### NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

Activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Commercial and	Commercia		_	4	
Three months ended September 30, 2017	Industrial	Real Estate		Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$ 6,336			- ) -		\$ 16,570
Charge-offs				(55)		(55)
Recoveries	32		99	38		269
Provision for loan losses	(212)		94)	(43)	(1)	(350)
Ending Balance	\$ 6,156	\$ 6,6	88 \$	3,561	\$ 29	\$ 16,434
Three months ended September 30, 2016	Commercial and Industrial	Commercia Real Estate	l	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$ 4,960		65 <b>\$</b>			\$ 16.959
Charge-offs	3 4,500	\$ 6,0		(46)	φ <del>4</del> 0	(46)
Recoveries	50		95	39		184
Provision for loan losses	515		48)	(190)	(27)	(250)
Ending Balance	\$ 5,525	\$ 7,6			\$ 13	\$ 16,847
	Commercial					
Nine months ended September 30, 2017	and Industrial	Commercia Real Estate		Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance	and Industrial \$ 6,345	Real Estate	03 \$	3,871	\$ 43	\$ 16,962
Beginning balance Charge-offs	and Industrial \$ 6,345 (108)	Real Estate \$ 6,7	03 \$	3,871 (113)	\$ 43 	\$ 16,962 (221)
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries	and Industrial \$ 6,345 (108) 96	Real Estate \$ 6,7	03 \$	3,871 (113) 129	\$ 43 	\$ 16,962 (221) 1,043
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses	and Industrial \$ 6,345 (108) 96 (177)	Real Estate \$ 6,7	03 \$  18 33)	3,871 (113) 129 (326)	\$ 43  (14)	\$ 16,962 (221) 1,043 (1,350)
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries	and Industrial \$ 6,345 (108) 96	Real Estate \$ 6,7	03 \$  18 33)	3,871 (113) 129 (326)	\$ 43  (14)	\$ 16,962 (221) 1,043
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Ending Balance	and Industrial \$ 6,345 (108) 96 (177) \$ 6,156  Commercial and	Real Estate	03 \$  118 333) 888 \$	3,871 (113) 129 (326) 3,561	\$ 43  (14) \$ 29	\$ 16,962 (221) 1,043 (1,350) \$ 16,434
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Ending Balance  Nine months ended September 30, 2016	and Industrial \$ 6,345 (108) 96 (177) \$ 6,156  Commercial and Industrial	Real Estate \$ 6,7  8 (8) \$ 6,6  Commercia Real Estate	03 \$  118 333) 888 \$	3,871 (113) 129 (326) 3,561	\$ 43 (14) \$ 29  Unallocated	\$ 16,962 (221) 1,043 (1,350) \$ 16,434
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Ending Balance  Nine months ended September 30, 2016 Beginning balance	and Industrial \$ 6,345 (108) 96 (177) \$ 6,156  Commercial and Industrial \$ 4,826	Real Estate	03 \$  118 333) 888 \$ 57 \$	3,871 (113) 129 (326) 3,561 Consumer 3,761	\$ 43  (14) \$ 29 Unallocated \$ 37	\$ 16,962 (221) 1,043 (1,350) \$ 16,434 Total \$ 17,081
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Ending Balance  Nine months ended September 30, 2016 Beginning balance Charge-offs	and Industrial  \$ 6,345 (108) 96 (177)   \$ 6,156    Commercial and Industrial   \$ 4,826	Real Estate	03 \$ 18 33) 888 \$	3,871 (113) 129 (326) 3,561 Consumer 3,761 (158)	\$ 43 (14) \$ 29  Unallocated \$ 37	\$ 16,962 (221) 1,043 (1,350) \$ 16,434 Total \$ 17,081 (158)
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Ending Balance  Nine months ended September 30, 2016 Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries	and Industrial \$ 6,345 (108) 96 (177) \$ 6,156  Commercial and Industrial \$ 4,826 123	Real Estate \$ 6,7	03 \$ 18 333) <u>888 \$</u> 57 \$ 72	3,871 (113) 129 (326) 3,561 Consumer 3,761 (158) 129	\$ 43 (14) \$ 29  Unallocated \$ 37	\$ 16,962 (221) 1,043 (1,350) \$ 16,434 Total \$ 17,081 (158) 1,024
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Ending Balance  Nine months ended September 30, 2016 Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses	and Industrial \$ 6,345 (108) 96 (177) \$ 6,156  Commercial and Industrial \$ 4,826 123 576	Real Estate \$ 6,7	03 \$ 18 33) 888 \$ 57 \$ 72 17)	3,871 (113) 129 (326) 3,561 Consumer 3,761 (158) 129 (35)	\$ 43  (14) \$ 29 Unallocated \$ 37  (24)	\$ 16,962 (221) 1,043 (1,350) \$ 16,434 Total \$ 17,081 (158) 1,024 (1,100)
Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Ending Balance  Nine months ended September 30, 2016 Beginning balance Charge-offs Recoveries	and Industrial \$ 6,345 (108) 96 (177) \$ 6,156  Commercial and Industrial \$ 4,826 123	Real Estate \$ 6,7	03 \$ 18 33) 888 \$ 57 \$ 72 17)	3,871 (113) 129 (326) 3,561 Consumer 3,761 (158) 129 (35)	\$ 43 (14) \$ 29  Unallocated \$ 37	\$ 16,962 (221) 1,043 (1,350) \$ 16,434 Total \$ 17,081 (158) 1,024

#### NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method (dollars in thousands):

<u>September 30, 2017</u>		Commercial and Industrial		Commercial Real Estate		Consumer		Unallocated		Total
Allowance for loan losses:										
Ending allowance attributable to loans:	¢.	568	e.	244	¢.	524	¢.		d.	1.246
Individually reviewed for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	5,588	\$	6,444	\$	534 3,027	\$	29	\$	1,346 15,088
	ф.		Ф		Ф		Ф		Ф	
Total ending allowance balance	\$	6,156	\$	6,688	\$	3,561	\$	29	\$	16,434
Loans:	_						_			
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$	4,555	\$	8,742	\$	8,663	\$		\$	21,960
Collectively evaluated for impairment		414,283		521,620		302,174				1,238,077
Total ending loans balance	\$	418,838	\$	530,362	\$	310,837	\$		\$	1,260,037
December 31, 2016 Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance attributable to loans:		mmercial and ndustrial	Re	ommercial eal Estate		Consumer		nallocated		Total
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$	605	\$	368	\$	723	\$		\$	1,696
Collectively evaluated for impairment		5,740		6,335		3,148		43		15,266
Total ending allowance balance	\$	6,345	\$	6,703	\$	3,871	\$	43	\$	16,962
Loans:										
Individually reviewed for impairment	\$	5,994	\$	11,934	\$	11,726	\$		\$	29,654
Collectively evaluated for impairment		443,348		506,047		301,763				1,251,158
Total ending loans balance	\$	449,342	\$	517,981	\$	313,489	\$		\$	1,280,812
		-18-								

#### NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of September 30, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

September 30, 2017	Unpaid Principal Balance				Allowance Allocated	
With no related allowance recorded:	Ба	lance	- 111	vestment	A	ilocated
Commercial and industrial	\$	1,414	\$	1,414	\$	
Commercial and industrial	Þ	1,414	\$	1,414	\$	
Commercial real estate:						
Residential developed						
Unsecured to residential developers						
Vacant and unimproved						
Commercial development		190		190		
Residential improved		5		5		
Commercial improved						
Manufacturing and industrial						
		195		195		
Consumer:						
Residential mortgage						
Unsecured						
Home equity						
Other secured						
Total with no related allowance recorded	S	1,609	\$	1,609	\$	
	*	-,		-,	<u>-</u>	
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$	3,141	\$	3,141	\$	568
Commercial real estate:						
Residential developed		181		181		4
Unsecured to residential developers						
Vacant and unimproved		361		361		12
Commercial development						
Residential improved		2,212		2,212		88
Commercial improved		5,609		5,609		139
Manufacturing and industrial		184		184		1
		8,547	_	8,547		244
Consumer:						
Residential mortgage		6,865		6,846		422
Unsecured						
Home equity		1,817		1,817		112
Other secured						
		8,682		8,663		534
Total with an allowance recorded	\$	20,370	\$	20,351	\$	1,346
Total	\$	21,979	\$	21,960	\$	1,346
		,,,,				
	-					

#### NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

December 31, 2016	Unpaid Principal Balance				Allowance Allocated		
With no related allowance recorded:	Balance		Investment		А	liocated	
Commercial and industrial	\$	2,298	\$	2,298	\$		
Commercial real estate:							
Residential developed							
Unsecured to residential developers							
Vacant and unimproved							
Commercial development							
Residential improved		27		27			
Commercial improved		350		350			
Manufacturing and industrial							
		377		377			
Consumer:							
Residential mortgage							
Unsecured							
Home equity							
Other secured							
						<u></u>	
Total with no related allowance recorded	\$	2,675	\$	2,675	\$		
With an allowance recorded:							
Commercial and industrial	\$	3,696	\$	3,696	\$	605	
Commercial real estate:							
Residential developed		187		187		4	
Unsecured to residential developers							
Vacant and unimproved		387		387		9	
Commercial development		189		189		6	
Residential improved		4,687		4,687		216	
Commercial improved		5,879		5,879		128	
Manufacturing and industrial		228		228		5	
		11,557		11,557		368	
Consumer:				,			
Residential mortgage		7,523		7,523		464	
Unsecured							
Home equity		4,203		4,203		259	
Other secured							
		11,726		11,726		723	
Total with an allowance recorded	\$	26,979	\$	26,979	\$	1,696	
Total	\$	29,654	\$	29,654	\$	1,696	
					_	-,	

#### **NOTE 3 – LOANS** (Continued)

The following table presents information regarding average balances of impaired loans and interest recognized on impaired loans for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2017	Three Months Ended September 30, 2016	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016
Average of impaired loans during the period:				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 4,047	\$ 5,093	\$ 5,410	\$ 6,489
Commercial real estate:				
Residential developed	181	126	183	42
Unsecured to residential developers	<del></del>			
Vacant and unimproved	372	418	338	433
Commercial development	189	190	189	191
Residential improved	2,255	5,156	3,002	5,396
Commercial improved	5,925	6,627	6,026	7,660
Manufacturing and industrial	185	235	246	237
	0.500	40.504	10.066	40.000
Consumer	8,793	12,501	10,366	12,828
Interest income recognized during impairment:				
Commercial and industrial	179	203	697	740
Commercial real estate	108	172	360	516
Consumer	80	112	306	350
Cash-basis interest income recognized				
Commercial and industrial	177	195	708	746
Commercial real estate	114	169	363	513
Consumer	79	111	306	346
	,,	***	500	2.0
	-21-			

#### NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

Nonaccrual loans include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans. The following tables present the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

<u>September 30, 2017</u>	Nonaccrual	Over 90 days Accruing
Commercial and industrial	\$ 4	\$
Commercial real estate:		
Residential developed		
Unsecured to residential developers		
Vacant and unimproved		
Commercial development Residential improved	239 91	
Commercial improved	110	
Manufacturing and industrial		
Manufacturing and industrial	440	
Consumer:	440	
Residential mortgage	58	
Unsecured	7	
Home equity	, 	
Other secured	12	
	77	
Total	\$ 521	\$
Total	Ψ 321	Ψ
		Over 90 days
<u>December 31, 2016</u>	Nonaccrual	Accruing
Commercial and industrial	\$ 36	\$
Commercial real estate:		
Residential developed		
Unsecured to residential developers		
Vacant and unimproved		
Commercial development	49	
Residential improved	6	
Commercial improved	128	
Manufacturing and industrial		
	183	
Consumer:		
Residential mortgage	58	
Unsecured	16	
Home equity	7	
Other secured		
	81	
Total	\$ 300	<u> </u>

#### NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 by class of loans (dollars in thousands):

<u>September 30, 2017</u>		30-90 Days		Greater Than 90 Days		Total Past Due		Loans Not Past Due		Total
Commercial and industrial	\$	22	\$		\$	22	\$	418,816	\$	418,838
Commercial real estate:										
Residential developed								9,077		9,077
Unsecured to residential developers								2,410		2,410
Vacant and unimproved		308				308		38,369		38,677
Commercial development				239		239		247		486
Residential improved				91		91		83,350		83,441
Commercial improved		107				107		295,817		295,924
Manufacturing and industrial								100,347		100,347
		415		330		745		529,617		530,362
Consumer:						_				
Residential mortgage				56		56		221,773		221,829
Unsecured								254		254
Home equity		33				33		82,263		82,296
Other secured		5		11		16		6,442		6,458
		38		67		105		310,732		310,837
Total	S	475	\$	397	\$	872	\$	1,259,165	\$	1,260,037
Total	Ψ	173	=	371	Ψ	072	Ψ	1,237,103	Ψ	1,200,037
		30-90		Greater Than		Total		Loans Not		
December 31, 2016		Days		90 Days		Past Due		Past Due		Total
Commercial and industrial	\$	425	Ф		\$		\$		Ф	
Commercial and industrial	Þ	423	\$	28	Ф	453	Ф	448,889	\$	449,342
Commercial real estate:										
Residential developed								11,970		11,970
Unsecured to residential developers								4,734		4,734
Vacant and unimproved								40,286		40,286
Commercial development				49		49		329		378
Residential improved		74		5		79		75,269		75,348
Commercial improved		478				478		289,000		289,478
Manufacturing and industrial								95,787		95,787
		552	_	54	_	606		517,375		517,981
Consumer:	_	552	_	<u> </u>		000		017,070	_	017,501
Residential mortgage		64		56		120		217,494		217,614
Unsecured				J0 		120		396		396
Home equity		187				187		87,926		88,113
Other secured		81				81		7,285		7,366
Ouici secured	_		_		_		_		_	
	_	332	_	56	_	388	_	313,101	_	313,489
Total	\$	1,309	\$	138	\$	1,447	\$	1,279,365	\$	1,280,812

#### NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The Company had allocated \$1,346,000 and \$1,696,000 of specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. These loans may have involved the restructuring of terms to allow customers to mitigate the risk of foreclosure by meeting a lower loan payment requirement based upon their current cash flow. These may also include loans that renewed at existing contractual rates, but below market rates for comparable credit. The Company has been active at utilizing these programs and working with its customers to reduce the risk of foreclosure. For commercial loans, these modifications typically include an interest only period and, in some cases, a lowering of the interest rate on the loan. In some cases, the modification will include separating the note into two notes with the first note structured to be supported by current cash flows and collateral, and the second note made for the remaining unsecured debt. The second note is charged off immediately and collected only after the first note is paid in full. This modification type is commonly referred to as an A-B note structure. For consumer mortgage loans, the restructuring typically includes a lowering of the interest rate to provide payment and cash flow relief. For each restructuring, a comprehensive credit underwriting analysis of the borrower's financial condition and prospects of repayment under the revised terms is performed to assess whether the structure can be successful and that cash flows will be sufficient to support the restructured debt. An analysis is also performed to determine whether the restructured loan should be on accrual atterstructuring if the loan's actual payment history demonstrates it would have cash flowed under the restructured terms. After six consecutive payments under the restructured terms, a nonaccrual restructured loan is reviewed for possible upgrade to accruing status.

In situations where there is a subsequent modification or renewal and the loan is brought to market terms, including a contractual interest rate not less than a market interest rate for new debt with similar credit risk characteristics, the TDR and impaired loan designations may be removed. In addition, the TDR designation may also be removed from loans modified under an A-B note structure. If the remaining "A" note is at a market rate at the time of restructuring (taking into account the borrower's credit risk and prevailing market conditions), the loan can be removed from TDR designation in a subsequent calendar year after six months of performance in accordance with the new terms. The market rate relative to the borrower's credit risk is determined through analysis of market pricing information gathered from peers and use of a loan pricing model. The general objective of the model is to achieve a consistent return on equity from one credit to the next, taking into consideration differences in credit risk. In the model, credits with higher risk receive a higher potential loss allocation, and therefore require a higher interest rate to achieve the target return on equity.

As with other impaired loans, an allowance for loan loss is estimated for each TDR based on the most likely source of repayment for each loan. For impaired commercial real estate loans that are collateral dependent, the allowance is computed based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, less estimated costs to sell. For impaired commercial loans where repayment is expected from cash flows from business operations, the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation. Certain groups of TDRs, such as residential mortgages, have common characteristics and for them the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation on the change in weighted rate for the pool. The allowance allocations for commercial TDRs where we have reduced the contractual interest rate are computed by measuring cash flows using the new payment terms discounted at the original contractual rate.

The following table presents information regarding troubled debt restructurings as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	September	2017	Decembe	, 2016			
	Outstanding					Outstanding	
	Number of Recorded Number of		Number of		Recorded		
	Loans		Balance	Loans		Balance	
Commercial and industrial	20	\$	4,555	25	\$	5,994	
Commercial real estate	38		8,742	49		11,933	
Consumer	103		8,663	116		12,059	
	161	\$	21,960	190	\$	29,986	

#### NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents information related to accruing troubled debt restructurings as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016. The table presents the amount of accruing troubled debt restructurings that were on nonaccrual status prior to the restructuring, accruing at the time of restructuring and those that were upgraded to accruing status after receiving six consecutive monthly payments in accordance with the restructured terms as of each period reported (dollars in thousands):

	 2017	2016		
Accruing TDR - nonaccrual at restructuring	\$ 	\$		
Accruing TDR - accruing at restructuring	18,526		25,665	
Accruing TDR - upgraded to accruing after six consecutive payments	 3,057		4,172	
	\$ 21,583	\$	29,837	

The following tables present information regarding troubled debt restructurings executed during the three month periods ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

_	Three	Months Ended Septe 2017	ember 30,	Three Months Ended September 30, 2016						
_			Writedown			Writedown				
	# of	Pre-TDR	Upon	# of	Pre-TDR	Upon				
_	Loans	Balance	TDR	Loans	Balance	TDR				
Commercial and industrial		\$	\$		\$	\$				
Commercial real estate				1	59					
Consumer	2	222								
=	2	222	\$	1	\$ 59	\$				

The following tables present information regarding troubled debt restructurings executed during the nine month periods ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	N	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017					Nine	Mor	nths Ended Septem 2016	nber	30,		
_	Writedown									Writedown			
	# of	Pre-TDR			Upon		# of	Pre-TDR			Upon		
	Loans		Balance	TDR		Loans		Balance	TDR				
Commercial and industrial			\$	\$				\$		\$			
Commercial real estate		1	1,018				1		59				
Consumer		4	396				6		277				
=	•	5	1,414	\$			7	\$	336	\$			

According to the accounting standards, not all loan modifications are TDRs. TDRs are modifications or renewals where the Company has granted a concession to a borrower in financial distress. The Company reviews all modifications and renewals for determination of TDR status. In some situations a borrower may be experiencing financial distress, but the Company does not provide a concession. These modifications are not considered TDRs. In other cases, the Company might provide a concession, such as a reduction in interest rate, but the borrower is not experiencing financial distress. This could be the case if the Company is matching a competitor's interest rate. These modifications would also not be considered TDRs. Finally, any renewals at existing terms for borrowers not experiencing financial distress would not be considered TDRs. As with other loans not considered TDR or impaired, allowance allocations are based on the historical based allocation for the applicable loan grade and loan class.

Payment defaults on TDRs have been minimal and during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, the balance of loans that became delinquent by more than 90 days past due or that were transferred to nonaccrual within 12 months of restructuring were not material.

#### NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

Credit Quality Indicators: The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of the borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes commercial loans individually and classifies these relationships by credit risk grading. The Company uses an eight point grading system, with grades 5 through 8 being considered classified, or watch, credits. All commercial loans are assigned a grade at origination, at each renewal or any amendment. When a credit is first downgraded to a watch credit (either through renewal, amendment, loan officer identification or the loan review process), an Administrative Loan Review ("ALR") is generated by the credit department and the loan officer. All watch credits have an ALR completed quarterly which analyzes the collateral position and cash flow of the borrower and its guarantors. Management meets quarterly with loan officers to discuss each of these credits in detail and to help formulate solutions where progress has stalled. When necessary, the loan officer proposes changes to the assigned loan grade as part of the ALR. Additionally, Loan Review reviews all loan grades upon origination, renewal or amendment and again as loans are selected though the loan review process. The credit will stay on the ALR until either its grade has improved to a 4 or the credit relationship is at a zero balance. The Company uses the following definitions for the risk grades:

- 1. Excellent Loans supported by extremely strong financial condition or secured by the Bank's own deposits. Minimal risk to the Bank and the probability of serious rapid financial deterioration is extremely small.
- 2. Above Average Loans supported by sound financial statements that indicate the ability to repay or borrowings secured (and margined properly) with marketable securities. Nominal risk to the Bank and probability of serious financial deterioration is highly unlikely. The overall quality of these credits is very high.
- 3. Good Quality Loans supported by satisfactory asset quality and liquidity, good debt capacity coverage, and good management in all critical positions. Loans are secured by acceptable collateral with adequate margins. There is a slight risk of deterioration if adverse market conditions prevail.
- 4. Acceptable Risk Loans carrying an acceptable risk to the Bank, which may be slightly below average quality. The borrower has limited financial strength with considerable leverage. There is some probability of deterioration if adverse market conditions prevail. These credits should be monitored closely by the Relationship Manager.
- 5. Marginally Acceptable Loans are of marginal quality with above normal risk to the Bank. The borrower shows acceptable asset quality but very little liquidity with high leverage. There is inconsistent earning performance without the ability to sustain adverse market conditions. The primary source of repayment is questionable, but the secondary source of repayment still remains an option. Very close attention by the Relationship Manager and management is needed.
- 6. Substandard Loans are inadequately protected by the net worth and paying capacity of the borrower or the collateral pledged. The primary and secondary sources of repayment are questionable. Heavy debt condition may be evident and volume and earnings deterioration may be underway. It is possible that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not immediately addressed and corrected.
- 7. Doubtful Loans supported by weak or no financial statements, as well as the ability to repay the entire loan, are questionable. Loans in this category are normally characterized less than adequate collateral, insolvent, or extremely weak financial condition. A loan classified doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in one classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses makes collection or liquidation in full highly questionable. The possibility of loss is extremely high, however, activity may be underway to minimize the loss or maximize the recovery.
- 8. Loss Loans are considered uncollectible and of little or no value as a bank asset.

#### NOTE 3 – LOANS (Continued)

As of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the risk grade category of commercial loans by class of loans were as follows (dollars in thousands):

September 30, 2017	1		2	3	 4	 5	 6	7	 8	_	Total
Commercial and industrial	\$	 \$	15,104	\$ 103,220	\$ 281,082	\$ 15,603	\$ 3,825	\$ 4	\$ 	\$	418,838
Commercial real estate:											
Residential developed				1,173	7,119	785					9,077
Unsecured to residential developers					2,410						2.410
Vacant and					2,410						2,410
unimproved				16,252	18,975	3,450					38,677
Commercial development				110	137			239			486
Residential				5.010	75.207	1.550	1.056	0.1			02.441
improved Commercial				5,218	75,297	1,579	1,256	91			83,441
improved			1,287	63,600	226,190	3,798	939	110			295,924
Manufacturing &			1,207	02,000	220,170	2,,,,	,,,,	110			2,0,,2
industrial		 	961	 44,416	 52,150	 2,301	519		 		100,347
	\$	 \$	17,352	\$ 233,989	\$ 663,360	\$ 27,516	\$ 6,539	\$ 444	\$ 	\$	949,200
<u>December 31, 2016</u>	1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Total
December 31, 2016 Commercial and industrial	\$	 \$	27,619	\$ 3 118,243	\$ 282,527	\$ 5 14,610	\$ 6,307	\$ 36	\$ 8	\$	<b>Total</b> 449,342
Commercial and industrial	<u> </u>	 \$		\$ 	\$ 282,527	\$	\$	\$ 	\$ 8	\$	
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real	<u>1</u> \$	 \$		\$ 	\$ <b>4</b> 282,527	\$	\$	\$ 	\$ 	\$	
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real estate:	\$	 \$		\$ 	\$ 282,527	\$	\$	\$ 	\$ 	\$	
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real	<u> </u>	 \$		\$ 	\$ 282,527 8,786	\$	\$	\$ 	\$ 	\$	
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real estate: Residential	\$	 \$	27,619	\$ 118,243	\$	\$ 14,610	\$	\$ 	\$ 	\$	449,342
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers	\$	 \$	27,619	\$ 118,243	\$	\$ 14,610	\$	\$ 	\$ 	\$	449,342
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and	<u> </u>	 \$	27,619	\$ 2,328	\$ 8,786 4,734	\$ 14,610 856	\$ 6,307	\$ 36	\$ 	\$	449,342 11,970 4,734
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved	\$	 \$	27,619	\$ 2,328	\$ 8,786	\$ 14,610	\$	\$ 	\$ 	\$	449,342 11,970
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial	\$	 \$	27,619	\$ 2,328	\$ 8,786 4,734 19,028	\$ 14,610 856  3,586	\$ 6,307	\$ 36	\$ 	\$	449,342 11,970 4,734 40,286
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial development	\$	 \$	27,619	\$ 2,328	\$ 8,786 4,734	\$ 14,610 856	\$ 6,307	\$ 36	\$ 	\$	449,342 11,970 4,734
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial	\$	 \$	27,619	\$ 2,328  17,672	\$ 8,786 4,734 19,028 140	\$ 14,610 856  3,586	\$ 6,307   189	\$ 36	\$ 	\$	449,342 11,970 4,734 40,286 378
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial development Residential	\$	 \$	27,619  	\$ 2,328	\$ 8,786 4,734 19,028	\$ 14,610 856  3,586	\$ 6,307	\$   49	\$   	\$	449,342 11,970 4,734 40,286
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial development Residential improved Commercial improved	\$	 \$	27,619  	\$ 2,328  17,672	\$ 8,786 4,734 19,028 140	\$ 14,610 856  3,586	\$ 6,307   189	\$   49	\$   	\$	449,342 11,970 4,734 40,286 378
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial development Residential improved Commercial improved Manufacturing	\$	 \$	27,619	\$ 2,328  17,672  7,100 66,259	\$ 8,786 4,734 19,028 140 63,957 210,449	\$ 14,610 856  3,586  2,628 9,084	\$ 6,307  189 1,657 1,125	\$ 36  49 6	\$   	\$	11,970 4,734 40,286 378 75,348 289,478
Commercial and industrial  Commercial real estate: Residential developed Unsecured to residential developers Vacant and unimproved Commercial development Residential improved Commercial improved	\$	 \$	27,619	2,328  17,672  7,100	\$ 8,786 4,734 19,028 140 63,957	\$ 3,586  2,628	\$ 6,307  189 1,657	\$ 36   49 6	\$   	\$	449,342 11,970 4,734 40,286 378 75,348

Commercial loans rated a 6 or worse per the Company's internal risk rating system are considered substandard, doubtful or loss. Commercial loans classified as substandard or worse were as follows at period-end (dollars in thousands):

	Sept	September 30,		cember 31,
		2017		2016
Not classified as impaired	\$	1,247	\$	2,608
Classified as impaired		5,736		7,498
Total commercial loans classified substandard or worse	\$	6,983	\$	10,106

#### **NOTE 3 – LOANS** (Continued)

The Company considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For consumer loan classes, the Company also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in consumer loans based on payment activity (dollars in thousands):

September 30, 2017	esidential Iortgage	nsumer secured	Home Equity	(	Consumer Other
Performing	\$ 221,773	\$ 254	\$ 82,296	\$	6,447
Nonperforming	 56		 		11
Total	\$ 221,829	\$ 254	\$ 82,296	\$	6,458
December 31, 2016 Performing	esidential fortgage 217,558	nsumer secured	\$ Home Equity 88,113	\$	Other 7,366
Nonperforming	 56		 		
Total	217,614	396	88,113		7,366

#### NOTE 4 – OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

Other real estate owned was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Month: Septen	ine s Ended nber 30,	E Dece	Year nded mber 31, 2016	Sept	Nine oths Ended tember 30, 2016
Beginning balance	6	22.864	¢	28.377	¢	28,377
	Э	,	Э	- ,	Ф	/
Additions, transfers from loans		60		339		102
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned		(6,227)		(5,339)		(4,155)
Valuation allowance reversal upon sale		(7,003)		(1,158)		(533)
Gain on sales of other real estate owned		660		645		365
		10,354		22,864		24,156
Less: valuation allowance		(3,693)		(10,611)		(11,046)
Ending balance	\$	6,661	\$	12,253	\$	13,110

Activity in the valuation allowance was as follows (dollars in thousands):

		Nine		Nine
	Mon	Months Ended		onths Ended
	Sept	September 30, 2017		otember 30,
				2016
Beginning balance	\$	10,611	\$	10,805
Additions charged to expense		85		774
Reversals upon sale		(7,003)		(533)
Ending balance	\$	3,693	\$	11,046

#### NOTE 5 - FAIR VALUE

ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value include:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

<u>Level 2</u>: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

<u>Level 3</u>: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

<u>Investment Securities:</u> The fair values of investment securities are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs). The fair values of certain securities held to maturity are determined by computing discounted cash flows using observable and unobservable market inputs (Level 3 inputs).

Loans Held for Sale: The fair value of loans held for sale is based upon binding quotes from third party investors (Level 2 inputs).

Impaired Loans: Loans identified as impaired are measured using one of three methods: the loan's observable market price, the fair value of collateral or the present value of expected future cash flows. For each period presented, no impaired loans were measured using the loan's observable market price. If an impaired loan has had a chargeoff or if the fair value of the collateral is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we establish a specific reserve and report the loan as nonrecurring Level 3. The fair value of collateral of impaired loans is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned: Other real estate owned (OREO) properties are initially recorded at fair value, less estimated costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. Adjustments to OREO are measured at fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals or realtor evaluations of the property. These appraisals and evaluations may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less estimated costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized through a valuation allowance, and the property is reported as nonrecurring Level 3.

Interest Rate Swaps: For interest rate swap agreements, we measure fair value utilizing pricing provided by a third-party pricing source that that uses market observable inputs, such as forecasted yield curves, and other unobservable inputs and accordingly, interest rate swap agreements are classified as Level 3.

#### NOTE 5 – FAIR VALUE (Continued)

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

				Quoted Prices in				
			1	Active Markets	Si	gnificant Other		Significant
				for Identical		Observable		Unobservable
		Fair		Assets		Inputs		Inputs
	<u> </u>	/alue		(Level 1)	_	(Level 2)	_	(Level 3)
<u>September 30, 2017</u>								
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$	97,735	\$		\$	97,735	\$	
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs		20,133				20,133		
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds		41,799				41,799		
Taxable state and municipal bonds		42,874				42,874		
Corporate bonds and other debt securities		10,161				10,161		
Other equity securities		1,480				1,480		
Loans held for sale		2,199				2,199		
Interest rate swaps		351						351
Interest rate swaps		(351)						(351)
<u>December 31, 2016</u>								
U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities	\$	84,350	\$		\$	84,350	\$	
U.S. Agency MBS and CMOs		11,817				11,817		
Tax-exempt state and municipal bonds		39,187				39,187		
Taxable state and municipal bonds		33,883				33,883		
Corporate bonds and other debt securities		13,726				13,726		
Other equity securities		1,470				1,470		
Loans held for sale		2,181				2,181		
Interest rate swaps		494						494
Interest rate swaps		(494)						(494)

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

September 30, 2017		Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	S	significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Jnobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Impaired loans	\$	2,775	\$ 	\$		\$ 2,775
Other real estate owned		4,631				4,631
<u>December 31, 2016</u>						
Impaired loans	\$	3,436	\$ 	\$		\$ 3,436
Other real estate owned		9,542				9,542
	-30-					

### NOTE 5 – FAIR VALUE (Continued)

Quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements measured on a non-recurring basis was as follows at period end (dollars in thousands):

	F	sset air ilue	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range (%)
September 30, 2017		iruc	recinique	Inputs	Range (70)
				Adjustment for differences	
Impaired Loans	\$	2,775	Sales comparison approach	between comparable sales	4.0 to 15.0
			Income approach	Capitalization rate	9.5 to 11.0
04		4.621	G-1	Adjustment for differences	2.0 +- 22.0
Other real estate owned		4,631	Sales comparison approach Income approach	between comparable sales Capitalization rate	3.0 to 22.0 9.5 to 11.0
			meome approach	Capitanzation rate	9.5 to 11.0
December 31, 2016	F	sset air alue	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range (%)
				Adjustment for differences	
Impaired Loans	\$	3,436	Sales comparison approach	between comparable sales	1.0 to 35.0
			Income approach	Capitalization rate	9.5 to 11.5
		0.540		Adjustment for differences	20. 22.5
Other real estate owned		9,542	Sales comparison approach Income approach	between comparable sales Capitalization rate	2.0 to 32.5 9.5 to 11.5
			income approach	Capitalization rate	9.3 to 11.3
		-3	31-		

#### NOTE 5 – FAIR VALUE (Continued)

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, not previously presented, were as follows at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	Level in	September	2017	December 31, 2016				
	Fair Value Hierarchy	Carrying Amount		Fair Value		Carrying Amount		Fair Value
Financial assets		 						
Cash and due from banks	Level 1	\$ 28,318	\$	28,318	\$	27,690	\$	27,690
Cash equivalents	Level 2	131,571		131,571		62,129		62,129
Securities held to maturity	Level 3	61,927		62,854		69,378		69,849
FHLB stock		11,558		NA		11,558		NA
Loans, net	Level 2	1,240,828		1,237,274		1,260,414		1,247,842
Bank owned life insurance	Level 3	40,042		40,042		39,274		39,274
Accrued interest receivable	Level 2	4,532		4,532		4,092		4,092
Financial liabilities								
Deposits	Level 2	(1,506,178)		(1,506,115)		(1,448,724)		(1,448,692)
Other borrowed funds	Level 2	(72,118)		(71,946)		(84,173)		(84,051)
Long-term debt	Level 2	(41,238)		(36,562)		(41,238)		(36,112)
Accrued interest payable	Level 2	(545)		(545)		(282)		(282)
Off-balance sheet credit-related items								
Loan commitments								

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows.

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, bank owned life insurance, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term borrowings and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. Security fair values are determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities as discussed above. For fixed rate loans, interest-bearing time deposits in other financial institutions, or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk (including consideration of widening credit spreads). Fair value of debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off-balance sheet credit-related items is not significant.

#### NOTE 6 - PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT - NET

Premises and equipment were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2017		ember 31, 2016
Land	\$ 16,384	\$	18,227
Building	43,625		43,600
Leasehold improvements	782		779
Furniture and equipment	21,243		20,576
Construction in progress	 240		358
	 82,274		83,540
Less accumulated depreciation	 (35,452)		(33,514)
	\$ 46,822	\$	50,026

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company sold land parcels that had been held for several years as sites for future branch expansion. One location was in northwest Grand Rapids (Walker) and was sold for \$590,000, resulting in a net loss on sale of \$70,000. The other location was in southwest Grand Rapids (Metro Village) and was sold for \$1.2 million, resulting in a net loss on sale of \$176,000. These losses are included in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2017.

#### NOTE 7 – DEPOSITS

Deposits are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Sep	otember 30, 2017	De	2016
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$	497,310	\$	501,478
Interest bearing demand		351,742		340,715
Savings and money market accounts		564,883		532,853
Certificates of deposit		92,243		73,678
	\$	1,506,178	\$	1,448,724

Time deposits that exceed the FDIC insurance limit of \$250,000 were approximately \$28.1 million at September 30, 2017 and \$17.4 million at December 31, 2016.

#### NOTE 8 - OTHER BORROWED FUNDS

Other borrowed funds include advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank and borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank.

#### Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

At period-end, advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Advance		Weighted Average
Principal Terms	Amount	Range of Maturities	Interest Rate
September 30, 2017			<u> </u>
Single maturity fixed rate advances	\$ 70,000	February 2018 to April 2021	1.59%
Amortizable mortgage advances	2,11	8 March 2018 to July 2018	3.78%
	\$ 72,113	3	
	Advance		Weighted Average
Principal Terms	Amount	Range of Maturities	Interest Rate
December 31, 2016			
Single maturity fixed rate advances	\$ 80,000	February 2018 to April 2021	1.60%
Amortizable mortgage advances	4,173	March 2018 to July 2018	3.78%
	\$ 84,173	3	

Each advance is subject to a prepayment fee if paid prior to its maturity date. Fixed rate advances are payable at maturity. Amortizable mortgage advances are fixed rate advances with scheduled repayments based upon amortization to maturity. These advances were collateralized by residential and commercial real estate loans totaling \$443.5 million and \$425.0 million under a blanket lien arrangement at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

#### NOTE 8 - OTHER BORROWED FUNDS

Scheduled repayments of FHLB advances as of September 30, 2017 were as follows (in thousands):

2017	\$ 
2018	52,118
2019 2020	10,000
2020	
2021	10,000
Thereafter	 
	\$ 72,118

#### Federal Reserve Bank borrowings

The Company has a financing arrangement with the Federal Reserve Bank. There were no borrowings outstanding at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, and the Company had approximately \$12.6 million and \$18.1 million in unused borrowing capacity based on commercial and mortgage loans pledged to the Federal Reserve Bank totaling \$15.1 million and \$20.7 million at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

#### NOTE 9 - EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 are as follows (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2017	Three Months Ended September 30, 2016	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016
Net income available to common shares	\$ 4,875	\$ 4,604	\$ 14,098	\$ 11,844
Weighted average shares outstanding, including participating stock awards-Basic	33,942,248	33,921,599	33,942,318	33,923,067
Dilutive potential common shares:				
Stock options	5,021		6,101	
Stock warrants				
Weighted average shares outstanding - Diluted	33,947,269	33,921,599	33,948,419	33,923,067
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.35
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.35

Stock options for 100,896 shares of common stock for both the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2016, were not considered in computing diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive. There were no antidilutive shares of common stock in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2017.

#### NOTE 10 - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Sept	Three Months Ended September 30, 2017		Ended September 30,		Ended September 30,		Ended Ended		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	
Current	\$	2,261	\$	1,370	\$	4,004	\$	4,596					
Deferred		(104)		(20)		2,249		(167)					
	\$	2,157	\$	1,350	\$	6,253	\$	4,429					

The difference between the financial statement tax expense and amount computed by applying the statutory federal tax rate to pretax income was reconciled as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2017		nded Ended mber 30, September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	
Statutory rate		35%		35%		35%		35%
Statutory rate applied to income before taxes	\$	2,461	\$ 2,0	083	\$	7,123	\$	5,695
Deduct								
Tax-exempt interest income		(195)	(1	54)		(564)		(451)
Bank-owned life insurance		(88)		(51)		(256)		(262)
Tax return credits and other adjustments		(5)	(5	12)		(5)		(512)
Other, net		(16)		(16)		(45)		(41)
	\$	2,157	\$ 1,3	350	\$	6,253	\$	4,429

The realization of deferred tax assets (net of a recorded valuation allowance) is largely dependent upon future taxable income, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and the ability to carryback losses to available tax years. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we consider positive and negative evidence, including taxable income in carry-back years, scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, expected future taxable income and tax planning strategies. No valuation allowance was necessary at September 30, 2017 or December 31, 2016.

# MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

# NOTE 10 - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES (Continued)

The net deferred tax asset recorded included the following amounts of deferred tax assets and liabilities (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2017		ember 31, 2016
Deferred tax assets	· ·		
Allowance for loan losses	\$	5,752	\$ 5,937
Nonaccrual loan interest		598	718
Valuation allowance on other real estate owned		1,292	3,714
Unrealized loss on securities available for sale		177	799
Other		539	176
Gross deferred tax assets		8,358	11,344
Valuation allowance			 
Total net deferred tax assets		8,358	11,344
Deferred tax liabilities			
Depreciation		(1,608)	(1,705)
Prepaid expenses		(349)	(399)
Other	<u></u>	(409)	(377)
Gross deferred tax liabilities		(2,366)	(2,481)
Net deferred tax asset	\$	5,992	\$ 8,863

There were no unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2017 or December 31, 2016 and the Company does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase or decrease in the next twelve months. The Company is no longer subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for years before 2013.

## NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS AND OFF BALANCE-SHEET RISK

Some financial instruments are used to meet customer financing needs and to reduce exposure to interest rate changes. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These involve, to varying degrees, credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount reported in the financial statements.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the commitment, and generally have fixed expiration dates. Collateral or other security is normally not obtained for these financial instruments prior to their use and many of the commitments are expected to expire without being used. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments to guarantee a customer's performance to a third party. Exposure to credit loss if the other party does not perform is represented by the contractual amount for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit.

A summary of the contractual amounts of financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk was as follows at period-end (dollars in thousands):

	Sept	tember 30,	December 31,		
		2017	2016		
Commitments to make loans	\$	121,797	\$	90,293	
Letters of credit		12,117		13,823	
Unused lines of credit		495,151		437,435	

The notional amount of commitments to fund mortgage loans to be sold into the secondary market was approximately \$14.2 million and \$19.8 million at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

# MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

# NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS AND OFF BALANCE-SHEET RISK (Continued)

At September 30, 2017, approximately 32.7% of the Bank's commitments to make loans were at fixed rates, offered at current market rates. The remainder of the commitments to make loans were at variable rates tied to prime or one month LIBOR and generally expire within 30 days. The majority of the unused lines of credit were at variable rates tied to prime.

# **NOTE 12 – CONTINGENCIES**

The Company and its subsidiaries periodically become defendants in certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. As of September 30, 2017, there were no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or any of its subsidiaries are a party or which any of its properties are the subject.

## NOTE 13 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

## Regulatory Capital

The Company and the Bank are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors, and the regulators can lower classifications in certain cases. Failure to meet various capital requirements can initiate regulatory action that could have a direct material effect on the financial statements.

The prompt corrective action regulations provide five categories, including well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. If a bank is only adequately capitalized, regulatory approval is required to, among other things, accept, renew or roll-over brokered deposits. If a bank is undercapitalized, capital distributions and growth and expansion are limited, and plans for capital restoration are required.

In July 2013, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC approved the final rules implementing the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's capital guidelines for U.S. banks (commonly known as Basel III). Under the final rules, which began for the Company and the Bank on January 1, 2015 and are subject to a phase-in period through January 1, 2019, minimum requirements will increase for both the quantity and quality of capital held by the Company and the Bank. The rules include a new common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio (CET1 ratio) of 4.5% and a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets, which when fully phased-in, effectively results in a minimum CET1 ratio of 7.0%. Basel III raises the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0% (which, with the capital conservation buffer, effectively results in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% when fully phased-in), which effectively results in a minimum total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 10.5% (with the capital conservation buffer fully phased-in), and requires a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%. Basel III also makes changes to risk weights for certain assets and off-balance-sheet exposures.

# MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

# NOTE 13 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

At September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, actual capital levels and minimum required levels were (dollars in thousands):

		Actual		Minimu Capita Adequa	l cy	Minimum Adequacy Capital E	With Buffer	To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Regulations		
	1	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
<u>September 30, 2017</u>										
CET1 capital (to risk weighted assets)										
Consolidated	\$	173,779	11.7% \$	,	4.5%		5.8%	N/A	N/A	
Bank		207,805	14.0	66,831	4.5	85,395	5.8	\$ 96,533	6.5%	
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)										
Consolidated		213,779	14.4	89,116	6.0	107,682	7.3	N/A	N/A	
Bank		207,805	14.0	89,108	6.0	107,672	7.3	118,810	8.0	
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)										
Consolidated		230,213	15.5	118,821	8.0	137,387	9.3	N/A	N/A	
Bank		224,239	15.1	118,810	8.0	137,374	9.3	148,513	10.0	
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)										
Consolidated		213,779	12.0	71,008	4.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bank		207,805	11.7	70,945	4.0	N/A	N/A	88,682	5.0	
December 31, 2016										
CET1 capital (to risk weighted assets)										
Consolidated	\$	163,663	11.0% \$	66,743	4.5%	76,013	5.1%	N/A	N/A	
Bank		197,972	13.4	66,737	4.5	76,006	5.1	\$ 96,398	6.5%	
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)										
Consolidated		203,663	13.7	88,991	6.0	98,261	6.6	N/A	N/A	
Bank		197,972	13.4	88,983	6.0	98,252	6.6	118,644	8.0	
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)		,		· ·		,		, i		
Consolidated		220,625	14.9	118,655	8.0	127,925	8.6	N/A	N/A	
Bank		214,934	14.5	118,644	8.0	127,913	8.6	148,305	10.0	
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)		ĺ		,		,		,		
Consolidated		203,663	12.0	67,810	4.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Bank		197,972	11.7	67,742	4.0	N/A	N/A	84,677	5.0	
		, ,		.,.				, , , , , ,		

Approximately \$40.0 million of trust preferred securities outstanding at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, qualified as Tier 1 capital. Refer to our 2016 Form 10-K for more information on the trust preferred securities.

The Bank was categorized as "well capitalized" at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

### **Index**

# Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Macatawa Bank Corporation is a Michigan corporation and a registered bank holding company. It wholly-owns Macatawa Bank, Macatawa Statutory Trust I and Macatawa Statutory Trust II. Macatawa Bank is a Michigan chartered bank with depository accounts insured by the FDIC. The Bank operates twenty-six branch offices and a lending and operational service facility, providing a full range of commercial and consumer banking and trust services in Kent County, Ottawa County, and northern Allegan County, Michigan. Macatawa Statutory Trusts I and II are grantor trusts and issued \$20.0 million each of pooled trust preferred securities. These trusts are not consolidated in our Consolidated Financial Statements. For further information regarding consolidation, see the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

At September 30, 2017, we had total assets of \$1.80 billion, total loans of \$1.26 billion, total deposits of \$1.51 billion and shareholders' equity of \$173.5 million. During the third quarter of 2017, we recognized net income of \$4.9 million compared to net income of \$4.6 million in the third quarter of 2016. For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we recognized net income of \$14.1 million compared to \$11.8 million for the same period in 2016. The Bank was categorized as "well capitalized" under regulatory capital standards at September 30, 2017.

We paid a dividend of \$0.03 per share in each quarter of 2016. We increased the dividend to \$0.04 per share in the first and second quarters of 2017 and \$0.05 per share in the third quarter of 2017.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

**Summary:** Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2017 was \$4.9 million, compared to net income of \$4.6 million in the same period in 2016. Net income per common share on a diluted basis was \$0.14 for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and \$0.14 for the same period in 2016. For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, net income was \$14.1 million, compared to \$11.8 million for the same period in 2016. Net income per share on a diluted basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 was \$0.42 compared to \$0.35 for the same period in 2016.

The increase in earnings in the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to the same period in 2016 was due primarily to increased net interest income and reduced nonperforming asset expenses. Net interest income increased to \$13.1 million in the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to \$11.9 million in the same period in 2016. Nonperforming asset expenses (including administration costs and losses) were a negative \$77,000 for three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to \$325,000 for the same period in 2016, primarily as a result of a decrease of \$220,000 in writedowns of other real estate owned. The provision for loan losses was a negative \$350,000 for the months ended September 30, 2017, compared to a negative \$250,000 for the same period in 2016. We again were in a net loan recovery position for the three months ended September 30, 2017, with \$214,000 in net loan recoveries, compared to \$138,000 in net loan recoveries in the same period in 2016. Also, income tax expense was reduced by \$512,000 in September 2016 due to tax credits and other adjustments that did not recur in 2017.

The increase in earnings for the nine month period ended September 30, 2017 compared to the same period of 2016, was due primarily to increased net interest income and reduced nonperforming asset expenses. Net interest income increased to \$38.4 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to \$35.2 million in the same period in 2016. Nonperforming asset expenses (including administration costs and losses) were a negative \$140,000 for the first nine months of 2017 compared to \$1.2 million for the first nine months of 2016, primarily as a result of a net gains on other real estate owned of \$575,000 for the first nine months of 2017 compared to net losses of \$409,000 for the same period in 2016. The provision for loan losses was a negative \$1.35 million for the first nine months of 2017, compared to a negative \$1.1 million for the first nine months of 2016. We again were in a net loan recovery position for the first nine months of 2017, with \$822,000 in net loan recoveries, compared to \$866,000 in net loan recoveries in the same period in 2016. Each of these items is discussed more fully below.

#### Index

Net Interest Income: Net interest income totaled \$13.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and \$11.9 million for the same period in 2016. For the first nine months of 2017, net interest income was \$38.4 million compared to \$35.2 million for the same period in 2016.

Net interest income was positively impacted in the three months ended September 30, 2017 by an increase in average earning assets of \$96.5 million compared to the same period in 2016. Our average yield on earning assets for the three months ended September 30, 2017 increased 18 basis points compared to the same period in 2016 from 3.39% to 3.57%. Average interest earning assets totaled \$1.65 billion for three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to \$1.56 billion for the same period in 2016. The net interest margin was 3.21% for the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to 3.04% for the same period in 2016. An increase of \$42.4 million in average securities between periods and an increase of \$36.4 million in average loans were the primary drivers of the increase. Yield on commercial loans increased from 3.88% for three months ended September 30, 2016 to 4.11% for the same period in 2017. Yield on residential mortgage loans decreased from 3.51% for the three months ended September 30, 2016 to 3.47% for the same period in 2017, while yields on consumer loans increased from 3.93% for the third quarter of 2016 to 4.32% for the third quarter of 2017. The December 2016, March 2017 and June 2017 increases in the federal funds rate had a net positive impact on our net interest margin position as more loans repriced at the higher rate than our funding sources.

Average interest earning assets increased to \$1.61 billion for the first nine months of 2017, compared to \$1.54 billion for the first nine months of 2016. Our average yield on earning assets increased 17 basis points for the first nine months of 2017 in comparison to the same period in 2016. Our net interest margin was 3.24% for the first nine months of 2017 compared to 3.04% for the same period in 2016. Net interest margin for the first nine months of 2017 benefitted from the December 2016, March 2017 and June 2017 increases in the federal funds rate. The commercial loan yield in the first nine months of 2017 was also positively impacted by the complete payoff of a loan in the first quarter of 2017 that had been on nonaccrual, resulting in the realization of \$267,000 in interest income that had been deferred.

The cost of funds increased to 0.53% and 0.50% in the three and nine month periods of 2017 from 0.45% and 0.47% in the same periods of 2016. Increases in the rates paid on our savings and money market accounts in response to the December 2016, March 2017 and June 2017 federal funds rate increases caused the slight increase in our cost of funds.

The following table shows an analysis of net interest margin for the three month periods ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	For the three months en						nded September 30,					
				2017			2016					
		Average Balance		Interest Earned or Paid	Average Yield or Cost		Average Balance		Interest Earned or Paid	Average Yield or Cost		
Assets	Ф	1.60.700	Φ.	741	1.020/	Ф	126.005	Ф	504	1.710/		
Taxable securities	\$	162,729	\$	741	1.83%	\$	136,807	\$	584	1.71%		
Tax-exempt securities (1)		104,387		574	3.51		87,918		451	3.31		
Commercial loans (2)		946,105		9,930	4.11		903,484		8,965	3.88		
Residential mortgage loans		219,532		1,905	3.47		219,170		1,928	3.51		
Consumer loans		88,933		969	4.32		95,551		945	3.93		
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		11,558		122	4.15		11,558		122	4.13		
Federal funds sold and other short-term		110 704		205	1.07		101.062		107	0.40		
investments	_	118,784	_	385	1.27		101,062	_	127	0.49		
Total interest earning assets (1)		1,652,028		14,626	3.57		1,555,550		13,122	3.39		
Noninterest earning assets:												
Cash and due from banks		29,940					28,482					
Other		93,334					96,065					
Total assets	\$	1,775,302				\$	1,680,097					
Liabilities												
Deposits:												
Interest bearing demand	\$	352,661	\$	98	0.11%	\$	313,624	\$	65	0.08%		
Savings and money market accounts	Ψ	551,917	Ψ	454	0.33	Ψ	522,697	Ψ	239	0.19		
Time deposits		88,933		180	0.81		81,769		126	0.62		
Borrowings:		00,,,,					02,702					
Other borrowed funds		74,190		314	1.66		94,384		419	1.74		
Long-term debt		41,238		442	4.20		41,238		371	3.52		
Total interest bearing liabilities		1,108,939		1,488	0.53		1,053,712		1,220	0.45		
Noninterest bearing liabilities:												
Noninterest bearing demand accounts		488,028					459,372					
Other noninterest bearing liabilities		6,348					6,817					
Shareholders' equity		171,987					160,196					
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	1,775,302				\$	1,680,097					
Net interest income			e.	12 120				¢.	11.002			
			\$	13,138				\$	11,902			
Net interest spread (1)					3.04%					2.94%		
Net interest margin (1)					3.21%					3.04%		
Ratio of average interest earning assets to average interest bearing liabilities		148.97%	)				147.63%					

<sup>(1)</sup> 

Yields are presented on a tax equivalent basis using a 35% tax rate.

Includes loan fees of \$117,000 and \$200,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016. Includes average nonaccrual loans of approximately \$558,000 (2) and \$270,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016.

The following table shows an analysis of net interest margin for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	For the nine months ended September 30,										
				2017		2016					
		Average Balance		Interest Earned or Paid	Average Yield or Cost		Average Balance		Interest Earned or Paid	Average Yield or Cost	
Assets Taxable securities	\$	152,043	\$	2,025	1.78%	<b>e</b>	132,941	\$	1,700	1.70%	
Tax-exempt securities (1)	Ф	106,481	Ф	1,658	3.29	Ф	85,682	Ф	1,700	3.29	
Commercial loans (2)		952,987		29,317	4.06		898,039		26,625	3.90	
Residential mortgage loans		217,223		5,649	3.47		217,185		5,730	3.51	
Consumer loans		91,141		2,834	4.16		96,975		2,873	3.96	
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		11,558		367	4.19		11,558		368	4.18	
Federal funds sold and other short-term		11,336		307	4.19		11,336		306	4.10	
investments		77,710		666	1.13		99,753		383	0.51	
			_					_			
Total interest earning assets (1)		1,609,143		42,516	3.58		1,542,133		39,003	3.41	
Noninterest earning assets:											
Cash and due from banks		28,911					26,690				
Other		97,371					97,232				
Total assets	\$	1,735,425				\$	1,666,055				
Liabilities											
Deposits:											
Interest bearing demand	\$	333,148	\$	237	0.09%	\$	324,554	\$	227	0.09%	
Savings and money market accounts		552,903		1,094	0.27		515,041		708	0.19	
Time deposits		82,035		440	0.71		85,862		398	0.62	
Borrowings:		ĺ					,				
Other borrowed funds		86,945		1,053	1.60		97,637		1,318	1.77	
Long-term debt		41,238		1,266	4.05		41,238		1,104	3.52	
Total interest bearing liabilities		1,096,269		4,090	0.50		1,064,332		3,755	0.47	
Noninterest bearing liabilities:											
Noninterest bearing demand accounts		465,191					437,943				
Other noninterest bearing liabilities		5,756					6,734				
Shareholders' equity		168,209					157,046				
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	1,735,425				\$	1,666,055				
Net interest income			\$	38,426				\$	35,248		
Net interest spread (1)					3.08%					2.94%	
Net interest margin					3.24%					3.04%	
Ratio of average interest earning assets to average interest bearing liabilities		146.78%	ı				144.89%				

<sup>(1)</sup> Yields are presented on a tax equivalent basis using a 35% tax rate.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes loan fees of \$484,000 and \$559,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016. Includes average nonaccrual loans of approximately \$511,000 and \$407,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016.

**Provision for Loan Losses:** The provision for loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2017 was a negative \$350,000 compared to a negative \$250,000 for the same period in 2016. The negative provisions for loan losses for each period were the result of continued stabilization of real estate values on problem credits, continued improvement in asset quality metrics and net loan recoveries of \$214,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2017 and \$138,000 in the same period in 2016. At September 30, 2017, we had experienced net loan recoveries in each of the past eleven quarters. The provision for loan losses for the first nine months of 2017 was a negative \$1.35 million compared to a negative \$1.1 million for the same period in 2016.

Gross loan recoveries were \$269,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and \$184,000 for the same period in 2016. In the three months ended September 30, 2017, we had \$55,000 in charge-offs, compared to \$46,000 in the same period in 2016. For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we experienced gross loan recoveries of \$1,043,000 compared to \$1,024,000 for the same period in 2016. Loan charge-offs were \$221,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 compared to \$158,000 for the same period in 2016. We continue to experience positive results from our collection efforts as evidenced by our net loan recoveries. While we expect our collection efforts to produce further recoveries, they may not continue at the same level we have experienced the past several quarters.

The amounts of loan loss provision in both the most recent quarter and comparable prior year period were the result of establishing our allowance for loan losses at levels believed necessary based upon our methodology for determining the adequacy of the allowance. The sustained lower level of quarterly net charge-offs over the past several quarters had a significant effect on the historical loss component of our methodology. More information about our allowance for loan losses and our methodology for establishing its level may be found under the heading "Allowance for Loan Losses" below.

Noninterest Income: Noninterest income for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2017 was \$4.3 million and \$13.0 million compared to \$5.1 million and \$14.2 million for the same periods in 2016. The components of noninterest income are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Three Mo Ended September 2017		Three Months Ended September 30, 2016	_	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017	ine Months Ended ptember 30, 2016
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	\$	1,172	\$ 1,15	2 \$	3,342	\$ 3,312
Net gains on mortgage loans		369	1,17	5	1,273	2,235
Trust fees		801	79	0	2,412	2,286
Gain as sales of securities			-		3	99
ATM and debit card fees		1,324	1,27	2	3,863	3,715
Bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") income		249	14	6	730	748
Investment services fees		239	18	1	705	755
Other income		146	35	9	681	 1,069
Total noninterest income	\$	4,300	\$ 5,07	5 \$	13,009	\$ 14,219

Net gains on mortgage loans were down \$806,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to same period in 2016 as a result of an overall lower level of volume. Mortgage loans originated for sale in the three months ended September 30, 2017 were \$11.4 million, compared to \$38.2 million in the same period in 2016. Mortgage loans originated for portfolio in three months ended September 30, 2017 were \$16.2 million, compared to \$25.4 million in the same period in 2016. Mortgage loans originated for sale for the first nine months of 2017 were \$45.0 million, down from \$76.1 million in the first nine months of 2016. ATM and debit card fees were up in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 due to higher volume of usage by our customers. BOLI income in the first nine months of 2016 included \$290,000 in net benefits from the distribution of a death claim on a covered former employee. Trust fees were up in the first nine months of 2017 due to investment market value changes and growth in trust assets. Other noninterest income for the three month period ended September 30, 2017 was reduced by a net loss of \$176,000 on the sale of property in southwest Grand Rapids (Walker) in the second quarter of 2017.

Noninterest Expense: Noninterest expense decreased to \$10.8 million for the three month period ended September 30, 2017, from \$11.3 million for the same period in 2016. Noninterest expense decreased to \$32.4 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2017 compared to \$34.3 million for the same period in 2016. The components of noninterest expense are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Septe	e Months Ended ember 30, 2017	Ended ptember 30, 2016	Ended tember 30, 2017	Sept	e Months Ended ember 30, 2016
Salaries and benefits	\$	6,211	\$ 6,166	\$ 18,363	\$	18,521
Occupancy of premises		922	901	2,939		2,784
Furniture and equipment		797	772	2,278		2,476
Legal and professional		199	153	621		500
Marketing and promotion		226	275	678		825
Data processing		655	741	2,068		2,089
FDIC assessment		134	166	404		638
Interchange and other card expense		333	334	970		927
Bond and D&O insurance		119	132	353		395
Net (gains) losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties		(190)	115	(575)		409
Administration and disposition of problem assets		113	210	435		787
Outside services		423	412	1,280		1,171
Other noninterest expense	_	814	896	2,620	_	2,772
Total noninterest expense	\$	10,756	\$ 11,273	\$ 32,434	\$	34,294

Most categories of noninterest expense were relatively flat or had reductions compared to the three months ended September 30, 2016 due to our ongoing efforts to manage expenses and scale our operations. Our largest component of noninterest expense, salaries and benefits, increased by \$45,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2017 from same period in 2016. This increase is largely due to a higher level of costs associated with employee benefits, particularly medical insurance, which was up \$25,000 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2016. Variable based compensation was down \$83,000 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2016 and was down \$210,000 for the first nine months of 2017 compared to the same period in 2016 due to lower mortgage production and brokerage volume. We had 343 full-time equivalent employees at September 30, 2017 compared to 343 at September 30, 2016.

Occupancy expenses were up \$21,000 in the third quarter of 2017 and were up \$155,000 for the first nine months of 2017 compared to the same periods in 2016 due to higher property taxes and maintenance costs incurred associated with certain branch facilities.

Our FDIC assessment costs decreased by \$32,000 in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the same period in 2016 and by \$234,000 for the first nine months of 2017 due primarily to positive changes in our assessment rates. These costs have been trending down for the past few years and we believe the rate has stabilized and future expense fluctuations will likely be dependent on changes in our asset size.

Costs associated with administration and disposition of problem assets have decreased significantly over the past several years. These expenses include legal costs, repossessed and foreclosed property administration expense and losses on repossessed and foreclosed property administration expense includes survey and appraisal, property maintenance and management and other disposition and carrying costs. Losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties include both net gains and losses on the sale of properties and unrealized losses from value declines for outstanding properties. We experienced decreases in almost every category in the third quarter of 2017 and the first nine months of 2017 compared to the same periods in the prior year.

These costs are itemized in the following table (in thousands):

	Months ded		ee Months Ended		ne Months Ended	Nii	ne Months Ended
	nber 30, 017	Sep	tember 30, 2016	Sep	2017 tember 30,	Sep	otember 30, 2016
Legal and professional – nonperforming assets	\$ 39	\$	28	\$	74	\$	127
Repossessed and foreclosed property administration	74		182		361		660
Net (gains) losses on repossessed and foreclosed properties	 (190)		115		(575)		409
Total	\$ (77)	\$	325	\$	(140)	\$	1,196

As the level of problem loans and assets have declined, the costs associated with these nonperforming assets have decreased significantly over the past several years. Other real estate owned decreased from \$13.1 million at September 30, 2016 to \$6.7 million at September 30, 2017. During the second quarter of 2017, we sold our largest individual other real estate owned property (carry value of \$3.4 million) for a net gain of \$68,000. This property was responsible for a significant portion of our nonperforming asset expense, including maintenance, property taxes and utility costs.

Net gains/losses on repossessed assets and foreclosed properties for the three month period ended September 30, 2017 decreased \$305,000 from the same period in 2016. For the first nine months of 2017, these expenses decreased \$984,000 from the same period in 2016. These decreases were primarily due to net gains on sales of other real estate properties in these periods. In the three month period ended September 30, 2017, net gains totaled \$190,000, compared to \$105,000 for the same period in 2016. In the first nine months of 2017, we recognized net gains totaling \$660,000 on such sales, compared to \$365,000 for the same period in 2016.

**Federal Income Tax Expense:** We recorded \$2.2 million and \$6.3 million in federal income tax expense for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2017 compared to \$1.4 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, in the same periods in 2016. Our effective tax rate for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2017 was 30.67% and 30.73%, compared to 22.67% and 27.22%, respectively, for the same periods in 2016. Federal income tax expense and related effective tax rates were lower in the 2016 periods due to tax credits and other adjustments recognized in our 2015 federal tax return which was filed in the third quarter of 2016.

# FINANCIAL CONDITION

Total assets were \$1.80 billion at September 30, 2017, an increase of \$62.0 million from \$1.74 billion at December 31, 2016. This change reflected increases of \$70.1 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$29.7 million in securities available for sale, offset by decreases of \$20.8 million in our loan portfolio, \$7.5 million in securities held to maturity and \$6.0 million in other real estate owned. Total deposits increased by \$57.5 million and other borrowed funds decreased by \$12.1 million at September 30, 2017 compared to December 31, 2016.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Our cash and cash equivalents, which include federal funds sold and short-term investments, were \$159.9 million at September 30, 2017 compared to \$89.8 million at December 31, 2016. The increase in these balances related primarily to the decrease in our total loans and increase in total deposits in the same period.

Securities: Securities available for sale were \$214.2 million at September 30, 2017 compared to \$184.4 million at December 31, 2016. The balance at September 30, 2017 primarily consisted of U.S. agency securities, agency mortgage backed securities and various municipal investments. Our held to maturity portfolio decreased from \$69.4 million at December 31, 2016 to \$61.9 million at September 30, 2017. Our held to maturity portfolio is comprised of state and municipal bonds.

Portfolio Loans and Asset Quality: Total portfolio loans decreased by \$20.8 million in the first nine months of 2017 and were \$1.26 billion at September 30, 2017 compared to \$1.28 billion at December 31, 2016. During the first nine months of 2017, our commercial portfolio decreased by \$18.1 million, while our consumer portfolio decreased by \$6.9 million and our residential mortgage portfolio increased by \$4.2 million.

The volume of residential mortgage loans originated for sale in the first nine months of 2017 decreased \$31.1 million compared to the same period in 2016 due to a higher interest rate environment. Residential mortgage loans originated for sale were \$45.0 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to \$76.1 million in the first nine months of 2016. Mortgage loans originated for portfolio in the first nine months of 2017 were \$37.4 million, compared to \$62.6 million in the first nine months of 2016. Mortgage loans originated for portfolio are typically loans that conform to secondary market requirements and have a term of fifteen years or less.

The following table shows our loan origination activity for portfolio loans during the first nine months of 2017 and 2016, broken out by loan type and also shows average originated loan size (dollars in thousands):

		Nine mon	ths ended September 3	0, 2017	Nine months ended September 30, 2016					
			Percent of							
	P	ortfolio	Total	Average	Portfolio	Total	Average			
	Or	iginations	Originations	Loan Size	Originations	Originations	Loan Size			
Commercial real estate:										
Residential developed	\$	7,227	3.1%	\$ 903	\$ 5,227	2.1% \$	871			
Unsecured to residential developers										
Vacant and unimproved		2,149	0.9	269	552		184			
Commercial development		125		125	2,342	1.0	1,171			
Residential improved		38,828	16.5	254	48,718	19.4	350			
Commercial improved		41,436	17.6	1,480	29,632	11.8	988			
Manufacturing and industrial		12,039	5.1	926	11,457	4.6	955			
Total commercial real estate		101,804	43.2	482	97,928	38.9	510			
Commercial and industrial		60,269	25.6	685	58,432	23.2	526			
Total commercial		162,073	68.8	542	156,360	62.1	516			
Consumer										
Residential mortgage		37,439	15.9	234	62,616	24.9	204			
Unsecured					20		10			
Home equity		34,070	14.5	85	31,006	12.3	84			
Other secured		1,850	0.8	16	1,808	0.7	17			
Total consumer		73,359	31.2	108	95,450	37.9	121			
Total loans	\$	235,432	100.0%	240	\$ 251,810	100.0%	231			

The following table shows a breakout of our commercial loan activity during the first nine months of 2017 and 2016 (dollars in thousands):

	N	line Months	Nine Months
		Ended	Ended
	Se	eptember 30,	September 30,
		2017	 2016
Commercial loans originated	\$	162,073	\$ 156,360
Repayments of commercial loans		(125,828)	(115,858)
Change in undistributed - available credit		(54,368)	(3,302)
Net increase/(decrease) in total commercial loans	\$	(18,123)	\$ 37,200

Overall, the commercial loan portfolio decreased \$18.1 million in the first nine months of 2017. Our commercial and industrial portfolio decreased by \$30.5 million and our commercial real estate loans increased by \$12.4 million. However, our production of commercial loans increased by \$5.7 million from \$156.4 million in the first nine months of 2016 compared to \$162.1 million in the same period of 2017. The decrease in ending portfolio balance from December 31, 2016 to September 30, 2017 was due primarily to changes in undistributed balances/available credit. Considering our pipeline of commercial credits at September 30, 2017, we expect to achieve measured, high quality loan portfolio growth throughout the remainder of 2017.

Commercial and commercial real estate loans remained our largest loan segment and accounted for approximately 75.3% and 75.5% of the total loan portfolio at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016. Residential mortgage and consumer loans comprised approximately 24.7% and 24.5% of total loans at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

A further breakdown of the composition of the loan portfolio is shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Se	eptember 30, 2017	Decembe	er 31, 2016
	Balanc	Percent of Total Loans	Balance	Percent of Total Loans
Commercial real estate: (1)				
Residential developed	\$	9,077 0.79	% \$ 11,970	0.9%
Unsecured to residential developers		2,410 0.2	4,734	0.4
Vacant and unimproved	3	38,677 3.1	40,286	3.1
Commercial development		486	378	
Residential improved	8	33,441 6.6	75,348	5.9
Commercial improved	29	95,924 23.5	289,478	22.6
Manufacturing and industrial	10	00,347 8.0	95,787	7.5
Total commercial real estate	53	30,362 42.1	517,981	40.4
Commercial and industrial	4	18,838 33.2	449,342	35.1
Total commercial	94	19,200 75.3	967,323	75.5
Consumer				
Residential mortgage	22	21,829 17.6	217,614	17.0
Unsecured		254	396	
Home equity	8	32,296 6.6	88,113	6.9
Other secured		6,458 0.5	7,366	0.6
Total consumer	31	10,837 24.7	313,489	24.5
Total loans	\$ 1,26	50,037	\$ 1,280,812	100.0%

(1) Includes both owner occupied and non-owner occupied commercial real estate.

Commercial real estate loans accounted for 42.1% and 40.4% of the total loan portfolio at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 and consisted primarily of loans to business owners and developers of owner and non-owner occupied commercial properties and loans to developers of single and multi-family residential properties. In the table above, we show our commercial real estate portfolio by loans secured by residential and commercial real estate, and by stage of development. Improved loans are generally secured by properties that are under construction or completed and placed in use. Development loans are secured by properties that are in the process of development or fully developed. Vacant and unimproved loans are secured by raw land for which development has not yet begun and agricultural land.

Our consumer residential mortgage loan portfolio, which also includes residential construction loans made to individual homeowners, comprised 17.6% of portfolio loans at September 30, 2017 and 17.0% at December 31, 2016. We expect to continue to retain in our loan portfolio certain types of residential mortgage loans (primarily high quality, low loan-to-value loans) in an effort to continue to diversify our credit risk and deploy our excess liquidity. A large portion of our residential mortgage loan production continues to be sold on the secondary market with servicing released.

The volume of residential mortgage loans originated for sale during the first nine months of 2017 decreased from the first nine months of 2016 as a result of interest rate conditions. We are also experiencing a shift in production to financing new home purchases versus refinancings.

Our portfolio of other consumer loans includes loans secured by personal property and home equity fixed term and line of credit loans. Consumer loans decreased by \$6.9 million to \$89.0 million at September 30, 2017 from \$95.9 million at December 31, 2016, due primarily to a decrease in home equity loans. Consumer loans comprised 7.1% of our portfolio loans at September 30, 2017 and 7.5% at December 31, 2016.

Our loan portfolio is reviewed regularly by our senior management, our loan officers, and an internal loan review team that is independent of our loan originators and credit administration. An administrative loan committee consisting of senior management and seasoned lending and collections personnel meets quarterly to manage our internal watch list and proactively manage high risk loans.

When reasonable doubt exists concerning collectability of interest or principal of one of our loans, the loan is placed in nonaccrual status. Any interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed and charged against current earnings.

Nonperforming assets are comprised of nonperforming loans, foreclosed assets and repossessed assets. At September 30, 2017, nonperforming assets totaled \$7.2 million compared to \$12.6 million at December 31, 2016. Additions to other real estate owned in the first nine months of 2017 were \$60,000, compared to \$102,000 in the first nine months of 2016. At September 30, 2017, there was just one loan in redemption, so we expect there to be few additions to other real estate owned in 2017. Proceeds from sales of foreclosed properties were \$6.2 million in the first nine months of 2017, resulting in net realized gains on sales of \$660,000. We sold our largest individual foreclosed property in the second quarter of 2017. Proceeds from sales of \$365,000. Based upon purchase agreements in place at September 30, 2017 and the sale of our largest individual property in the second quarter of 2017, we expect the level of sales of foreclosed properties to be lower in the final quarter of 2017 than experienced in the first nine months of 2017.

Nonperforming loans include loans on nonaccrual status and loans delinquent more than 90 days but still accruing. As of September 30, 2017, nonperforming loans were negligible and totaled \$521,000, or 0.04% of total portfolio loans, compared to \$300,000, or 0.02% of total portfolio loans, at December 31, 2016.

Nonperforming loans at September 30, 2017 consisted of \$440,000 of commercial real estate loans, \$4,000 of commercial and industrial loans, and \$77,000 of consumer and residential mortgage loans.

Foreclosed and repossessed assets include assets acquired in settlement of loans. Foreclosed assets totaled \$6.7 million at September 30, 2017 and \$12.3 million at December 31, 2016. Of this balance at September 30, 2017, there were 21 commercial real estate properties totaling approximately \$6.6 million. The remaining balance was comprised of 4 residential properties totaling approximately \$109,000. All properties acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure are initially transferred at their fair value less estimated costs to sell and then evaluated monthly for impairment after transfer using a lower of cost or market approach. Updated property valuations are obtained at least annually on all foreclosed assets.

At September 30, 2017, our foreclosed asset portfolio had a weighted average age held in portfolio of 5.84 years. Below is a breakout of our foreclosed asset portfolio at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 by property type and the percentages the property has been written down since taken into our possession and the combined writedown percentage, including losses taken when the property was loan collateral (dollars in thousands):

	 S	September 30, 2017		December 31, 2016					
		Combined Writedown		Carrying		Combined Writedown			
		Foreclosed	(Loan and	Value at	Foreclosed	(Loan and			
	Carrying	Asset	Foreclosed	Carrying	Asset	Foreclosed			
Foreclosed Asset Property Type	 Value	Writedown	Asset)	Value	Writedown	Asset)			
Single Family	\$ 	%	%	\$ 136	%	20.3%			
Residential Lot	109	46.9	73.1	438	30.1	48.0			
Multi-Family									
Vacant Land	2,246	46.8	53.6	3,096	47.2	58.3			
Residential Development	2,218	29.5	71.3	2,570	36.2	74.2			
Commercial Office				240	49.3	51.1			
Commercial Industrial									
Commercial Improved	 2,088	9.3	28.8	5,773	48.7	51.2			
	\$ 6,661	32.5	58.1	\$ 12,253	45.2	60.1			

The following table shows the composition and amount of our nonperforming assets (dollars in thousands):

	mber 30, 017	ember 31, 2016
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 521	\$ 300
Loans 90 days or more delinquent and still accruing		 
Total nonperforming loans (NPLs)	521	300
Foreclosed assets	6,661	12,253
Repossessed assets		 
Total nonperforming assets (NPAs)	\$ 7,182	\$ 12,553
NPLs to total loans	0.04%	0.02%
NPAs to total assets	0.40%	0.72%

The following table shows the composition and amount of our troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

		September 30, 2017						December 31, 2016				
	Com	mercial		Consumer		Total	(	Commercial		Consumer		Total
Performing TDRs	\$	12,974	\$	8,609	\$	21,583	\$	17,786	\$	12,051	\$	29,837
Nonperforming TDRs (1)		322		55		377		141		8		149
Total TDRs	\$	13,296	\$	8,664	\$	21,960	\$	17,927	\$	12,059	\$	29,986

## (1) Included in nonperforming asset table above

We had a total of \$22.0 million and \$30.0 million of loans whose terms have been modified in TDRs as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. These loans may have involved the restructuring of terms to allow customers to mitigate the risk of foreclosure by meeting a lower loan payment requirement based upon their current cash flow. These may also include loans that renewed at existing contractual rates, but below market rates for comparable credit. For each restructuring, a comprehensive credit underwriting analysis of the borrower's financial condition and prospects of repayment under the revised terms is performed to assess whether the structure can be successful and that cash flows will be sufficient to support the restructured debt. An analysis is also performed to determine whether the restructured loan should be on accrual status. Generally, if the loan is on accrual at the time of restructure, it will remain on accrual after the restructuring. In some cases, a nonaccrual loan may be placed on accrual at restructuring if the loan's actual payment history demonstrates it would have cash flowed under the restructured terms. After six consecutive payments under the restructured terms, a nonaccrual restructured loan is reviewed for possible upgrade to accruing status. In situations where there is a subsequent modification or renewal and the loan is brought to market terms, including a contractual interest rate not less than a market interest rate for new debt with similar credit risk characteristics, the TDR and impaired designations may be removed. Total TDRs decreased by \$8.0 million from December 31, 2016 to September 30, 2017. Of this decrease, \$2.4 million related to a consumer property that was sold during the period and the remainder of the decrease was primarily due to paydowns on commercial TDRs.

As with other impaired loans, an allowance for loan loss is estimated for each TDR based on the most likely source of repayment for each loan. For impaired commercial real estate loans that are collateral dependent, the allowance is computed based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, less estimated costs to sell. For impaired commercial loans where repayment is expected from cash flows from business operations, the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation. Certain groups of TDRs, such as residential mortgages, have common characteristics and for them the allowance is computed based on a discounted cash flow computation on the change in weighted rate for the pool. The allowance allocations for commercial TDRs where we have reduced the contractual interest rate are computed by measuring cash flows using the new payment terms discounted at the original contractual rate.

**Allowance for loan losses:** The allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2017 was \$16.4 million, a decrease of \$528,000 from \$17.0 million at December 31, 2016. The balance of the allowance for loan losses represented 1.30% of total portfolio loans at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016. The allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loan coverage ratio decreased from 5654% at December 31, 2016 to 3154% at September 30, 2017.

The table below shows the changes in these metrics over the past five quarters:

	rter Ended tember 30,	Q	uarter Ended June 30,	•	uarter Ended March 31,	•	erter Ended cember 31,	uarter Ended eptember 30,
(Dollars in millions)	2017		2017		2017		2016	 2016
Commercial loans	\$ 949.2	\$	949.8	\$	962.1	\$	967.3	\$ 923.2
Nonperforming loans	0.5		0.7		0.4		0.3	0.2
Other real estate owned and repo assets	6.7		7.1		12.1		12.3	13.1
Total nonperforming assets	7.2		7.8		12.5		12.6	13.3
Net charge-offs (recoveries)	(0.2)		(0.4)		(0.2)		(1.2)	(0.1)
Total delinquencies	0.8		0.8		0.9		1.4	0.3

As discussed earlier, we have had net loan recoveries in each of the last eleven quarters. Our total delinquencies have continued to be negligible and were \$872,000 at September 30, 2017 and \$1.4 million at December 31, 2016. Our delinquency percentage at September 30, 2017 was just 0.07%, well below the Bank's peers.

These factors all impact our necessary level of allowance for loan losses and our provision for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses decreased \$528,000 in the first nine months of 2017. We recorded a negative provision for loan losses of \$1.35 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 compared to a negative \$1.1 million for the same period of 2016. Net loan recoveries were \$822,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, compared to net recoveries of \$866,000 for the same period in 2016. The ratio of net charge-offs to average loans was -0.09% on an annualized basis for the first nine months of 2017, compared to -0.10% for the first nine months of 2016.

We are encouraged by the reduced level of gross charge-offs over recent quarters. We do, however, recognize that future charge-offs and resulting provisions for loan losses are expected to be impacted by the timing and extent of changes in the overall economy and the real estate markets. We believe we have seen some stabilization in economic conditions and real estate markets. However, we expect it to take additional time for sustained improvement in the economy and real estate markets in order to further reduce our impaired loans.

Our allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level believed appropriate based upon our assessment of the probable estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Our methodology for measuring the appropriate level of allowance and related provision for loan losses relies on several key elements, which include specific allowances for loans considered impaired, general allowance for commercial loans not considered impaired based upon applying our loan rating system, and general allocations based on historical trends for homogeneous loan groups with similar risk characteristics.

Overall, impaired loans declined by \$7.7 million to \$22.0 million at September 30, 2017 compared to \$29.7 million at December 31, 2016. The specific allowance for impaired loans decreased \$350,000 to \$1.3 million at September 30, 2017, compared to \$1.7 million at December 31, 2016. The specific allowance for impaired loans represented 6.1% of total impaired loans at September 30, 2017 and 5.7% at December 31, 2016. The overall balance of impaired loans remained elevated partially due to an accounting rule (ASU 2011-02) adopted in 2011 that requires us to identify classified loans that renew at existing contractual rates as TDRs if the contractual rate is less than market rates for similar loans at the time of renewal.

The general allowance allocated to commercial loans that were not considered to be impaired was based upon the internal risk grade of such loans. We use a loan rating method based upon an eight point system. Loans are stratified between real estate secured and non real estate secured. The real estate secured portfolio is further stratified by the type of real estate. Each stratified portfolio is assigned a loss allocation factor. A higher numerical grade assigned to a loan category generally results in a greater allocation percentage. Changes in risk grade of loans affect the amount of the allowance allocation.

The determination of our loss factors is based upon our actual loss history by loan grade and adjusted for significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the analysis date. We use a rolling 18 month actual net chargeoff history as the base for our computation. Over the past few years, the 18 month period computations have reflected sizeable decreases in net chargeoff experience. We addressed this volatility in the qualitative factor considerations applied in our allowance for loan losses computation. Adjustments to the qualitative factors also involved consideration of different loss periods for the Bank, including 12, 24, 36, 48 and 60 month periods. We also considered the extended period of improved asset quality in assessing the overall qualitative component. Considering the change in our qualitative factors and our commercial loan portfolio balances, the general allowance allocated to commercial loans was \$12.0 million at September 30, 2017 and \$12.1 million at December 31, 2016. This resulted in a general reserve percentage allocated at September 30, 2017 of 1.29% of commercial loans, an increase from 1.27% at December 31, 2016. The qualitative component of our allowance allocated to commercial loans was \$12.0 million at September 30, 2017 (down from \$12.4 million at December 31, 2016).

Groups of homogeneous loans, such as residential real estate and open- and closed-end consumer loans, receive allowance allocations based on loan type. A rolling 12 month (four quarter) historical loss experience period was applied to residential mortgage and consumer loan portfolios. As with commercial loans that are not considered impaired, the determination of the allowance allocation percentage is based principally on our historical loss experience. These allocations are adjusted for consideration of general economic and business conditions, credit quality and delinquency trends, collateral values, and recent loss experience for these similar pools of loans. The homogeneous loan allowance was \$3.0 million at September 30, 2017 and \$3.1 million at December 31, 2016.

The allowance allocations are not intended to imply limitations on usage of the allowance for loan losses. The entire allowance for loan losses is available for any loan losses without regard to loan type.

**Premises and Equipment:** Premises and equipment totaled \$46.8 million at September 30, 2017, down \$3.2 million from \$50.0 million at December 31, 2016. During the second quarter of 2017 we sold a property in northwest Grand Rapids that had been held for future branch expansion for \$590,000, recognizing a net loss on sale of \$70,000. During the third quarter of 2017, we sold a property in southwest Grand Rapids (Metro Village) that had been held for future branch expansion for \$1.2 million, recognizing a net loss on sale of \$176,000.

**Deposits and Other Borrowings:** Total deposits increased \$57.5 million to \$1.51 billion at September 30, 2017, as compared to \$1.45 billion at December 31, 2016. Non-interest checking account balances decreased \$4.1 million during the nine months of 2017. Interest bearing demand account balances increased \$11.0 million and savings and money market account balances increased \$32.0 million in the first nine months of 2017. Certificates of deposits increased by \$18.6 million in the first nine months of 2017. We believe our success in maintaining the balances of personal and business checking and savings accounts was primarily attributable to our focus on quality customer service, the desire of customers to deal with a local bank, the convenience of our branch network and the breadth and depth of our sophisticated product line.

Noninterest bearing demand accounts comprised 33% of total deposits at September 30, 2017 and 35% at December 31, 2016. These balances typically increase at year end for many of our commercial customers, then decline in the first quarter. Because of the generally low rates paid on interest bearing account alternatives, many of our business customers chose to keep their balances in these more liquid noninterest bearing demand account types. Interest bearing demand, including money market and savings accounts, comprised 61% of total deposits at September 30, 2017 and 60% at December 31, 2016. Time accounts as a percentage of total deposits were 6% at September 30, 2017 and 5% December 31, 2016.

Borrowed funds totaled \$113.4 million at September 30, 2017, including \$72.1 million of Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances and \$41.2 million in long-term debt associated with trust preferred securities. Borrowed funds totaled \$125.4 million at December 31, 2016, including \$84.2 million of FHLB advances and \$41.2 million in long-term debt associated with trust preferred securities. Borrowed funds decreased by \$12.1 million in the first nine months of 2017 primarily due to an early payoff of \$10.0 million of an FHLB advance in July 2017.

# CAPITAL RESOURCES

Total shareholders' equity of \$173.5 million at September 30, 2017 increased \$11.2 million from \$162.2 million at December 31, 2016. The increase was primarily a result of net income of \$14.1 million earned in the first nine months of 2017 and an increase of \$1.2 million in accumulated other comprehensive income, partially offset by the payment of \$4.4 million in cash dividends to shareholders. The Bank was categorized as "well capitalized" at September 30, 2017.

In July 2013, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC approved the final rules implementing the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's capital guidelines for U.S. banks (commonly known as Basel III). Under the final rules, which began for the Company and the Bank on January 1, 2015 and are subject to a phase-in period through January 1, 2019, minimum requirements will increase for both the quantity and quality of capital held by the Company and the Bank. The rules include a new common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio (CET1 ratio) of 4.5% and a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets, which when fully phased-in, effectively results in a minimum CET1 ratio of 7.0%. Basel III ratios the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0% (which, with the capital conservation buffer, effectively results in a minimum total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 10.5% (with the capital conservation buffer fully phased-in), and requires a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%. Basel III also makes changes to risk weights for certain assets and off-balance-sheet exposures. We expect that the capital ratios for the Company and the Bank under Basel III will continue to exceed the well capitalized minimum capital requirements.

#### **Index**

The following table shows our regulatory capital ratios (on a consolidated basis) for the past several quarters:

	Sept 30,	June 30,	March 31,	Dec 31,	Sept 30,
Macatawa Bank Corporation	2017	2017	2017	2016	2016
Total capital to risk weighted assets	15.5%	15.5%	15.1%	14.9%	15.2%
Common Equity Tier 1 to risk weighted assets	11.7	11.6	11.3	11.0	11.3
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets	14.4	14.3	14.0	13.7	14.1
Tier 1 capital to average assets	12.0	12.2	12.1	12.0	12.0

Approximately \$40.0 million of trust preferred securities outstanding at September 30, 2017 qualified as Tier 1 capital.

## LIQUIDITY

Liquidity of Macatawa Bank: The liquidity of a financial institution reflects its ability to manage a variety of sources and uses of funds. Our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows categorize these sources and uses into operating, investing and financing activities. We primarily focus on developing access to a variety of borrowing sources to supplement our deposit gathering activities and provide funds for our investment and loan portfolios. Our sources of liquidity include our borrowing capacity with the FRB's discount window, the Federal Home Loan Bank, federal funds purchased lines of credit and other secured borrowing sources with our correspondent banks, loan payments by our borrowers, maturity and sales of our securities available for sale, growth of our deposits, federal funds sold and other short-term investments, and the various capital resources discussed above.

Liquidity management involves the ability to meet the cash flow requirements of our customers. Our customers may be either borrowers with credit needs or depositors wanting to withdraw funds. Our liquidity management involves periodic monitoring of our assets considered to be liquid and illiquid, and our funding sources considered to be core and non-core and short-term (less than 12 months) and long-term. We have established parameters that monitor, among other items, our level of liquid assets to short-term liabilities, our level of non-core funding reliance and our level of available borrowing capacity. We maintain a diversified wholesale funding structure and actively manage our maturing wholesale sources to reduce the risk to liquidity shortages. We have also developed a contingency funding plan to stress test our liquidity requirements arising from certain events that may trigger liquidity shortages, such as rapid loan growth in excess of normal growth levels or the loss of deposits and other funding sources under extreme circumstances.

We have actively pursued initiatives to maintain a strong liquidity position. The Bank has reduced its reliance on non-core funding sources, including brokered deposits, and focused on achieving a non-core funding dependency ratio below its peer group average. We have had no brokered deposits on our balance sheet since December 2011. We continue to maintain significant on-balance sheet liquidity. At September 30, 2017, the Bank held \$131.6 million of federal funds sold and other short-term investments. In addition, the Bank had available borrowing capacity from correspondent banks of approximately \$304.1 million as of September 30, 2017.

In the normal course of business, we enter into certain contractual obligations, including obligations which are considered in our overall liquidity management. The table below summarizes our significant contractual obligations at September 30, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

	Less than	ı					More than
	1 year		1-3 y	ears	3	3-5 years	 5 years
Long term debt	\$		\$		\$		\$ 41,238
Time deposit maturities	59	,436		30,505		2,262	40
Other borrowed funds	42	,118		20,000		10,000	
Operating lease obligations		243		422			
Total	\$ 101	,797	\$	50,927	\$	12,262	\$ 41,278

In addition to normal loan funding, we also maintain liquidity to meet customer financing needs through unused lines of credit, unfunded loan commitments and standby letters of credit. The level and fluctuation of these commitments is also considered in our overall liquidity management. At September 30, 2017, we had a total of \$495.2 million in unused lines of credit, \$121.8 million in unfunded loan commitments and \$12.1 million in standby letters of credit.

#### **Index**

Liquidity of Holding Company: The primary sources of liquidity for the Company are dividends from the Bank, existing cash resources and the capital markets if the need to raise additional capital arises. Banking regulations and the laws of the State of Michigan in which our Bank is chartered limit the amount of dividends the Bank may declare and pay to the Company in any calendar year. Under the state law limitations, the Bank is restricted from paying dividends to the Company in excess of retained earnings. In 2016, the Bank paid dividends to the Company totaling \$6.2 million. In the same period, the Company paid dividends to its shareholders totaling \$4.0 million. On February 27, 2017, the Bank paid a dividend totaling \$1.8 million to the Company in anticipation of the common share cash dividend of \$0.04 per share paid on February 28, 2017 to shareholders of record on February 13, 2017. The cash distributed for this cash dividend payment totaled \$1.4 million. On May 30, 2017, the Bank paid a dividend totaling \$1.9 million to the Company in anticipation of the common share cash dividend payment totaled \$1.4 million. On August 29, 2017, the Bank paid a dividend totaling \$2.0 million to the Company in anticipation of the common share cash dividend payment totaled \$1.4 million. On August 29, 2017, the Bank paid a dividend totaling \$2.0 million to the Company in anticipation of the common share cash dividend of \$0.05 per share paid on August 30, 2017 to shareholders of record on August 15, 2017. The cash distributed for this cash dividend payment totaled \$1.7 million. The Company retained the remaining balance in each period for general corporate purposes. At September 30, 2017, the Bank had a retained earnings balance of \$46.6 million.

During 2016, the Company received payments from the Bank totaling \$7.1 million, representing the Bank's intercompany tax liability for the 2016 tax year, in accordance with the Company's tax allocation agreement. During the first nine months of 2017, the Company received payments from the Bank totaling \$4.1 million, representing the Bank's intercompany tax liability for the first nine months of 2017.

The Company has the right to defer interest payments for 20 consecutive quarters on its trust preferred securities if necessary for liquidity purposes. During the deferral period, the Company may not declare or pay any dividends on its common stock or make any payment on any outstanding debt obligations that rank equally with or junior to the trust preferred securities.

The Company's cash balance at September 30, 2017 was \$6.0 million. The Company believes that it has sufficient liquidity to meet its cash flow obligations.

# CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES:

To prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, other real estate owned valuation, loss contingencies and income taxes are deemed critical due to the required level of management judgment and the use of estimates, making them particularly subject to change.

Our methodology for determining the allowance for loan losses and the related provision for loan losses is described above in the "Allowance for Loan Losses" discussion. This area of accounting requires significant judgment due to the number of factors which can influence the collectability of a loan. Unanticipated changes in these factors could significantly change the level of the allowance for loan losses and the related provision for loan losses. Although, based upon our internal analysis, and in our judgment, we believe that we have provided an adequate allowance for loan losses, there can be no assurance that our analysis has properly identified all of the probable losses in our loan portfolio. As a result, we could record future provisions for loan losses that may be significantly different than the levels that we recorded in the first nine months of 2017.

Assets acquired through or instead of foreclosure, primarily other real estate owned, are initially recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. New real estate appraisals are generally obtained at the time of foreclosure and are used to establish fair value. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Estimating the initial and ongoing fair value of these properties involves a number of factors and judgments including holding time, costs to complete, holding costs, discount rate, absorption and other factors.

Loss contingencies are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. This, too, is an accounting area that involves significant judgment. Although, based upon our judgment, internal analysis, and consultations with legal counsel we believe that we have properly accounted for loss contingencies, future changes in the status of such contingencies could result in a significant change in the level of contingent liabilities and a related impact to operating earnings.

Our accounting for income taxes involves the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities primarily associated with differences in the timing of the recognition of revenues and expenses for financial reporting and tax purposes. At September 30, 2017, we had gross deferred tax assets of \$8.4 million, gross deferred tax liabilities of \$2.4 million resulting in a net deferred tax asset of \$6.0 million. Accounting standards require that companies assess whether a valuation allowance should be established against their deferred tax assets based on the consideration of all available evidence using a "more likely than not" standard. Each reporting period we consider all reasonably available positive and negative evidence and determine whether it is "more likely than not" that we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets. With the positive results in the first nine months of 2017, we concluded at September 30, 2017 that no valuation allowance on our net deferred tax asset was required. Changes in tax laws, changes in tax rates, changes in ownership and our future level of earnings can impact the ultimate realization of our net deferred tax asset.

# Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Our primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk and, to a lesser extent, liquidity risk. All of our transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars with no specific foreign exchange exposure. Macatawa Bank has only limited agricultural-related loan assets, and therefore has no significant exposure to changes in commodity prices.

Our balance sheet has sensitivity, in various categories of assets and liabilities, to changes in prevailing rates in the U.S. for prime rate, mortgage rates, U.S. Treasury rates and various money market indexes. Our asset/liability management process aids us in providing liquidity while maintaining a balance between interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

We utilize a simulation model as our primary tool to assess the direction and magnitude of variations in net interest income and the economic value of equity ("EVE") resulting from potential changes in market interest rates. Key assumptions in the model include contractual cash flows and maturities of interest-sensitive assets and interest-sensitive liabilities, prepayment speeds on certain assets, and changes in market conditions impacting loan and deposit pricing. We also include pricing floors on discretionary priced liability products which limit how low various checking and savings products could go under declining interest rates. These floors reflect our pricing philosophy in response to changing interest rates.

We forecast the next twelve months of net interest income under an assumed environment of gradual changes in market interest rates under various scenarios. The resulting change in net interest income is an indication of the sensitivity of our earnings to directional changes in market interest rates. The simulation also measures the change in EVE, or the net present value of our assets and liabilities, under an immediate shift, or shock, in interest rates under various scenarios, as calculated by discounting the estimated future cash flows using market-based discount rates.

The following table shows the impact of changes in interest rates on net interest income over the next twelve months and EVE based on our balance sheet as of September 30, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

	E	Economic			
	,	Value of	Percent	Net Interest	Percent
Interest Rate Scenario		Equity	Change	Income	Change
Interest rates up 200 basis points	\$	222,340	(3.71)%	\$ 55,481	3.59%
Interest rates up 100 basis points		227,555	(1.46)	54,498	1.75
No change		230,918		53,559	
Interest rates down 100 basis points		214,540	(7.09)	51,752	(3.37)
Interest rates down 200 basis points		206,841	(10.43)	50,249	(6.18)

If interest rates were to increase, this analysis suggests that we are positioned for an improvement in net interest income over the next twelve months.

We also forecast the impact of immediate and parallel interest rate shocks on net interest income under various scenarios to measure the sensitivity of our earnings under extreme conditions.

The quarterly simulation analysis is monitored against acceptable interest rate risk parameters by the Asset/Liability Committee and reported to the Board of Directors.

In addition to changes in interest rates, the level of future net interest income is also dependent on a number of other variables, including: the growth, composition and absolute levels of loans, deposits, and other earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities; economic and competitive conditions; potential changes in lending, investing and deposit gathering strategies; and client preferences.

# Item 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) as of September 30, 2017, the end of the period covered by this report.

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, as the Company's are designed to do, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating whether the benefits of the controls and procedures that the Company adopts outweigh their costs.

Our CEO and CFO, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report, have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms.

(b) <u>Changes in Internal Controls.</u> During the period covered by this report, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

# PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

# Item 6. EXHIBITS.

3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation. Previously filed with the Commission on April 28, 2011 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-
	Q, Exhibit 3.1. Here incorporated by reference.
3.2	Bylaws. Previously filed with the Commission on February 19, 2015 in Macatawa Bank Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended
	December 31, 2014, Exhibit 3.1. Here incorporated by reference.
<u>4.1</u>	Restated Articles of Incorporation. Exhibit 3.1 is here incorporated by reference.
<u>4.2</u>	Bylaws. Exhibit 3.2 is here incorporated by reference.
4.3	Long-Term Debt. The registrant has outstanding long-term debt which at the time of this report does not exceed 10% of the registrant's total consolidated
	assets. The registrant agrees to furnish copies of the agreements defining the rights of holders of such long-term debt to the SEC upon request.
<u>31.1</u>	Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
<u>31.2</u>	Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
<u>32.1</u>	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MACATAWA BANK CORPORATION

/s/ Ronald L. Haan

Ronald L. Haan

Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Jon W. Swets

(Principal Executive Officer)

Jon W. Swets Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: October 26, 2017

## EXHIBIT 31.1

# I, Ronald L. Haan, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2017 of Macatawa Bank Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared; and
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: October 26, 2017

/s/ Ronald L. Haan Ronald L. Haan Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

## EXHIBIT 31.2

# I, Jon W. Swets, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2017 of Macatawa Bank Corporation;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared; and
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: October 26, 2017

/s/ Jon W. Swets
Jon W. Swets
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

# EXHIBIT 32.1

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, each of the undersigned hereby certifies in his capacity as an officer of Macatawa Bank Corporation (the "Company") that the Quarterly Report of the Company on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2017 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition of the Company at the end of such period and the results of operations of the Company for such period.

/s/ Ronald L. Haan
Ronald L. Haan
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Jon W. Swets

Jon W. Swets Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: October 26, 2017